Descending Control of Itch Transmission by the Serotonergic System via 5-HT1A-Facilitated GRP-GRPR Signaling
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SUMMARY

Central serotonin (5-hydroxytryptophan, 5-HT) modulates somatosensory transduction, but how it achieves sensory modality-specific modulation remains unclear. Here we report that enhancing serotonergic tone via administration of 5-HT potentiates itch sensation, whereas mice lacking 5-HT or serotonergic neurons in the brainstem exhibit markedly reduced scratching behavior. Through pharmacological and behavioral screening, we identified 5-HT1A as a key receptor in facilitating gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP)-dependent scratching behavior. Coactivation of 5-HT1A and GRP receptors (GRPR) greatly potentiates subthreshold, GRP-induced Ca2+ transients, and action potential firing of GRPR+ neurons. Immunostaining, biochemical, and biophysical studies suggest that 5-HT1A stands alone in modulating itch-specific outputs, and a disruption of crosstalk between 5-HT1A and GRPR may be a useful antipruritic strategy.

INTRODUCTION

Somatosensory integration and transduction in the spinal cord and the trigeminal brainstem are subject to monoaminergic modulation. As an integral part of an animal’s adaptive response to an ever-changing environment, facilitatory and inhibitory modulation of somatosensory neural circuits is crucial for the maintenance of homeostasis. Monoaminergic modulation of neural circuits serves an important role in regulating behavioral responses to somatosensory inputs. One notable example is that an array of monoamine neuromodulators produced by supraspinal regions is important for the modulation of nociceptive transmission (Basbaum and Fields, 1984; Suzuki et al., 2004). Given the fundamental role of central modulation in sensory transduction, one can presume that itch sensation is similarly modulated at several levels. Imaging studies in human subjects indicated that pain-mediated inhibition of itch is associated with an activity level of the midbrain regions such as periaqueductal gray matter (PAG), an area known for pain modulation (Mochizuki et al., 2003). Experiments in humans also indicated that noxious counterirritants reduce itch sensation (Murray and Weaver, 1975; Ward et al., 1996; Yosipovitch et al., 2007). Interruption of the upper cervical spinal cord of rodents attenuated...
dry skin-induced itch, suggesting an involvement of the descending spinal pathway in itch responses (Akiyama et al., 2011). G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), or seven transmembrane proteins, constitute a large repertoire of cellular sensors required for transducing sensory signals from the skin to the brain (Jeffry et al., 2011; Julius and Nathans, 2012). Gastrin-releasing peptide receptor (GRPR) is a Gq protein-coupled receptor that belongs to the mammalian bombesin receptor family (Jensen et al., 2008; Kroog et al., 1995). GRPR is expressed in laminae I-II of spinal cord neurons and has an important role in the transmission of pruritogenic information (Li et al., 2011; O’Donohue et al., 1984; Sun and Chen, 2007; Sun et al., 2009). Gastrin-releasing peptide (GRP), an endogenous neuropeptide for itch, is expressed in a subset of dorsal root ganglion (DRG) and trigeminal ganglion (TG) cells and mediates GRPR activation in the spinal cord (Sun and Chen, 2007; Takanami et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013). While confusion has arisen about GRP expression in DRG neurons, the issue has recently been clarified (Liu et al., 2014; Takanami et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2013, 2014). The GRP-GRPR pathway is primarily engaged in transducing nonhistaminergic acute itch sensation and may play a relatively minor role in histaminergic itch (Akiyama et al., 2014; Sun et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2014). Enhanced GRP and GRPR expression is positively correlated with the intensity of chronic itch manifested by increased scratching bouts of animals (Nattkemper et al., 2013; Tominaga et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2013). Conversely, loss of GRPR or GRP markedly reduces itch sensation by increasing scratching response after i.d. injection of CQ in nape models (Figure 1C), recapitulating the phenotype of Lmx1b\textsuperscript{flop} mice (Figure 1A), demonstrating that central 5-HT neurons exert a constitutive tone for positively modulating itch transmission. To determine whether the decrease was specifically due to a loss of 5-HT or whether other factors originating in central 5-HT neurons were involved, we generated mice lacking tryptophan hydroxylase 2 (Tph2), which encodes the rate-limiting enzyme for 5-HT synthesis in the brain (Walther et al., 2003) using gene targeting strategy (Figure 1B). Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} mice showed no expression of Tph2 and 5-HT in the central nervous system but maintained normal presumptive 5-HT neurons (Figure 1B) (Kim et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2011). Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} mice displayed a significant reduction in scratching response after i.d. injection of CQ in nape models (Figure 1C), recapitulating the phenotype of Lmx1b\textsuperscript{flop} mice. Since 5-HT signaling in the brain and the spinal cord may contribute differentially to the modulation of itch sensation, next we asked whether impaired itch sensation observed in Lmx1b\textsuperscript{flop} mice and Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} mice may be ascribed to a blockage of the descending 5-HT pathway. We selectively degenerated spinal 5-HT\textsuperscript{+} terminals in the spinal cord by an intrathecal injection (i.t.) of 5,7-dihydroxytryptamine (5,7-DHT) following pretreatment with desipramine to prevent the transport of 5,7-DHT into noradrenergic and dopaminergic terminals (Björklund et al., 1975). At 2 weeks after 5,7-DHT injection, when spinal 5-HT\textsuperscript{+} fibers were depleted (Figure S1A, available online), mice exhibited an attenuated scratching response to CQ (Figure 1D), mimicking the phenotype of Lmx1b\textsuperscript{flop} mice and Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} mice. These loss-of-function studies confirm the contribution of descending 5-HT signaling to the modulation of itch sensation. To complement the loss-of-function approaches, we next determined whether we could rescue the attenuated itch transmission of Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} mice and Lmx1b\textsuperscript{flop} mice using an intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of the 5-HT precursor, 5-hydroxytryptophan (5-HTP), to boost 5-HT tone. Exogenous 5-HTP can be decarboxylated to 5-HT by aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase (AADC), thereby bypassing the need for TPH2 for 5-HT synthesis (Figure 1B) (Birdsall, 1998). Indeed, immunohistochemical staining (IHC) revealed abundant 5-HT staining in the spinal cord of Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} mice after 5-HTP injection (Figure 1E, top panel). In contrast, Lmx1b\textsuperscript{flop} mice treated with 5-HTP failed to produce 5-HT in the brain (Figure 1E, lower row). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis also revealed a significant increase in 5-HT concentrations and its metabolite, 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA), in the brain and spinal cord of Tph2\textsuperscript{−−} and wild-type mice treated with 5-HTP (Figures S1B-S1E). These studies demonstrate a successful conversion of 5-HT from 5-HTP in the absence of TPH2 and that 5-HT synthesis is
critically dependent on 5-HT neurons that express AADC. We next examined scratching responses of Tph2−/− mice after 5-HTP injection and found that 5-HTP injection indeed restored normal scratching responses of Tph2−/− mice to CQ (Figure 1F). However, the same treatment failed to enhance CQ-induced scratching in Lmx1βfl/fl mice (Figure 1G). This finding complements the results from the 5,7-DHT lesion study suggesting that 5-HT is important in the facilitation of CQ-induced itch and supports the notion that AADC in 5-HT neurons is required for catalyzing the conversion of 5-HTP into 5-HT. These results also demonstrate that peripheral 5-HT is not involved in modulation of itch transmission. Consistently, WT mice treated with 5-HTP showed a dramatic increase of CQ-elicted scratching (Figure 1H). Since CQ-elicted itch is dependent on GRP-GRPR signaling (Sun and Chen, 2007), we reasoned that 5-HT might synergistically act with GRP to enhance the function of GRPR. We tested this possibility by examining the GRP-induced scratching (GIS) with an intracisternal injection in mice treated with 5-HTP. Indeed, GIS was markedly potentiated by 5-HTP (Figure 1I), revealing a positive correlation between the activity of GRPR and central 5-HT tone. Importantly, 5-HTP injection did not affect the scratching response induced by CQ in Grpr KO mice (Figure 1J). Taken together, we conclude that spinal 5-HT signaling facilitates itch transmission through GRPR.

Figure 1. Central Serotonin Facilitates Itch Transmission
(A) Lmx1βfl/fl mice showed deficits in CQ-induced scratching behavior (p < 0.05).
(B) Diagram showing synthesis of 5-HT in the brain. IHC images show that spinal 5-HT was not detectable in Tph2−/− mice.
(C) Tph2−/− mice exhibited attenuated CQ-induced scratching responses.
(D) CQ-induced scratching responses were attenuated after 5,7-DHT injection in C57Bl/6J mice (p < 0.05).
(E) Injection of 5-HTP (10 mg/kg, i.p.) for 60 min restored dorsal spinal 5-HT in Tph2−/− mice, but not in Lmx1βfl/fl mice.
(F and G) Injection of 5-HTP for 30 min rescued CQ-induced scratching behavior in Tph2−/− mice (F), but not in Lmx1βfl/fl mice (G).
(H–J) Injection of 5-HTP facilitated scratching behaviors induced by CQ (H) and GRP (I) in C57Bl/6J mice, while Grpr KO mice did not respond to 5-HTP injection (J).
Error bars represent SEM. *p < 0.05 versus WT (C) or saline (F–J), by unpaired t test in (C) and (F)–(J), or two-way repeated-measures ANOVA in (A) and (D). n = 6–9. Scale bars, 100 μm. See also Figure S1.
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5-HT1A Mediates 5-HT-Dependent Facilitation of GRPR Function

To identify the 5-HT receptor subtype that mediates the facilitating effect of descending 5-HT on itch transmission, we carried out pharmacological and behavioral screening by injecting a variety of 5-HT receptor agonists and GRP into the spinal cord of WT mice. GRP at 0.01 nmol was used because this dose was insufficient to elicit scratching behavior greater than vehicle (Figure 2A). The use of a minimal concentration of GRP serves to ensure the sensitivity of screening for identifying 5-HT receptor agonists that may potentiate GRP action. Of all the agonists tested for the 5-HT receptor subtypes, only R-(+)-8-OH-DPAT (DPAT), a 5-HT1A agonist, showed a robust facilitating effect on GIS (Figure 2A), and the effect of DPAT is dose dependent (Figure 2B). Notably, i.t. DPAT alone failed to induce scratch behavior (Figure 2A). Although DPAT is also a partial agonist for 5-HT7 receptor, it is unlikely that 5-HT7 is involved since AS-19, an agonist for 5-HT7, did not enhance GIS significantly (Figure 2A). Furthermore, agonists for other 5-HT receptor subtypes failed to increase GIS (Figure 2A). We next employed WAY100635 (WAY), a highly specific 5-HT1A antagonist, to verify whether the robust scratching response elicited by DPAT/GRP is mediated by 5-HT1A. Pretreatment of mice with WAY (10 µg, i.t.) for 5 min nearly abolished scratching behavior evoked by DPAT/GRP coinjection (Figure 2C). Importantly, DPAT failed to enhance scratching behavior in Htr1a−/− mice and Grpr KO mice (Figure 2D). These data demonstrate that activation of spinal 5-HT1A is required for the facilitation of GRPR function in itch transmission.

Since an elevated 5-HT level facilitates itch elicited by CQ, it is likely that 5-HT1A activation may facilitate CQ-elicited itch as well. Indeed, CQ-induced scratching behavior was greatly enhanced by DPAT (p < 0.01) (E), while attenuated by WAY (F) and Htr1a siRNA (G). CQ-induced scratching behavior on GIS was significantly reduced by Htr1a siRNA. Error bars represent SEM (n = 5–9). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus saline (A, D, and F) or control (G and H). WAY blocked the facilitatory effect of DPAT on GIS (p < 0.01).

(E–G) CQ-induced scratching behavior was significantly enhanced by DPAT (p < 0.01) (E), while attenuated by WAY (F) and Htr1a siRNA (G). Htr1a facilitatory effect of DPAT on GIS was significantly reduced by Htr1a siRNA. Error bars represent SEM (n = 5–9). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus saline (A, D, and F) or control (G and H). WAY blocked the facilitatory effect of DPAT on GIS (p < 0.01).

Descending 5-HT Terminals Contact GRPR+ Neurons and Coexpression of 5-HT1A and GRPR in the Spinal Cord

5-HT terminals mainly originated from the NRM are densely distributed in the superficial part (laminae I and IIo) of the dorsal
spinal cord and SpVc of the brainstem (Li et al., 1997). To examine whether 5-HT+ terminals make connections with GRPR+ neurons in the dorsal spinal cord, we performed double immunohistochemistry IHC for 5-HT and eGFP in GRPR-eGFP mice. Indeed, numerous 5-HT+ fibers and GRPR+ neurons were detected in the superficial laminae of the dorsal spinal cord, and 5-HT+ fibers overlap with all GRPR+ neurons (Figures 3A–3F). Synaptic connections between 5-HT+ terminals and GRPR+ neuronal cell bodies. (G and H) 5-HT+ axon terminals (t; silver grains) make symmetric (G) or asymmetric (H) synaptic contacts with GRPR+ dendritic profiles (d, d1; DAB reaction products) or GRPR- dendritic profile (d2), respectively. Arrow heads indicate postsynaptic membranes. (I–N) Double IHC of 5-HT1A (red) and GFP (green) shows coexpression of 5-HT1A (I) and GRPR (J) in the superficial dorsal horn neurons. (K) An overlaid image of (I) and (J). (L) High-power image of the boxed area in (I). (M) High-power image of the boxed area in (J). (N) High-power image of the boxed area in (K). Arrows indicate double-stained cells. Scale bars, 10 μm in (A) and (I), 2.5 μm in (D) and (L), 0.3 μm in (G) and (H). See also Figures S2 and S3.

Facilitation of GRP-Induced Calcium Signaling by 5-HT1A in Spinal GRPR+ Neurons

GRPR mediates itch sensation through the PLCb/IP3 pathway and intracellular Ca2+ release (Liu et al., 2011), whereas 5-HT1A signals predominantly through the Gi protein-coupled
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cyclic AMP (cAMP) pathway (Hoyer et al., 2002). To investigate the functional cross-communication between 5-HT1A and GRPR, we examined intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization in dissociated spinal dorsal horn neurons using calcium imaging. As expected, neither 5-HT nor DPAT at 10 \(\mu M\) (Figure S4A), nor GRP at 5 nM, evoked a Ca\(^{2+}\) response in spinal dorsal horn neurons (Figure 4A). In contrast, coapplication of GRP (5 nM) and 5-HT (10 \(\mu M\)) produced Ca\(^{2+}\) transients in 6% of spinal neurons (30/487) (Figure 4A), which cannot be attributed to additive effect. Similarly, DPAT (10 \(\mu M\)) also greatly facilitated GRP-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling, indicating that 5-HT1A mediated the effect of 5-HT (Figure 4A). Importantly, spinal neurons of Grpr KO mice did not respond to GRP at high concentrations (up to 20 nM) (Figure S4B), indicating that it is GRPR that mediated the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization in WT neurons. To further examine the involvement of 5-HT1A in the observed facilitating effect of 5-HT and DPAT, WAY was used to block 5-HT1A prior to GRP+DPAT/5-HT application. Indeed, WAY completely blocked Ca\(^{2+}\) responses of GRPR+ neurons upon GRP+DPAT/5-HT incubation as well as the responses recovered after WAY was washed out.

Figure 4. Coactivation of 5-HT1A Facilitates GRPR Ca\(^{2+}\) Signaling in Spinal Neurons
(A) Representative traces showing that 5 nM GRP failed to evoke Ca\(^{2+}\) responses in dissociated WT spinal neurons, while coapplication of 5-HT (blue) or DPAT (red) together with GRP (5 nM) induced intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization.
(B) WAY completely blocked Ca\(^{2+}\) responses of spinal neurons evoked by GRP+5-HT (blue) or GRP+DPAT (red), which recovered after WAY was washed out.
(C) U73122, but not U73343, blocked intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization induced by GRP+DPAT.
(D) GRP+DPAT-evoked intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization vanished after depletion of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) store by DBHQ.

The experiments were repeated three times, and at least 200 neurons were analyzed for each experiment. See also Figure S4.
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(Way did not display a nonspecific effect on intracellular Ca²⁺ mobilization evoked by GRP alone without 5-HT1A activation (Figure S4C). We previously showed that 0.5PLCβ1 IP3R signaling pathway and intracellular Ca²⁺ stores are essential for GRP-induced Ca²⁺ response in human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells (Liu et al., 2011). To further understand the signaling events downstream of 5-HT1A/GRPR upon GRP+DPAT stimulation, we first tested the effect of U73122, a PLC inhibitor, and found that U73122, but not its inactive analog U73343, completely abolished GRP+DPAT-induced Ca²⁺ mobilization in GRPR⁺ neurons (Figure 4C). GRP+DPAT-respecting neurons did not respond to the second incubation of GRP+DPAT after the intracellular Ca²⁺ store was depleted by 2,5-di-tert-butyl-hydroquinone (DBHQ), a selective and potent inhibitor of endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase (SERCA) (Moore et al., 1987) (Figure 4D). The effects of U73122 and DBHQ were not due to ligand-induced desensitization of 5-HT1A/GRPR signaling pathway because GRPR⁺ neurons showed comparable Ca²⁺ responses upon two consecutive applications of GRP+DPAT with a 30 min wash interval (Figure S4D). These results provide in vivo evidence indicating that coactivation of 5-HT1A by 5-HT or DPAT facilitates GRP/GRPR-mediated PLC-dependent intracellular Ca²⁺ signaling pathway.

Heteromeric Interactions between 5-HT1A and GRPR

GPCR heteromeric interactions have been increasingly implicated in conferring GPCRs with expanded functionality (Bouvier, 2001; Milligan, 2013). Receptor crosstalk confers neuronal GPCRs with novel signaling and pharmacological properties enhancing the capacity of neural circuits to regulate a wide array of behavioral outputs (Prinster et al., 2005). The coexpression and synergistic effect of 5-HT1A and GRPR coactivation raised the possibility that these two receptors may function as receptor heteromeric complexes. To ascertain whether 5-HT1A and GRPR may physically interact through receptor heteromerization, we conducted coimmunoprecipitation (coIP) experiments using membrane proteins extracted from HEK293 cells coexpressing HA-5-HT1A and Myc-GRPR. Anti-Myc antibody coimmunoprecipitated a band that corresponds to HA-5-HT1A (Figure 5E). In a reverse coIP experiment, a specific Myc-GRPR band was also detected in anti-HA precipitates (Figure 5B). In contrast, the specific bands were not observed in the precipitates from mixed membrane proteins that were prepared using cells expressing either HA-5-HT1A or Myc-GRPR (Figures 5A and 5B), suggesting the presence of constitutive 5-HT1A-GRPR complexes in the membrane when these two receptors are coexpressed in the same cells (Figures 5A and 5B). To verify the specificity of coIP between HA-5-HT1A and Myc-GRPR, we examined whether GRPR would interact with 5-HT1B, a 5-HT1 receptor subtype that is phylogenetically most closely related to 5-HT1A (Hoyer et al., 2002). Myc-GRPR immunoreactivity was not detectable in HA-5-HT1B precipitates using HEK293 cells coexpressing HA-5-HT1B and Myc-GRPR (Figure 5C). These results suggest that the formation of 5-HT1A and GRPR heteromers is likely to be specific. Next, we examined whether 5-HT1A and GRPR interact with each other in vivo by coIP studies using the spinal cord membrane preparations (Liu et al., 2011). A specific 5-HT1A band was coimmunoprecipitated with GRPR using mouse anti-GRPR antibodies (Figure 5D) but was not detectable when an irrelevant mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) was used (Figure 5D). Taken together, these results suggest the presence of receptor heteromeric complexes containing 5-HT1A and GRPR both in vitro and in vivo. Moreover, it further confirms that the two receptors are coexpressed in the same neurons in the spinal cord.

5-HT1A and GRPR Are Located in Close Proximity

Although coIP data suggest a physical association between 5-HT1A and GRPR, it remained unclear whether the receptors themselves interact or if intermediaries such as scaffolding or anchoring proteins were required for receptor association or whether the two receptors may coexist in microdomains (Prezeau et al., 2010). To examine this possibility, we utilized confocal imaging and single-cell subcellular acceptor photobleaching fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) analysis to assess the physical association between 5-HT1A and GRPR receptors (Karunarathne et al., 2013). A major advantage of our confocal setup is that the analysis of FRET can be performed in subcellular compartments on a single-cell level. This allows us to use a defined region of the same cell that is not photobleached as an internal control. The C terminus of 5-HT1A and GRPR were tagged with fluorescent protein eGFP as the donor and mCherry as the acceptor, respectively. FRET is calculated by time-lapse imaging of donor GFP (488 excitation, 510 emission) and acceptor mCherry (488 excitation, 630 emission) before and after photobleaching the acceptor (mCherry) in a selected region of the plasma membrane (Figure 5E). A plasma membrane region in the same cell that was not photobleached served as control (Figure 5E). Fast photobleaching (0.89 ms/μm²) and slow mobility of several transmembrane receptors on the plasma membrane ensured that during FRET analysis both the donor and acceptor fluorescent proteins remained stationary. After acceptor (5-HT1A-mCherry) photobleaching, donor (GRPR-eGFP) fluorescence intensity was increased due to the loss of energy transfer, suggesting the presence of FRET (Figures 5F and 5G). The FRET loss associated with acceptor photobleaching and subsequent increase in donor fluorescence intensity was 44.8 ± 11.2% (Figures 5F and 5G). In contrast, no detectable FRET signal was observed between 5-HT1B-mCherry and GRPR-eGFP (Figure S6B). Lack of FRET between 5-HT1B and GRPR is consistent with coIP results, further supporting the notion that the 5-HT1A-GRPR association is specific. Taken together, these results suggest that 5-HT1A and GRPR are in close proximity on the plasma membrane, which may facilitate crosstalk between the two receptors.

Activation of 5-HT1A Potentiates the Excitability of GRPR⁺ Neurons

We next evaluated the effect of 5-HT1A activation on GRP-induced excitation of GRPR⁺ neurons by whole-cell patch-clamp recordings of GRPR-eGFP neurons using spinal cord slice preparations, hereafter referred to as GRPR⁺ neurons (Zhao et al., 2013). We first measured changes in membrane potential and input resistance of GRPR⁺ neurons in response to GRP, DPAT, and 5-HT. A bath application of GRP induced a subthreshold
membrane depolarization (Figures 6A and 6E). In contrast, DPAT and 5-HT hyperpolarized GRPR+ neurons as expected (Figures 6B, 6C, and 6E). Thus, activation of GRPR and 5-HT1A alone appeared to have opposing effects on the excitability of GRPR+ neurons in the spinal cord. To determine whether DPAT or 5-HT could facilitate GRP-dependent excitation, we examined the effect of a coapplication of GRP and DPAT or 5-HT on GRPR+ neurons. Importantly, a coapplication of GRP + DPAT or GRP + 5-HT not only masked the hyperpolarization observed in response to DPAT or 5-HT alone, but also induced a larger magnitude of depolarization that often resulted in action potential (AP) firing (Figure 6D). GRP and GRP + DPAT treatments significantly increased input resistance compared to control, whereas DPAT alone significantly decreased input resistance compared to control (Figures 6F and 6G). These data revealed that GRP-induced subthreshold membrane depolarization not only counteracted the hyperpolarizing effect of DPAT but was also potentiated by DPAT with a net increase in the excitability of GRPR+ neurons.

**A Blockade of 5-HT1A Attenuates Chronic Itch**

In light of the facilitatory effect of 5-HT1A on GRPR signaling and CQ-elicited itch, we next asked whether 5-HT1A could modulate long-lasting scratching behavior using two distinct chronic itch models that are dependent on enhanced GRP/GRPR signaling for maintaining long-lasting itch transmission (Zhao et al., 2013). First, we tested the effect of 5-HT1A activation on spontaneous scratching behavior of BRAF Nav1.8 mice in which the BRAF kinase in sensory neurons expressing the sodium channel Nav1.8 was selectively activated by genetically replacing the WT Braf gene with a kinase-activated one (V600E) (Zhao et al., 2013). BRAF Nav1.8 mice progressively developed spontaneous scratching behavior accompanied by skin lesions as a result from increased expression of a cohort of itch-related genes, including GRP in sensory neurons and GRPR in the spinal cord (Zhao et al., 2013). Although WT mice failed to exhibit scratching behavior after i.t. DPAT alone, the spontaneous scratching behavior of BRAF Nav1.8 mice was significantly enhanced (Figure 7A). Likewise, DPAT also significantly enhanced the chronic scratching behavior induced by dry skin (xerosis) (Figure 7B), a skin condition often associated with pruritus (Miyamoto et al., 2002). The enhanced scratching responses in mice with chronic itch by DPAT suggests that 5-HT1A is likely to be constitutively primed for tonic activation by enhanced release of 5-HT. To test this, we measured the levels of 5-HT and 5-HIAA in mice with chronic itch using HPLC. Moreover, the levels of 5-HT.
were significantly elevated in the hindbrain of BRAFNav1.8 mice and cervical spinal cord of dry skin mice (Figure S7A). The levels of 5-HIAA were also significantly elevated in hindbrain and cervical spinal cord of BRAFNav1.8 mice, suggesting enhanced activity of 5-HT in these regions (Figure S7 B). Then, we examined whether WAY may attenuate chronic itch. Similar to its inhibitory effect on CQ-elicited itch, i.t. WAY significantly attenuated spontaneous scratching behaviors of BRAFNav1.8 mice (Figure 7C) and dry skin mice (Figure 7D). Importantly, injection of saline had no significant effect on spontaneous scratching behaviors of BRAFNav1.8 mice or dry skin mice (Figures 7C and 7D). Furthermore, Tph2−/− mice also displayed deficits in spontaneous scratching behaviors under dry skin condition (Figure 7E), and WT mice showed attenuated scratching behavior after knockdown of spinal 5-HT1A by i.t. Htr1a siRNA (Figure 7F). Thus, these data support the notion that 5-HT1A is constitutively activated in the setting of chronic itch, and its activation by descending 5-HT is required for maintaining long-lasting itch transmission.

DISCUSSION
The Role of 5-HT1A in the Modulation of Itch Transmission
Using both loss- and gain-of-function, genetic, pharmacological, behavioral, and electrophysiological examinations, we demonstrate that central 5-HT signaling is essential for facilitating itch transmission, and this function is mediated by the 5-HT1A receptor.
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Figure 6. Coactivation of 5-HT1A and GRPR Increases the Excitability of GRPR+ Neurons
(A–C) Representative traces show membrane depolarization by GRP (A) and hyperpolarizing response induced by DPAT (B) and 5-HT (C) in current-clamped GRPR+ neurons. (D) Representative traces showing that a coapplication of GRP and DPAT or 5-HT evoked membrane depolarization and AP firing in current-clamped GRPR+ neurons. AP firing evoked by GRP+DPAT and GRP+5-HT ranged from 0.167 to 3.5 Hz (n = 8) and 0.03 to 6 Hz (n = 17), respectively. (E) Quantified data of (A)–(D). GRP depolarized GRPR+ neurons by 5.3 ± 0.3 mV (n = 8), DPAT (7.5 μM, blue) and 5-HT (40 μM, dark green) hyperpolarized GRPR+ neurons by 9.4 ± 0.9 mV (n = 19) and 10.4 ± 1 mV (n = 13), respectively. In contrast, coapplication of GRP+DPAT depolarized GRPR+ neurons by 8.7 ± 1.4 mV (red) (n = 15), GRP+5-HT depolarized GRPR+ neurons by 8.9 ± 1.5 mV (purple) (n = 35). (F) Representative traces illustrate the effect of GRP (yellow), DPAT (blue), and GRP+DPAT (red) on membrane input resistance in current-clamped GRPR+ neurons receiving negative current injections (−20 pA). Control (green): extracellular buffer only. For all recordings, neuronal health was verified by observing action potentials in response to positive current injection under control conditions (black). (G) GRP (yellow) increased the membrane input resistance from 1,185 ± 124 MΩ to 1,350 ± 150 MΩ (n = 8), DPAT decreased the input resistance from 1,311 ± 168 MΩ to 894 ± 137 MΩ (n = 19), and GRP + DPAT increased the input resistance from 1,116 ± 247 MΩ to 1,616 ± 226 MΩ (n = 15); *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, paired t test.

Error bars represent SEM.
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Facilitation of GRP-GRPR Itch Signaling by 5-HT1A

The mode of 5-HT1A or GRPR activation in a single-receptor paradigm clearly differs from that of the two-receptor paradigm. The fact that DPAT by itself is unable to elicit scratching behavior implies that 5-HT1A cannot transmit itch information directly. In contrast, GRP at a low dose that is insufficient to elicit either a calcium response (5 nM) or scratching behavior (0.01 nmol) can do so only if 5-HT1A is simultaneously activated. Since DPAT alone fails to produce calcium spikes, it is unlikely that DPAT alone is capable of exciting GRPR+ neurons. On the contrary, DPAT causes hyperpolarization of GRPR+ neurons. Postsynaptic DPAT causes hyperpolarization of GRPR+ neurons. Postsynaptic 5-HT1A activation predominantly hyperpolarizes dorsal horn neurons to dampen neuronal excitability and synaptic transmission (Grudt et al., 1995; Yoshimura and Furue, 2006). Remarkably, the hyperpolarizing response of GRPR+ neurons produced by DPAT was completely masked by GRP. When GRP and DPAT were coapplied, together they were able to induce action potential firing. This response was not observed by an application of either GRP or DPAT alone. Thus, interactions between 5-HT1A and GRPR alter the pharmacological and physiological properties of either of the receptors and confer a unique functionality to 5-HT1A (Figure 8A). While activation of Gq-coupled 5-HT1A is usually inhibitory via the cAMP pathway, the receptor may facilitate the responsiveness of Gq-coupled receptors through signaling coupling. Our finding thus uncovers a unique paradigm for exploring facilitatory crosstalk mechanisms between Gq- and Gq-coupled receptor signaling transduction in a highly

5-HT1A-GRPR Crosstalk Amplifies Itch Signaling

A key finding of our work is that 5-HT1A facilitates itch modulation through its crosstalk with GRPR. Several pieces of evidence, such as coexpression, colocalization, and FRET data, imply a physical association between 5-HT1A and GRPR in the same cells. While further studies are needed to explain whether the existence of 5-HT1A-GRPR heteromeric complexes is a prerequisite for the initiation of signaling crosstalk, it is tempting to speculate that the spatial proximity of 5-HT1A and GRPR may permit specific heteromeric crosstalk without accidental engagement of unrelated signaling pathways in GRPR+ neurons. A close proximity with 5-HT1A may enable GRPR to process information via pairing with specific GPCRs in a real-time manner. 5-HT1A-dependent facilitation requires coactivation of GRPR by exogenous GRP. Although coapplication of GRP is not required in chronic itch models for 5-HT1A-dependent facilitation, it is presumed that there is a constitutive release of GRP from primary afferents to prime GRPR for 5-HT modulation because GRP expression is significantly upregulated in chronic itch conditions and GRP blocker attenuated spontaneous scratching behaviors (Liu et al., 2014; Nattkemper et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013).

receptor. Decreased itch transmission in mice lacking 5-HT is unlikely to be due to developmental deficits because we were able to restore normal itch transmission in Tph2−/− mice by an administration of exogenous 5-HTP. Furthermore, we demonstrate that central 5-HT neurons are essential for converting 5-HTP into 5-HT since mice lacking these neurons failed to be rescued by exogenous 5-HTP. Our study reveals a function of 5-HT1A in sensory modality-specific modulation and demonstrate that 5-HT1A is a principal receptor for mediating 5-HT-dependent modulation of itch transmission. Importantly, the facilitatory role of 5-HT1A is required not only for acute itch but also for long-lasting itch transmission. Therefore, 5-HT1A has a broad role in the modulation of itch transmission.

Figure 7. Activation of 5-HT1A Facilitates Long-Lasting Itch Transmission

(A and B) Spontaneous scratching behaviors of BrafNav1.8 mice (A) and dry skin mice (B) were facilitated after DPAT injection. (C and D) WAY (10 μg) suppressed spontaneous scratching behavior of BrafNav1.8 mice (C) and dry skin mice (D). (E) Tph2−/− mice showed attenuated spontaneous scratching behavior under dry skin condition compared to WT littermates. (F) Htr1a siRNA treatment significantly attenuated spontaneous scratching behavior under dry skin condition compared to control siRNA (Ctrl). Error bars represent SEM (n = 5–15). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, paired t-test in (A)–(D), unpaired t-test in (E) and (F). See also Figure S7.
physiologically relevant context. It is important to note that the present study is only one step toward understanding the central modulation of itch transmission. Since both heteromeric interactions and/or convergence of intracellular signaling pathways may occur (Prezeau et al., 2010), our studies raise several key questions concerning the underlying mechanisms: what are the interfacing regions for 5-HT1A-GRPR heteromeric interaction? Does the crosstalk between 5-HT1A and GRPR occur at the receptor or intracellular second messenger levels or both? If intracellular crosstalk is required, does 5-HT1A potentiate GRPR signaling by releasing G\textsubscript{i} subunits to stimulate G\textsubscript{q}-coupled PLC signaling? What is the respective role of G\textsubscript{i}-coupled signaling in activation versus facilitation of GRPR? It will be of great interest to determine where the two signaling pathways might converge to amplify G\textsubscript{q}-dependent itch signaling through either a “switch” or “turn off” of the signaling characteristic of G\textsubscript{i}-coupled receptors.

Opposing Modulation of Itch and Pain by 5-HT Receptor Mechanisms

Pain and itch have been known as two opposing sensations (Ikoma et al., 2006; LaMotte et al., 2014; Ma, 2010) and, conceivably, may be subject to opposing descending modulation in the spinal cord. Despite some conflicting reports and the pain modality-dependent role of 5-HT1A (Bardin, 2011), most studies suggest an inhibitory function for postsynaptic 5-HT1A in spinal nociceptive processing, especially in thermal and inflammatory pain (Bardin, 2011; Millan, 2002; Yoshimura and Furue, 2006; Zhao et al., 2007a). The majority of substantia gelatinosa (lamina II) neurons of the dorsal horn and SpVc responded to 5-HT or 5-HT1A agonists with a hyperpolarizing membrane current, thereby inhibiting nociceptive processing (Abe et al., 2009; Grudt et al., 1995; Lu and Perl, 2007; Yoshimura and Furue, 2006). In contrast, 5-HT1A facilitates itch by enhancing the excitability of GRPR\textsuperscript{+} neurons and by inhibiting its canonical pathway. Taken together, 5-HT1A has opposing roles in mediating descending 5-HT modulation of itch and pain, and this dual role is exerted through distinct subsets of dorsal horn neurons that express 5-HT1A with or without GRPR (Figure 8C). Along with previous studies, our data therefore provide evidence indicating that itch and pain are subject to opposing modulation of descending 5-HT signaling in the spinal cord.

Contribution of Central 5-HT Signaling to Development of the Itch-Scratch Cycle

What is the probable physiological significance of 5-HT1A-mediated central modulation of itch and pain? A cardinal feature of chronic itch associated with a wide spectrum of skin diseases is a vicious itch-scratch cycle (ISC) (Paus et al., 2006; Yosipovitch and Papoiu, 2008) (Figure 8B). Scratching behavior, as a noxious mechanical stimulus, can inhibit itch sensation and spinal projection neurons (Davidson et al., 2009; Yosipovitch et al., 2010).
However, it also intensifies skin inflammation, which in turn provokes more intense itch sensation as well as an uncontrollable urge to scratch. Given the role of postsynaptic 5-HT1A in the inhibition of inflammatory pain and spinal nociceptive processing, we suggest a hypothetic model that explains the dual consequences of scratching behavior (Figure 8C). Past studies indicated that activation of the nociceptive pathway in response to somatic and/or noxious stimuli can promote 5-HT release in the spinal cord (Sorkin and McAdoo, 1993; Yaksh and Tyce, 1981). Likewise, vigorous scratching-induced pain can conceivably evoke 5-HT release to inhibit nociceptive processing through 5-HT1A-dependent negative feedback mechanisms (Figure 8B). Concurrently, tonic 5-HT release paradoxically activates 5-HT1A in GRPR+ neurons to potentiate itch transmission (Figure 8C). Thus, the positive and negative feedback controls of pain and itch outputs are likely to contribute to the vicious development of the ISC.

Because cross-signaling of 5-HT1A-GRPR heteromers is itch specific (only when GRPR is activated), a disruption of an interface between 5-HT1A and GRPR may alleviate the urge to scratch in chronic itch conditions. The advantage of such a strategy is to permit the normal functioning of 5-HT1A and GRPR in other areas of the brain where the receptors are not coexpressed. Unraveling key interfaces required for 5-HT1A-GRPR cross-signaling could be a crucial next step. Since GPCR cross-talk may occur at multiple signaling levels, the converging points of G protein cross-signaling may also be substrates for a therapeutic blockade. As enhanced central 5-HT signaling is likely to exacerbate the ISC, an exploration of the disruption of modulatory functions of 5-HT1A opens an additional avenue for designing novel therapeutics that ameliorate chronic pruritus.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Animal Behavior**

Adult male C57BL/6J mice, Lmx1bf/f/p mice (Zhao et al., 2006), Tph2+/- mice (Kim et al., 2014), Htr1a+/+ mice (Heisler et al., 1998), GrprKO mice (Hampton et al., 1998), and BrafNav1.8 mice (Zhao et al., 2013) were used for the study. Scoring behaviors were performed as previously described (Sun and Chen, 2007; Zhao et al., 2013). All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of Health and the International Association for the Study of Pain and were approved by the Animal Studies Committee at Washington University School of Medicine.

**Immunohistochemistry and In Situ Hybridization**

IHC and ISH were performed as described (Zhao et al., 2006). For the IHC study, sections were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C followed by the use of FITC or Cy3-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-5-HT (1:5,000, Immunostar), rabbit anti-5-HT1A (1:200, Santa Cruz), and chicken anti-GFP (polyclonal, 1:500, Aves Labs). For the ISH study, a digoxigenin-labeled CRNA probe was used as described earlier (Zhao et al., 2006). Images were taken using a Nikon Eclipse Ti-U microscope.

**Small Interfering RNA Treatment**

Htr1a siRNA (Sigma) were delivered to the lumbar region of the spinal cord twice daily for six consecutive days as described previously (Liu et al., 2011). Behavioral testing was carried out 24 hr after the last injection.

**Immune-Electron Microscopy**

Immune-electron microscopic studies were performed as described (Li et al., 1997; Pang et al., 2006). Briefly, cross sections of lumbar spinal cord of adult GRPR-eGFP mice were double immune-labeled by rabbit anti-5-HT antibody (1:2,000; Incstar Corporation) and guinea pig anti-GFP antibody (1.5 µg/ml) using immunogold-silver and immunoperoxidase methods, respectively. Furthermore, 50 nm-thick ultrathin sections were examined with a JEM-1400 electron microscope (JEOL). The digital micrographs were captured by VELETA (Olympus).

**HPLC**

The concentrations of monoamines were measured as previously described (Zhao et al., 2006). The resulting values were corrected for volume and expressed as pg of amine per mg of wet tissue or per 100 µl of plasma. For analyzing the effect of 5-HTP injections on indoleamine concentrations, samples were collected 1 hr after 5-HTP or saline administration.

**Cell Culture and Transfections**

Constructs were transfected into HEK293 cell lines for selection as described (Liu et al., 2011). For FRET experiments, pcDNA3.1/GRPR-eGFP (1 µg/cm²) and pcDNA3.1/5-HT1A-mCherry (0.2 µg/cm²) were transiently transfected into HEK293 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). FRET was done 24 hr after transfection.

**Calcium Imaging, CoP, and Western Blot Analysis**

Calcium imaging experiments were performed as described previously (Liu et al., 2011). Experiments were repeated three times, and a minimum of 50 cells were included for analysis. CoP and western blot analysis were performed as described (Liu et al., 2011). Briefly, solubilized membrane proteins (200 µg) were precipitated with rabbit anti-HA (BD Bioscience), mouse anti-Myc (Covance), or mouse anti-GRPR (Abmart) antibodies and TrueBlot anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG bead slurry (eBioscience). After elution, proteins were analyzed using western blot with mouse anti-Myc (1:1,000), rabbit anti-HA (1:1,000), mouse anti-GRPR (1:5,000), or rabbit anti-5-HT1A (1:5,000, Abcam).

**Confocal Subcellular FRET Imaging**

The FRET imaging and calculations were performed as described previously (Karunarathine et al., 2013). Basal FRET between eGFP (donor) and mCherry (acceptor) was measured by rapid photobleaching of the acceptor in a defined region of a single cell, whereas the unbleached region was used as the control. Before and after photobleaching, a series of time-lapse images were captured with donor excitation-donor emission (DD) and donor excitation-acceptor emission (DA).

**Electrophysiological Recording**

Patch-clamp studies were performed as described previously (Jeffry et al., 2009). Slices of the lumbar spinal cord of GRPR-eGFP mice 3–4 weeks of age were prepared for patch-clamp recording. Firing patterns were examined by injection of steps of positive current for 500 ms. Input resistance was tested every 20 s for drug-induced changes by an injection of negative current (~20 pA). Series resistance was monitored in voltage clamp mode by measuring the instantaneous current in response to small voltage steps. Data were analyzed offline (ClampFit 10) and plotted in Origin 8 graphing software.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5 (version 5.03, GraphPad Software). p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, seven figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.10.003.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell #</th>
<th>ΔRT</th>
<th>#1</th>
<th>#2</th>
<th>#3</th>
<th>#4</th>
<th>#5</th>
<th>#6</th>
<th>#7</th>
<th>#8</th>
<th>#9</th>
<th>#10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actb</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grpr</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Htr1a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure S1. Reduced Spinal 5-HT Level after 5,7-DHT Injection and Enhanced Central 5-HT Concentrations by Systemic 5-HTP Administration, Related to Figure 1.

(A) Spinal 5-HT level was significantly reduced after 5,7-DHT treatment as detected by HPLC, while the levels of noradrenaline (NE) and dopamine (DA) were not affected.

(B-E) The concentrations of 5-HT (upper row) and 5-HIAA (lower row) in the forebrain (B), hindbrain (C), spinal cord (D) and plasma (E) were detected by HPLC with electrochemical detection. n = 4-5. Error bars represent SEM. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, versus saline.

Figure S2. 5-HT1A Antibody Is Specific, Related to Figure 3.

Rabbit anti-5-HT1A antibody (Rab x 5-HT1A) specifically labeled HEK 293 cell expressing 5-HT1A-GFP (red, upper row), but not 5-HT1B-GFP (lower row). Successful expression of 5-HT1A-GFP and 5-HT1B-GFP was confirmed by GFP fluorescence (green).

Figure S3. Co-Expression of Grpr and Htr1a in GRPR-eGFP Neurons, Related to Figure 3.

(A and B) qRT-PCR traces showing that Grpr (A) and Htr1a (B) were detected in 3 spinal GRPR-eGFP neurons (#2 - #4), but not in 1 spinal NMBR-eGFP neuron (#1).

(C) Actb mRNA was detectable in all 4 neurons. Specificity of the PCR reactions were verified using two negative controls, in which cDNA was substituted with H₂O or no RT product (∆RT).

Figure S4. GRP, 8-OH-DPAT and WAY100635 Are Specific, Related to Figure 4.

(A) 5-HT (blue traces) or 8-OH-DPAT (DPAT, red traces) was not able to induce Ca²⁺ response in dissociated WT spinal neurons.

(B) Up to 20 nM of GRP didn’t evoke Ca²⁺ response in dissociated spinal neurons of Grpr KO mice.
(C) WAY100635 failed to block GRP-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) spikes in dissociated WT spinal neurons.

(D) WT spinal neurons showed comparable Ca\(^{2+}\) response to two times of co-application of GRP (5 nM) and 8-OH-DPAT (10 µM) with 30 min wash in between.

**Figure S5. The specificity Test of Mouse Anti-GRPR Monoclonal Antibody, Related to Figure 5.**

(A) GRPR antibody staining on WT mouse spinal dorsal horn.

(B) GRPR antibody staining on bombesin-saporin treated mouse.

(C) GRPR staining after GRPR antigen adsorption.

(D) Mouse anti-GRPR antibody (mxGRPR) specifically labeled HEK 293 cells expressing GRPR-GFP (upper row) but not NMBR-GFP (lower row).

Scale bars, 100 µm in A, 20 µm in D.

**Figure S6. No Detectable FRET Was Observed Between GRPR-eGFP and 5-HT1B-mCh, Related to Figure 5.**

(A) Representative confocal images showing plasma membrane fluorescence intensities of HEK293 cells expressing 5HT-1B-mCherry (red) and GRPR-eGFP (green), before and after acceptor (mCh) photobleaching in the selected region of a cell (yellow box), Overlay images show typical example of a cell co-expressing 5HT-1B-mCh and GRPR-eGFP. Scale bar, 10 µm.

(B) Top: Plots showing background subtracted normalized fluorescence intensities of donor excitation/donor emission (green trace, 488 nm excitation, 515 nm emission: DD), donor excitation/acceptor emission (red trace, 488 nm excitation, 630 nm emission: DA) and acceptor excitation/acceptor emission (pink trace, 595 nm excitation, 630 nm emission: AA) from selected plasma membrane regions of the cells that were photobleached. Bottom: Averaged FRET ratio (DA/DD) in the photobleached regions. n = 9-11. Error bars represent SEM.

(C) Background subtracted normalized fluorescence intensities (top) and averaged FRET ratio (bottom) of non-photobleached regions in (A).
Figure S7. Enhanced 5-HT Level in Mice with Chronic Itch, Related to Figure 7.

(A) The level of 5-HT was significantly upregulated in hindbrain of BRAF$^{Nav1.8}$ mice and in the spinal cord of dry-skin mice.

(B) BRAF$^{Nav1.8}$ mice showed enhanced level of 5-HIAA in both hindbrain and the spinal cord.

Table S1. Expression of Grpr and Htr1a in GRPR-eGFP* Spinal Neurons.

Grpr message was detected in all GRPR-eGFP* superficial dorsal horn neurons (#2-10) by single cell RT-PCR. Htr1a signal was detected in 7 out of 9 GRPR-eGFP* neurons (#2-4, 6, 7, 10). No Grpr or Htr1a expression was detected in ∆RT control or one NMBR-eGFP spinal neuron (#1).

SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Mice

Adult male C57BL/6J mice, Lmx1b$^{flo/flo}$ mice (Ding et al., 2003), Tph2$^{-/-}$ mice (Kim et al., 2014), Htr1a$^{-/-}$ mice (Heisler et al., 1998), Grpr KO mice (Hampton et al., 1998) and BRAF$^{Nav1.8}$ mice (Zhao et al., 2013) were used for the study.

All mouse strains were maintained in a congenic C57BL/6J background and their wild-type littermates were used as controls for all experiments. C57BL/6J male mice (Jackson Laboratory) were also used for pharmacological studies. Mice were housed in clear plastic cages in a controlled environment at a constant temperature of 23°C and humidity of 50% ± 10% with food and water available ad libitum. The animal room was on a 12/12 h light/dark cycle with lights on at 0700. Male mice 7 to 12 weeks old were used to test for behavior and staining. Experimental procedures were conducted in accordance with policies of the National Institutes of Health and were approved by the Animal Studies Committee at Washington University School of Medicine.

Drugs and Chemicals
CQ, 5,7-DHT, 5-HTP, (R)-(+)-8-OH-DPAT (5-HT1A agonist), DOI (5-HT2A agonist), BW 723C86 (5-HT2B agonist), α-ME-5-HT (5-HT2 agonist), 1-(3-Chlorophenyl) biguanide hydrochloride (m-CPBG, 5-HT3 agonist) and WAY100635 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). GRP18-27 was purchased from Bachem (King of Prussia, PA). CP 93129 (5-HT1B agonist), LY344864 (5-HT1F agonist), m-cpp (5-HT2C agonist), RS 67506 (5-HT4 agonist), EMD 386088 (5-HT6 agonist) and AS 19 (5-HT7 agonist) were purchased from R&D Systems.

All chemicals were dissolved in sterile saline. The volume of drug solutions was 5 μL for i.t. and i.c. injections and 50 μL for nape i.d. injections. The dose chosen was based on our previous work (Sun and Chen, 2007; Sun et al., 2009) or determined in pilot experiments on a small group of animals. The doses of drugs were as follows: CQ, 200 µg nape i.d; 5-HTP, 10 mg/kg, i.p.; 5-HT receptor agonists, 5 nmol, i.t.; Other detailed information for time and doses for their use was indicated in results or figure legends.

**Acute Scratching Behavior**

Scratching behaviors were performed as previously described (Sun and Chen, 2007; Sun et al., 2009). Briefly, the injection area was shaved two days before experiments. To avoid cross-tachyphylaxis, each mouse was used for just one test. Prior to the experiments, each mouse was placed in a plastic arena (10 x 11 x 15 cm) for 30 min to acclimate. Mice were briefly removed from the chamber for injection. Animal behaviors were videotaped (SONY HDR-CX190) from a side angle and played back on computer for assessments by observers blinded to the treatments and the genotypes of the animals. Hind limb scratching behavior towards the injected area was observed for 30 min with 5 min intervals. One bout of scratch was defined as a lifting of the hind limb to the injection site and then a replacing of the limb back to the floor or to the mouth, regardless of how many scratching strokes took place in between (Sun and Chen, 2007).

*Intradermal (i.d.) Injection:* The injections were performed as previously described (Shimada and LaMotte, 2008). Pruritogen of 50 µl was injected intradermally into the nape of neck.
**Intracisternal (i.c.) Injection**: The i.c. injection was performed as described earlier (Reijneveld et al., 1999). Briefly, the animal was placed in the prone position with their neck draped over a 15 mL cylinder. A volume of 5 µL solution was injected using a Hamilton syringe between the occiput and C1 while mouse head was immobilized by the performer’s thumb and midfinger.

**Intrathecal (i.t.) Injection**: Intrathecal injections into the lumbar region of unanaesthetized mice were performed as described previously (Hylden and Wilcox, 1980). Briefly, 30-gauge needle attached on 10 µL-Hamilton Syringe was inserted into the intervertebral space between L5 and L6. Drugs were injected in a volume of 10 µl.

**5,7-DHT Treatment**

The protocol is similar to literatures (Oatway et al., 2004). Endogenous spinal 5-HT fibers were ablated in C57BL/6J mice using 5,7-dihydroxy-tryptamine (5,7-DHT) (Sigma-Aldrich). To prevent the uptake of 5,7-DHT into noradrenergic neurons, mice were pre-treated with desipramine hydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) (25 mg/kg, i.p.) for 45 min. Mice were then administrated with either 5,7-DHT (20 µg, i.t.) or vehicle (0.9% saline, 5 µl). Behavioral tests were performed 2 weeks after 5,7-DHT injection. The spinal cords were then dissected out for monoamines measurements using HPLC.

**Immunohistochemistry and in situ Hybridization**

Immunohistochemical staining and in situ hybridization were performed as previously described (Zhao et al., 2006). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with an overdose of ketamine, and fixed by intracardiac perfusion with cold PBS (0.01M, pH 7.4) followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The brain, spinal cord and small intestine were immediately removed, postfixed in the same fixative overnight at 4 °C and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose solution. Frozen tissue was sectioned at 20~25 µm thickness using a cryostat. Free-floating sections were blocked in a solution containing 2% donkey serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature. The sections were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C followed by the use of FITC or Cy3-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson
ImmunoResearch). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-5-HT (1:5,000, Immunostar), rabbit anti-5-HT1A (1:200, Santa Cruz) and chicken anti-GFP (polyclonal, 1:500, Aves Labs). For the *in situ* hybridization study, a digoxigenin-labeled cRNA probe was used as described earlier (Zhao et al., 2006). Images were taken using a Nikon Eclipse Ti-U microscope.

**Small Interfering RNA Treatment**

Negative control siRNA (SIC001) and selective siRNA duplex for mouse *Htr1a* mRNA knock-down (SASI_Mm01_00197594) were purchased from Sigma. RNA was dissolved in diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated PBS and prepared immediately prior to administration by mixing the RNA solution with a transfection reagent, *in vivo*-jet PEI® (Polyplus-transfection SA). The final concentration of RNA was 1.25 µg/10 µl. siRNA was delivered to the lumbar region of the spinal cord. Injection was given twice daily for 6 consecutive days as described previously with some modifications (Kawasaki et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2011; Luo et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2005). Behavior testing was carried out at 24 h after the last injection.

**Immune-Electron Microscopy**

Immune-electron microscopic studies were performed as previously described (Li et al., 1997; Pang et al., 2006). Briefly, three adult male GRPR-eGFP mice were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde. Lumbar enlargement of spinal cord was cut serially into 50-µm thick cross sections on a vibratome (Microslicer DTM-1000; Dosaka EM, Kyoto, Japan). Subsequently, 5-HT and GFP were labeled by the immunogold–silver method and by the immunoperoxidase method, respectively. The sections were incubated with a mixture of rabbit anti-5-HT antibody (1:2,000; Incstar Corporation, Stillwater, MN) and guinea pig anti-GFP antibody (1.5 µg/ml) (Nakamura et al., 2008) at room temperature for 24 h followed by a mixture of 1.4-nm gold-particle-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:100, Nanoprobes, 2003, Stony Brook, NY) and biotinylated donkey anti-guinea pig IgG (1:100, 706-065-148; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). The sections were then postfixed with 1% (w/v)
glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M PB (pH 7.4) for 10 min and washed in distilled water. Subsequently, silver enhancement was done in the dark with HQ Silver Kit (2012; Nanoprobes). Then the sections were incubated with avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (1:50, Elite ABC Kit; Vector) for 6 hrs. Next, the sections were incubated in 0.05 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.6) containing 0.02% (w/v) DAB (Dojindo, Tokyo, Japan) and 0.003% (v/v) H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 20–30 min at room temperature. Then the sections were osmated, counterstained with 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate, dehydrated, embedded as described previously (Pang et al., 2006). Further, 50-nm-thick ultrathin sections were cut with a diamond knife mounted on an ultramicrotome and examined with a JEM-1400 electron microscope (JEM, Tokyo, Japan). The digital micrographs were captured by VELETA (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

**HPLC**

The concentrations of monoamines (5-HT, NE, DA and 5-HIAA) were measured using HPLC with electrochemical detection as previously described (Zhao et al., 2006). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with an overdose of ketamine, and their brains and spinal cords were immediately removed and frozen on dry ice. The brains were divided into the rostral and caudal halves at the juncture of the medulla/pons region. Blood was taken from the heart and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to separate plasma from blood cells. The concentrations of the amines were calculated with respect to the mean peak height values obtained from standard runs set in the internal standard mode using CSW32 software (DataApex, Prague, Czech Republic). The resulting values were corrected for volume and expressed as pg of amine per mg of wet tissue or per 100 µL of plasma. For analyzing the effect of 5-HTP injections on indole amine concentrations, samples were collected one hour after 5-HTP or saline administration.

**Single Cell qRT-PCR**

Single-cell qRT-PCR was carried out using Ambion® Single Cell-to-CT™ Kit (Life technologies) in accordance with manufactures instructions. Briefly, single eGFP\textsuperscript{+} neuron in lamina I of spinal cord
slices from GRPR-eGFP mouse or NMBR-eGFP mouse was identified by green fluorescence under microscope. Negative pressure was applied to the pipette to isolate cytosol of the cell, which was extruded into 10 µl cell lysis/Dnase I solution for RNA extraction and genomic DNA digestion. After reverse transcription (25°C, 10 min/42°C, 60 min/85°C, 5 min) target cDNA was pre-amplified for 14 cycles (95°C, 15 sec/60°C, 4min) in the presence of 0.2x pooled TaqMan assays (Life technologies). One GRPR-eGFP neuron was used as ΔRT control for which reverse transcriptase as omitted at cDNA synthesis step. Diluted pre-amplification products (1:20 in 1x TE buffer) was used for final qPCR reaction (4 µl, 40 cycles of 95°C 5 sec/60°C 30 sec; StepOnePlus, Applied Biosystems) to examine target gene expression. TaqMan assays used are: Grpr, Mm01157247_m1; Htr1a, Mm00434106_s1; Actb, Mm01205647_g1. Data were analyzed using StepOne Software (v2.2.2.) with automatic baseline and threshold was set to 0.2.

**Plasmid**

Myc-GRPR, HA-5-HT1A, HA-5-HT1B, GRPR-GFP, 5-HT1A-mCherry, 5-HT1B-mCherry, NMBR-GFP, 5-HT1A-GFP and 5-HT1B-GFP were constructed using polymerase chain reaction and subcloned into a pcDNA3.1 (Life Technologies) using In-Fusion HD kit (Clontech Laboratories, Inc.).

**Cell Culture and Transfections**

HEK293 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Stable HEK293 cell lines were made as described previously (Liu et al., 2011). Briefly, cells were first transfected with plasmid containing the neomycin resistance by electroporation (GenePulser Xcell, Bio-Rad). Stable transfectants were selected in the presence of 500 µg/ml G418 (Invitrogen). To generate lines co-expressing two distinct epitope-tagged receptors, the cells were subjected to a second round of transfection and selected in the presence of 500 µg/ml G418 and 100 µg/ml hygromycin (Roche). Clones expressing Myc-GRPR, HA-5-HT1A, HA-5-HT1A/Myc-GRPR, and HA-5-HT1B/Myc-GRPR were generated. For FRET
experiments and antibody verification, receptors were transiently transfected into HEK293 cells using Lipofectamine 2000™ (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instruction. FRET was done at 37°C 24 h after transfection. GRPR and 5-HT1A antibody staining was carried out 24 h after transfection as described (Liu et al., 2011). Dilution of tested antibodies were 1:200 for rabbit anti-5-HT-1A and 1:1,000 for mouse anti-GRPR.

**Dissociation of Spinal Neurons**

Primary culture of spinal dorsal horn neurons was prepared from 5-7-days-old C57BL/6J mice (Zhao et al., 2013). After decapitation under deep anesthesia with diethylether, a laminectomy was performed and dorsal horn of spinal cord was dissected out with a razor blade and incubated in Neurobasal-A Medium (Gibco) containing 30 µl papain (Worthington) at 37 °C for 20 min. Enzymatic digestion was stopped by adding another 2 ml Neurobasal-A medium. After washing with the same medium for three times gentle trituration was performed using flame polished glass pipette until solution became cloudy. The homogenate was centrifuged at 1,500 rpm for 5 min and supernatant was discarded. Cell pellets were resuspended in culture medium composed of Neurobasal medium (Gibco, 92% vol/vol), fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, 2% vol/vol), HI Horse Serum (Invitrogen, 2% vol/vol), GlutaMax (2 mM, Invitrogen, 1% vol/vol), B27 (Invitrogen, 2% vol/vol), Penicillin (100 µg/ml) and Streptomycin (100 µg/ml) and then plated onto 12-mm coverslips coated with poly-D-lysine. After three days neurons were used for calcium imaging studies.

**Calcium Imaging**

Calcium imaging experiments were performed as described previously (Liu et al., 2011). The cells were loaded with Fura 2-acetomethoxy ester (Molecular Probes) for 30 min at 37°C. After washing, cells were imaged at 340 and 380 nm excitation to detect intracellular free calcium. Calibration was performed using Fura-2 Calcium Imaging Calibration Kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s
instructions. Each experiment was done at least three times and a minimum of 200 cells were analyzed each time.

**Co-Immunoprecipitation and Western Blot Analysis**

Tissues or cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, and proteinase inhibitors) and membrane proteins were prepared as described earlier (Liu et al., 2011). Solubilized samples (200 µg) were incubated with either rabbit antibody against HA (BD bioscience), mouse antibody against c-Myc (Covance) or GRPR (Abmart) overnight at 4 °C. The complex was precipitated with 50% TrueBlot™ anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG bead slurry (eBioscience). After washing, the beads were boiled in LDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) with 50 mM dithiothreitol for 10 min. Western blot was carried out with mouse anti Myc (1:1,000), rabbit anti HA (1:1,000), mouse anti-GRPR (1:5,000) or rabbit anti-5-HT1A (1:5,000, Abcam). The GRPR mouse monoclonal antibody was custom-made via Abmart. Specificity of the antibody was validated using different approaches. First, GRPR staining signals were markedly ablated in the superficial dorsal horn of bombesin-saporin-treated mice (Sun et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2014) (Figure S5B). Second, antigen adsorption completely blocked staining in control spinal sections (Figure S5C). Finally, HEK 293 cells expressing GRPR but not NMBR were specifically labeled by GRPR antibodies (Figure S5D).

**Confocal Subcellular FRET Imaging**

HEK 293 cells transiently expressing fluorescently tagged receptors were seeded in 29 mm glass bottom dishes. The FRET imaging and calculations were performed as described previously (Karunarathne et al., 2013). Basal FRET between eGFP (donor) and mCherry (acceptor) was measured by rapid photobleaching of the acceptor in a defined region of a single cell (ROI) which expresses both the donor and the acceptor (Figure 5E, yellow box). ROIs were photobleached using Andor FRAP-PA unit. The unbleached region of the same cell was used as control. Laser intensities of
the photobleaching lasers were adjusted using an acoustic tunable optical filter (AOTF) to prevent photobleaching of the donor. Before and after photobleaching, a series of time lapse images were captured with donor excitation-donor emission (DD) and donor excitation-acceptor emission (DA).

**Electrophysiological Recording**

Spinal cord slices were obtained from GRPR-eGFP mice 3-4 weeks of age. Laminectomies were performed in cold sucrose based solution (in mM): sucrose 300, KCl 2, NaH$_2$PO$_4$ 1.25, CaCl$_2$ 1, MgCl$_2$ 5, NaHCO$_3$ 26 and glucose 11. Transverse sections (400 µm) were taken with a vibratome 3000 tissue slicer and transferred to a recovery chamber containing standard artificial cerebrospinal fluid (in mM): NaCl 140, KCl 2.5, NaH$_2$PO$_4$ 1.4, CaCl$_2$ 2, MgCl$_2$ 2, NaHCO$_3$ 25 and glucose 11. For recording, slices were transferred to a recording chamber (Warner RC-21G) and continuously perfused (2 ml/min) with ACSF. Drugs were applied via the perfusion system. For Giga-seal whole cell recording, thick wall borosilicate pipettes were pulled (Sutter P-97) to a diameter of 3-5 MΩ and filled with an intracellular solution consisting of (in mM): K gluconate (130), NaCl (10), MgCl$_2$ (1), EGTA (0.2), HEPES (10), MgATP (1), NaGTP (5). GRPR-eGFP neurons were visualized with an Olympus BX-51 upright microscope and FITC fluorescent filter. Current clamp signals were control and acquired with a multiclamp 700B amplifier, digidata 1440 and pClamp 10 software. Signals were low pass filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 5 kHz. Firing patterns were examined by injection of steps of positive current for 500 ms. Input resistance was tested every 20 s for drug induced changes by injection of negative (20 pA) current. Membrane depolarizations were measured by subtracting steady state value (mV) under control conditions from the peak value (mV) observed during agonist application conditions. For neurons that fired action potentials in response to agonist application the peak membrane potential value was defined as the AP threshold of the first AP where the slope became greater than 5mV/ms. Series resistance was monitored in voltage clamp mode by measuring the instantaneous current in response to small voltage steps; recordings having greater than 20% change in series resistance were discarded. Data were analyzed offline (clampfit 10) and plotted in Origin 8 graphing software.
**Chronic Itch Models**

*Dry Skin (Xerosis):* The dry skin model was set up as described (Akiyama et al., 2010; Miyamoto et al., 2002). Briefly, the nape of mice at 8-12 weeks age was shaved and a mixture of acetone and diethylether (1:1) was painted on the neck skin for 15 sec, followed by 30 sec of distilled water application (AEW). This regiment was administrated twice daily for 10 days. Littermate control mice received water only for 45 sec on the same schedule. Spontaneous scratches were examined on the morning following the last AEW treatment. Baseline scratching behaviors were recorded for 60 min. Mice were returned to home cages for 20 min followed by drug injection. Ten minutes later scratching behaviors were recorded for another 60 min.

**Statistical Analysis**

All values are expressed as the means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 5 (version 5.03, GraphPad, San Diego, CA). One-Way Analysis of Variance was used to test the equality of three or more means at one time. For comparison of the mean of two groups a Student’s t test was used. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.
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