- Happle R (2010) The group of epidermal nevus syndromes. J Am Acad Dermatol 63:1-22
- Lindhurst MJ, Sapp JC, Teer JK *et al.* (2011) A Mosaic activating mutation in *AKT1* associated with the Proteus syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 365:611–9
- McCuaig CC, Vera C, Kokta V et al. (2012) Connective tissue nevi in children: institutional experience and review. J Am Acad Dermatol 67:890–7
- Nguyen D, Turner JT, Olsen C *et al.* (2004) Cutaneous manifestations of Proteus syndrome. Correlations with general clinical severity. *Arch Dermatol* 140:947–53
- Turner JT, Cohen MM Jr., Biesecker LG (2004) Reassessment of the Proteus syndrome literature: application of diagnostic criteria to published cases. *Am J Med Genet* 130A: 111–22
- Twede JV, Turner JT, Biesecker LG *et al.* (2005) Evolution of skin lesions in Proteus syndrome. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 52:834–8
- Wieland I, Tinschert S, Zenker M (2013) High-level somatic mosaicism of AKT1 c.49G > A mutation in skin scrapings from epidermal nevi enables non-invasive molecular diagnosis in patients with Proteus syndrome. Am J Med Genet A 161:889–91

## Histamine H<sub>4</sub> Receptor Antagonists Ineffective against Itch and Skin Inflammation in Atopic Dermatitis Mouse Model

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2014) 134, 546–548; doi:10.1038/jid.2013.351; published online 19 September 2013

## **TO THE EDITOR**

Histamine is the best known pruritogen in humans and the most commonly used experimental itch-causing substance. It induces increased itch responses in the lesional skin of atopic dermatitis (AD) patients compared with normal skin et al., 2006). However, (Ikoma histamine H<sub>1</sub> receptor (H<sub>1</sub>R) antagonists frequently fail to relieve the itch in AD patients as well as it does in patients with systemic diseases such as kidney and liver diseases. The lack of amelioration by high-potency H<sub>1</sub>R antagonists of different types in patients with itch suggests that other systems are involved (Ikoma et al., 2006; Ständer and Weisshaar, 2012).

Involvement of histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor (H<sub>4</sub>R) in histamine-evoked itch in animal models has been reported (Dunford *et al.*, 2007; Thurmond *et al.*, 2008). However, the therapeutic efficacy of H<sub>4</sub>R antagonists on the H<sub>1</sub>R antagonistresistant itch in AD is poorly understood. We therefore examined the therapeutic effects of H<sub>4</sub>R antagonists on itch and skin inflammation in AD using NC/Nga mice, a mouse model of AD that has been previously described (Tanaka *et al.*, 2012).

Male NC/Nga mice (Charles River Japan, Yokohama, Japan), 10 weeks old, were maintained in clean condition. All animal procedures were approved by the institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine. It is generally accepted worldwide that AD patients are highly sensitized to house dust mite allergens (Sanda *et al.*, 1992); and that house dust mite *Dermatophagoides farinae* body (Dfb) and feces are wellknown major environmental allergens (Matsuoka *et al.*, 1995). We used a Dfb ointment-induced AD-like mouse model (Dfb-NC/Nga) to evaluate the therapeutic efficacy of H<sub>4</sub>R antagonists against itch-related behavior (scratching) and dermatitis in a mouse model of AD.

Dermatitis was induced by application of Dfb ointment (Biostir, Kobe, Japan) twice a week for 3 weeks as described (Yamamoto et al., 2009). Severity of skin lesion was graded according to the criteria as described (Matsuda et al., 1997). Animals that received repeated application of Dfb ointment to their skin (Figure 1a) showed higher dermatitis scores than controls after 3 weeks (data not shown). After the induction, transepidermal water loss was measured using a Tewameter TM210 (Courage and Khazawa, Cologne, Germany) and scratching behavior was observed for 2 hours using a MicroAct (Neuroscience, Tokyo, Japan) as described (Inagaki et al., 2003). Dfb-NC/Nga mice showed significant loss of transepidermal water and more scratching bouts (data not shown).

We examined effects of H<sub>4</sub>R antagonists, JNJ7777120 and JNJ28307474, on dermatitis and scratching behavior in Dfb-NC/Nga mice. In mice, antagonist JNJ28307474 shows a longer plasma half-life than JNJ7777120 (Thurmod et al., unpublished observations). Mice that scored over 5 for dermatitis severity were treated by either intraperitoneal injection with a vehicle (20% dimethylsulphoxide and 80% 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin in saline) or  $H_4R$  antagonists (10 or  $30 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ ) three times per week for 3 weeks (Figure 1a). Dermatitis score was assessed after each Dfb application, and the data were expressed as fold change values over score of dermatitis before H<sub>4</sub>R antagonist treatment (baseline) in each group. No significant amelioration of dermatitis followed treatment by either of these H<sub>4</sub>R antagonists (Figure 1b and c). In addition, scratching behavior was recorded before and after H<sub>4</sub>R antagonist treatment (# shown in Figure 1a). The data were expressed as fold change values over number of scratching bouts before the treatment (baseline) in each group. Behavior analyses revealed neither treatment inhibited scratching behavior (Figure 1d and e). Moreover, the fold change value of scratching bouts significantly increased by treatment of 30 mg kg-JNJ28307474 (Figure 1e). Treatment with JNJ7777120 or JNJ28307474 had no effect on locomotion activity (Kamo et al., unpublished observations).

Abbreviations: AD, atopic dermatitis;; Dfb, Dermatophagoides farinae body;  $H_1R$ , histamine  $H_1$  receptor;  $H_4R$ , histamine  $H_4$  receptor

Accepted article preview online 20 August 2013; published online 19 September 2013



Figure 1. Effects of histamine H<sub>4</sub> receptor (H<sub>4</sub>R) antagonists on dermatitis and scratching behavior in *Dermatophagoides farinae* body (Dfb)-NC/Nga mice. (a) Following repeated application of Dfb ointment for 3 weeks, vehicle or H<sub>4</sub>R antagonist was administered intraperitoneally (i.p.) three times per week for 3 weeks. Scratching behavior was recorded before and after treatment (#). (b, c) Dfb-NC/Nga mice were intraperitoneally administered 10 and 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> JNJ7777120 or 10 and 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> JNJ28307474. No significant improvement of dermatitis was observed in either JNJ7777120 (b) or JNJ28307474 (c). (d, e) Scratching bouts were not inhibited by either JNJ7777120 (d) or JNJ28307474 (e). The fold change value of scratching bouts significantly increased by treatment at 30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> JNJ28307474 (e, \*P<0.001). Data (means ± standard deviation (SD), n=7–10) were compared by one-way analysis of variance and Bonferroni's multiple comparison test.

JNJ28307474) provided no significant inhibition of scratching behavior or amelioration of dermatitis in Dfb-NC/ Nga mice. This result is consistent with a recent study in a canine model of AD induced by Df house dust mites (Bäumer et al., 2011). Meanwhile, another study using a model of allergic dermatitis reported that H<sub>4</sub>R antagonist JNJ7777120, but not H<sub>1</sub>R antagonists, showed both anti-inflammatory and antipruritic effects in fluorescein-5-isothiocyanate-induced dermatitis model BALB/c mouse (Cowden et al., 2010). The contact dermatitis model had several features common with AD (Takeshita et al., 2004). Moreover, a more recent study showed that H<sub>1</sub>R antagonist olopatadine and H<sub>4</sub>R antagonist JNJ7777120

improved scratching behavior and skin inflammation in a model of allergic dermatitis induced by repeated challenges with picryl chloride on the dorsal back of NC/Nga mice (Ohsawa and Hirasawa, 2012). Thus, although treatment with JNJ28307474 at high doses might induce itch because of off-target effects via histamine H<sub>3</sub> receptors or 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors (Cowden et al., 2010), these findings suggest that H<sub>4</sub>R antagonists are effective against itch of dermatitis in the hapten-induced model, but not in the ointment-induced model. Dfb In addition, a recent study using microdialysis reported elevated levels of histamine in the induction phase after topical administration of Df allergen, but not high levels in the late reactions (Bäumer *et al.*, 2011). Taken together, these findings imply that histamine may have only a minor role with other mediators or mechanisms responsible for itch and inflammatory reaction in the pathologic process of AD induced by environmental allergens such as a dust mite (Ikoma *et al.*, 2006; Ständer and Weisshaar, 2012; Kabashima, 2013).

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

JNJ7777120 and JNJ28307474 were supplied by Janssen Research and Development, LLC. This work was partly supported by a grant of Strategic Research Foundation Grant-aided Project for Private Universities from MEXT (S1311011), and by the JSPS Research Fellowship (10J04599).

## Atsuko Kamo<sup>1,3</sup>, Osamu Negi<sup>1,3</sup>, Suhandy Tengara<sup>1,3</sup>, Yayoi Kamata<sup>1</sup>, Atsushi Noguchi<sup>1</sup>, Hideoki Ogawa<sup>1</sup>, Mitsutoshi Tominaga<sup>1</sup> and Kenji Takamori<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Environmental and Gender Specific Medicine, Juntendo University Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba, Japan and <sup>2</sup>Department of Dermatology, Juntendo University Urayasu Hospital, Chiba, Japan <sup>3</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work. E-mail: ktakamor@juntendo.ac.jp

## REFERENCES

- Bäumer W, Stahl J, Sander K et al. (2011) Lack of preventing effect of systemically and topically administered histamine H(1) or H(4) receptor antagonists in a dog model of acute atopic dermatitis. Exp Dermatol 20:577–81
- Cowden JM, Zhang M, Dunford PJ *et al.* (2010) The histamine H4 receptor mediates inflammation and pruritus in Th2-dependent dermal inflammation. *J Invest Dermatol* 130: 1023–33

- Dunford PJ, Williams KN, Desai PJ et al. (2007) Histamine H4 receptor antagonists are superior to traditional antihistamines in the attenuation of experimental pruritus. J Allergy Clin Immunol 119:176–83
- Ikoma A, Steinhoff M, Ständer S et al. (2006) The neurobiology of itch. Nat Rev Neurosci 7: 535–47
- Inagaki N, Igeta K, Shiraishi N et al. (2003) Evaluation and characterization of mouse scratching behavior by a new apparatus, MicroAct. Skin Pharmacol Appl Skin Physiol 16:165–75
- Kabashima K (2013) New concept of the pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis: interplay among the barrier, allergy, and pruritus as a trinity. *J Dermatol Sci* 70:3–11
- Matsuda H, Watanabe N, Geba GP *et al.* (1997) Development of atopic dermatitis-like skin lesion with IgE hyperproduction in NC/Nga mice. *Int Immunol* 9:461–6
- Matsuoka H, Meada N, Atsuta Y *et al.* (1995) Seasonal fluctuations of *Dermatophagoides* mite population in house dust. *Jpn J Med Sci Biol* 48:103–15
- Ohsawa Y, Hirasawa N (2012) The antagonism of histamine H1 and H4 receptors ameliorates chronic allergic dermatitis via anti-pruritic

and anti-inflammatory effects in NC/Nga mice. Allergy 67:1014-22

- Sanda T, Yasue T, Oohashi M *et al.* (1992) Effectiveness of house dust-mite allergen avoidance through clean room therapy in patients with atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 89:653–7
- Ständer S, Weisshaar E (2012) Medical treatment of pruritus. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs* 17:335–45
- Takeshita K, Yamasaki T, Akira S *et al.* (2004) Essential role of MHC II-independent CD4 + T cells, IL-4 and STAT6 in contact hypersensitivity induced by fluorescein isothiocyanate in the mouse. *Int Immunol* 16:685–95
- Tanaka A, Amagai Y, Oida K et al. (2012) Recent findings in mouse models for human atopic dermatitis. Exp Anim 61:77–84
- Thurmond RL, Gelfand EW, Dunford PJ (2008) The role of histamine H1 and H4 receptors in allergic inflammation: the search for new antihistamines. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 7: 41–53
- Yamamoto M, Haruna T, Ueda C et al. (2009) Contribution of itch-associated scratch behavior to the development of skin lesions in Dermatophagoides farinae-induced dermatitis model in NC/Nga mice. Arch Dermatol Res 301:739–46

# The *BRAF* V600K Mutation Is More Frequent than the *BRAF* V600E Mutation in Melanoma *In Situ* of Lentigo Maligna Type

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2014) 134, 548–550; doi:10.1038/jid.2013.338; published online 12 September 2013

#### TO THE EDITOR

Lentigo maligna melanoma (LM, i.e., *in situ* melanoma) together with its invasive form lentigo maligna melanoma (LMM) is a subtype of malignant melanoma with specific and distinct characteristics compared with the other subtypes of malignant melanoma (superficial spreading melanoma, nodular melanoma, and acral lentiginous melanoma). In contrast to other subtypes, LM predominantly occurs on chronically sun-damaged skin of elderly people and is the most frequent malignant melanoma found in the face (Cohen, 1995). Another characteristic feature of LM is the location of atypical melanocytes along the dermal–epidermal junction, where they are arranged in solitary units or small nests. Because of these features, the proportion of tumor cells within the lesion is usually rather small, rendering molecular characterization challenging.

Mutations in the *BRAF* gene have been shown to be a common, early event in melanoma development (Ko and Fisherm, 2011) and because of recent advances in the treatment of metastatic melanoma, the mutational status of *BRAF* has become therapy decisive (Sosman *et al.*, 2012). So far, only little information is available on the *BRAF* V600E and *BRAF* V600K mutations in LM. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the occurrence of mutations in codon 600 of the *BRAF* gene in a large cohort of LM patient samples using a highly sensitive PCR method (Stadelmeyer, 2012).

We analyzed tissue samples from 61 patients (36 women and 25 men; median age, 73.9 years; age ranging from 43.5 to 94.5 years). A total of 59 patients had LM and two patients had a lesion with invasive tumor cells (i.e., LMM). LM-adjacent (normal) skin was available from 39 samples, and DNA was extracted and analyzed for the presence of *BRAF* mutations as described in the Supplementary Information.

Abbreviation: BRAF, v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 Accepted article preview online 9 August 2013; published online 12 September 2013