Cell

Sensory Neurons Co-opt Classical Immune Signaling Pathways to Mediate Chronic Itch

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- Type 2 cytokines directly activate both mouse and human sensory neurons
- IL-4 enhances neuronal responsiveness to multiple pruritogens
- Sensory neuron-specific deletion of IL-4Rα or JAK1 reduces chronic itch
- Clinical studies demonstrate that JAK inhibitors relieve chronic itch

Authors

Landon K. Oetjen, Madison R. Mack, Jing Feng, ..., Steve Davidson, Qin Liu, Brian S. Kim

Correspondence

briankim@wustl.edu

In Brief

Type 2 cytokines directly stimulate itchsensory neurons, and blocking this pathway is effective in a proof-of-concept study in patients with recalcitrant chronic itch.





Sensory Neurons Co-opt Classical Immune Signaling Pathways to Mediate Chronic Itch

Landon K. Oetjen,^{1,2} Madison R. Mack,^{1,2} Jing Feng,^{1,3} Timothy M. Whelan,^{1,2} Haixia Niu,^{1,2} Changxiong J. Guo,^{1,3} Sisi Chen,⁴ Anna M. Trier,^{1,2} Amy Z. Xu,^{1,2} Shivani V. Tripathi,^{1,2} Jialie Luo,^{1,3} Xiaofei Gao,^{1,3} Lihua Yang,⁵ Samantha L. Hamilton,⁵ Peter L. Wang,⁶ Jonathan R. Brestoff,⁶ M. Laurin Council,² Richard Brasington,⁷ András Schaffer,^{2,6} Frank Brombacher,⁸ Chyi-Song Hsieh,^{6,7} Robert W. Gereau IV,³ Mark J. Miller,⁵ Zhou-Feng Chen,^{1,3} Hongzhen Hu,^{1,3} Steve Davidson,⁴ Qin Liu,^{1,3} and Brian S. Kim^{1,2,3,6,9,*}

¹Center for the Study of Itch, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

²Division of Dermatology, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

³Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁴Department of Anesthesiology, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH 45267, USA

⁵Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁶Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁷Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA

⁸International Centre for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology and Institute of Infectious Disease and Molecular Medicine, Division of Immunology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town 7700, South Africa

⁹Lead Contact

*Correspondence: briankim@wustl.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.006

SUMMARY

Mammals have evolved neurophysiologic reflexes, such as coughing and scratching, to expel invading pathogens and noxious environmental stimuli. It is well established that these responses are also associated with chronic inflammatory diseases, including asthma and atopic dermatitis. However, the mechanisms by which inflammatory pathways promote sensations such as itch remain poorly understood. Here, we show that type 2 cytokines directly activate sensory neurons in both mice and humans. Further, we demonstrate that chronic itch is dependent on neuronal IL-4R α and JAK1 signaling. We also observe that patients with recalcitrant chronic itch that failed other immunosuppressive therapies markedly improve when treated with JAK inhibitors. Thus, signaling mechanisms previously ascribed to the immune system may represent novel therapeutic targets within the nervous system. Collectively, this study reveals an evolutionarily conserved paradigm in which the sensory nervous system employs classical immune signaling pathways to influence mammalian behavior.

INTRODUCTION

The nervous and immune systems work in concert to sense potential pathogens and provide host-protective responses (Ordovas-Montanes et al., 2015; Veiga-Fernandes and Mucida, 2016). Emerging evidence has demonstrated extensive crosstalk between these systems at multiple barrier surfaces including the gut (Gabanyi et al., 2016), lung (Talbot et al., 2015), and skin (Kashem et al., 2015; Riol-Blanco et al., 2014). These interactions orchestrate multifaceted responses including neurophysiologic reflexes, such as coughing and scratching, to expel invading pathogens and noxious environmental stimuli (Bautista et al., 2014; Veiga-Fernandes and Mucida, 2016). Although evidence suggests that neuroimmunologic processes have coevolved to promote host survival, whether dysregulation of these responses can drive sensory dysfunction, particularly as in chronic itch, remains poorly understood.

Chronic itch, defined as symptoms of itch lasting longer than six weeks, affects up to 15% of the population and has a profoundly negative impact on quality of life (Kini et al., 2011; Matterne et al., 2011; Yosipovitch and Bernhard, 2013). While chronic itch is a central feature of many primary inflammatory skin disorders, such as atopic dermatitis (AD), it also presents secondarily to a variety of medical conditions and numerous neuropathies (Mollanazar et al., 2016; Yosipovitch and Bernhard, 2013). Further, chronic itch can present in the absence of any known disease process, and, in this setting, has been described as chronic idiopathic pruritus (CIP) (Berger and Steinhoff, 2011; Xu et al., 2016). Alarmingly, despite its high incidence and enormous burden on quality of life, there are currently no medications specifically indicated for the treatment of chronic itch.

It is widely appreciated that skin inflammation can lead to itch (Bautista et al., 2014). For example, the pruritogen histamine is associated with acute itch in the setting of urticaria (Kaplan, 2004), and interleukin (IL)-31, a previously identified cytokine pruritogen, has been linked to AD-associated itch (Cevikbas et al., 2014; Dillon et al., 2004; Ruzicka et al., 2017; Sonkoly et al., 2006). However, the precise mechanisms by which proinflammatory mediators promote the sensation of itch remain poorly defined. In AD, the type 2 cytokines IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13 are known to drive skin inflammation (Gittler et al., 2012; Weidinger and Novak, 2016). Further, mice that overexpress IL-4 or IL-13 in the skin develop AD-like disease and robust chronic

itch (Chan et al., 2001; Zheng et al., 2009). Notably, dupilumab, a recently approved monoclonal antibody that blocks both IL-4 and IL-13 signaling via their shared receptor subunit IL-4Ra, has demonstrated remarkable efficacy in treating AD (Beck et al., 2014; Simpson et al., 2016; Thaci et al., 2016). Despite these observations, it remains unclear whether these canonical proinflammatory mediators also function as pruritogens. Further, whether downstream signaling pathways are conserved across the immune and nervous systems and can be targeted to treat chronic itch remains unexplored.

In this study, we demonstrate that sensory neurons are directly activated by the classical immune signaling molecules IL-4 and IL-13 along previously defined itch-sensory pathways in mice. Further, we show that IL-4 can activate human sensory neurons, provoking the hypothesis that neuronal type 2 cytokine signaling contributes to itch in both mice and humans. By employing sensory neuron-specific genetic deletion of IL-4Ra, we found a previously unrecognized mechanism by which neuronal IL-4Ra critically mediates chronic itch. Surprisingly, we found that, rather than triggering acute itch, activation of neuronal IL-4Ra sensitizes sensory neurons to multiple other pruritogens. Based on IL-4Ra signaling biology, we hypothesized that type 2 cytokine-mediated activation of sensory neurons would be dependent on neuronal Janus kinase (JAK) signaling. Consistent with this hypothesis, both pharmacologic JAK inhibition and sensory neuron-specific genetic deletion of JAK1 resulted in abatement of chronic itch in mice. Although JAK inhibitors are well-established anti-inflammatory agents (Schwartz et al., 2016), whether they exhibit additional neuromodulatory properties is not known. In support of this possibility, we observed a dramatic improvement of itch in a small cohort of CIP patients treated off-label with the JAK inhibitor tofacitinib after having failed other anti-inflammatory therapies. Thus, these signaling mechanisms previously ascribed to the immune system may represent new targets within the sensory nervous system for the treatment of chronic itch. Bevond itch. the discovery of these neuroimmunologic pathways may reveal new insights into sensory perception at multiple barrier surfaces and how these pathways modulate behavior.

RESULTS

Sensory Neurons Are Directly Activated by Type 2 Cytokines

Although type 2 cytokines are well-established mediators of skin inflammation in AD (Gittler et al., 2012; Weidinger and Novak, 2016), it is not clear how these cytokines promote chronic itch. When we examined mouse dorsal root ganglia (DRG), which contain sensory neurons that innervate the skin, we found expression of IL-4R α (*II4ra*) and IL-13R α 1 (*II13ra*1) but not IL-5R α (*II5ra*) (Figure 1A). As expected, we also observed expression of the receptor for the known cytokine pruritogen IL-31 (*II31ra*) (Figure 1A) (Cevikbas et al., 2014; Dillon et al., 2004; Sonkoly et al., 2006). Similarly, human DRG also expressed IL-4R α (*IL4RA*), IL-13R α 1 (*IL13RA*1), and IL-31RA (*IL31RA*), but not IL-5R α (*IL5RA*) (Figure 1B). Further, we found that murine DRG and trigeminal ganglia (TG) express comparable patterns of *II4ra*, *II13ra*1, and *II31ra* (Figure 1C). Taken together, these

findings suggest that the type 2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-13 may directly activate sensory neurons.

Next, we sought to functionally test whether type 2 cytokines can directly stimulate sensory neurons using ratiometric calcium imaging. Stimulation of mouse DRG neurons with IL-4 (Figure 1D) or IL-13 (Figure 1E) resulted in responses similar to IL-31 stimulation (Figure 1F) in a subset of neurons. Overall, IL-4 or IL-13 stimulation led to calcium responses in 4.1% and 3.3% of total DRG neurons, respectively (Figure 1G), and the frequency of sensory neurons responsive to IL-4 and IL-13 increased in a dose-dependent manner (Figures S1A-S1D). Consistent with previous reports (Cevikbas et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2009), approximately 3.3% and 20% of neurons were responsive to IL-31 and histamine, respectively (Figure 1G), demonstrating that IL-4 and IL-13 stimulation results in responses from a proportion of neurons similar to other canonical pruritogens like IL-31. As expected due to the lack of II5ra expression (Figure 1A), IL-5 stimulation did not trigger responses from DRG neurons (Figures S1E and S1F). Collectively, these results demonstrate that type 2 cytokines can directly activate a subset of mouse sensory neurons.

Given the similar expression profile of type 2 cytokine receptors in mouse and human DRG (Figures 1A and 1B), we next sought to examine whether human DRG neurons would also respond directly to type 2 cytokines. Thus, we obtained viable sensory neurons from human cadaveric donors and stimulated these cells with IL-4 (Valtcheva et al., 2016). Similar to our observations in mice (Figure 1D), we found that a subset of human sensory neurons directly responded to IL-4 (Figure 1H). Together, these findings indicate that sensory neuron responses to type 2 cytokines, such as IL-4, are conserved across mice and humans.

Type 2 Cytokines Activate Itch-Sensory Pathways

To thoroughly characterize neurons that express type 2 cytokine receptors, we reanalyzed two previously published datasets of neuronal transcriptional profiles (Chiu et al., 2014; Usoskin et al., 2015). First, we found that *II4ra* expression was favored on sort-purified non-peptidergic and peptidergic pruriceptive and nociceptive sensory neurons compared to proprioceptive neurons (Figure S2A) (Chiu et al., 2014). Second, using a single-cell RNA-seq dataset (Usoskin et al., 2015), we found that families of small-diameter neurons predicted to mediate itch (NP1, NP2, and NP3) were enriched in neurons that expressed II4ra and II13ra1 compared to other families of nociceptive (PEP1 and PEP2) and mechanoreceptive (TH) neurons (Figure 2A). Although previously defined pruriceptors, such as Mas-related G-protein-coupled receptor A3 (MrgprA3) and IL-31RA, were highly specific to defined itch subsets, Il4ra expression spanned multiple pruriceptive clusters (Figure 2A). Consistent with these findings, we found that IL-4- and IL-13responsive neurons were predominantly small-diameter neurons, similar to histamine-responsive neurons, using calcium imaging (Figures 2B and S2B). Next, to examine whether IL-4 or IL-13 directly activates established itch-sensory pathways, we exposed DRG neurons to successive challenges with defined pruritogens. When DRG neurons were serially stimulated with IL-4, and then IL-31, followed by histamine, 89% of IL-4responsive neurons also responded to IL-31, histamine, or both (Figure 2C). Similarly, 75% of IL-13-responsive neurons



Figure 1. Type 2 Cytokines Activate Mouse and Human Sensory Neurons

(A) Representative gel of RT-PCR product of whole mouse dorsal root ganglia (DRG), n = 4 WT mice.

(B) Representative gel of RT-PCR product of whole human DRG, n = 3 donors.

(C) Comparison of II4ra, II13ra1, and II31ra expression by qRT-PCR in whole mouse DRG and trigeminal ganglia (TG), n = 4 WT mice.

(D–F) Representative calcium imaging trace of mouse DRG neurons in response to (D) recombinant murine (rm)IL-4 (300 nM), (E) rmIL-13 (300 nM), or (F) rmIL-31 (300 nM) and potassium chloride (KCI, 100 mM).

(G) rmIL-4-, rmIL-13-, rmIL-31-, and histamine-responsive DRG neurons as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n > 500 neurons from 2 WT mice. (H) Representative calcium imaging trace of human DRG neurons in response to recombinant human IL-4 (300 nM), capsaicin (500 nM), and KCI (50 mM), n > 40 neurons from a male donor.

Data are represented as mean \pm SEM. Black bars in calcium imaging traces indicate timing of challenges. See also Figure S1.

also responded to IL-31, histamine, or both (Figure S2C). Additionally, 63% of neurons that responded to IL-4 also responded to IL-13 (Figure 2D), and 45% of IL-4-responsive neurons also re-

sponded to the previously reported cytokine pruritogen thymic stromal lymphopoietin (TSLP) (Wilson et al., 2013a) (Figure S2D). Collectively, these studies indicate that both IL-4 and IL-13 can



Figure 2. Type 2 Cytokines Activate Itch-Sensory Pathways

(A) Expression of selected genes in mouse DRG neuron populations clustered into functional subsets based on single-cell RNA-seq data. Numbers indicate the fraction of positive cells within individual clusters by thresholding method. Full dataset and methods are available in Usoskin et al. (2015).

(B) Representative size distribution of rmIL-4-, rmIL-13-, and histamine-responsive mouse DRG neurons classified as small-, medium-, and large-diameter neurons (< 18 µm, 18–25 µm, and > 25 µm), n > 500 neurons from a WT mouse.

(C and D) Representative Venn diagrams of overlapping responses of mouse DRG neurons to stimulation with rmlL-4 with subsequent challenge with (C) rmlL-31 (300 nM) and histamine (50 μ M) or with (D) rmlL-13 (4.0 μ g/mL) and capsaicin (300 nM), n > 300 neurons from a WT mouse.

(E and F) Responses to (E) rmIL-4 (4 µg/mL) and (F) rmIL-13 (4 µg/mL) of mouse DRG neurons from *Trpa1^{-/-}* mice, *Trpv1^{-/-}* mice, and in calcium-free conditions as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons compared to WT control mice, n > 300 neurons per group.

(G) Total scratching bouts elicited in response to intradermal (i.d.) cheek injection of vehicle control (0.1% BSA in PBS, 10 μ L), rmIL-4, rmIL-13, or rmIL-31 (all 2.5 μ g/10 μ L), n \geq 5 mice per group.

(H) Representative calcium imaging trace of mouse DRG neurons responding to low levels of histamine (5 μ M) after vehicle control or rmIL-4 (4 μ g/mL) challenge, n > 200 neurons from 2 WT mice.

(I) Cheek-directed scratching bouts elicited in response to i.d. cheek injection of a low dose of histamine (4 mM, 10 μ L) with or without rmIL-4 (2.5 μ g), n \geq 7 mice per group.

Data are represented as mean \pm SEM. Black bars in calcium imaging traces indicate timing of challenges. See also Figure S2.

activate families of neurons that include previously identified itch-sensory pathways.

Earlier studies have shown that pruritogens, such as histamine and the MrgprA3 agonist chloroquine, are differentially dependent on the transient receptor potential (TRP) channels TRPV1 and TRPA1 for their activity via extracellular calcium influx (Liu et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2013b). Given the substantial overlap in IL-4- and IL-13-responsive neurons with neurons responsive to the TRPV1 agonist capsaicin (91%; Figure 2D), we sought to test whether neuronal activation by type 2 cytokines is dependent on these TRP channels. Neurons from both $Trpa1^{-/-}$ and $Trpv1^{-/-}$ mice demonstrated reduced responses to both IL-4 (Figure 2E) and IL-13 (Figure 2F), although only significantly reduced in $Trpv1^{-/-}$ mice, and these responses were entirely dependent on extracellular calcium (Figures 2E and 2F). Together, our findings indicate that type 2 cytokines directly activate sensory neurons via TRP channel-dependent calcium influx.

Based on our findings that type 2 cytokines directly activate itch-sensory neurons, we hypothesized that intradermal (i.d.) administration of IL-4 and IL-13 would evoke acute itch. Surprisingly, in contrast to IL-31, high doses of either IL-4 or IL-13 did

not elicit acute itch (Figure 2G). Thus, these findings suggest that type 2 cytokines do not function as potent acute pruritogens despite activating itch-sensory neurons.

Several studies have shown that type 2 inflammation-associated cytokines can modulate the transcriptional activity and responses to subsequent stimuli of multiple immune cell populations (Halim et al., 2016; Martinez-Gonzalez et al., 2016). Thus, we hypothesized that sensory neurons would similarly respond to type 2 cytokines by altering their responsiveness to other pruritogens. To test this, we exposed DRG neurons to multiple pruritogens including histamine (Figure 2H), chloroquine (Figure S2E), TSLP (Figure S2F), and IL-31 (Figure S2G) both before and after exposure to IL-4. We observed that IL-4 sensitized subsets of neurons to respond to previously subthreshold levels of histamine (Figure 2H) as well as other pruritogens (Figures S2E-S2G). In support of these findings, i.d. coinjection of a low dose of histamine with IL-4 caused significantly more scratching in mice than histamine alone (Figure 2I). Therefore, our findings demonstrate that although type 2 cytokines are not potent acute pruritogens themselves, they act to sensitize sensory neurons to many different pruritogens. These observations mirror prior



Figure 3. Neuronal IL-4Ra Expression Is Necessary for Chronic Itch

(A) Quantification of *II4ra*, *II2rg*, and *II13ra1* expression by qRT-PCR in whole sensory trigeminal ganglia (TG) of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice, $n \ge 4$ mice per group. (B–E) Representative responses of DRG neurons from an IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mouse to (B) rmIL-4 (1 µg/mL), (C) rmIL-13 (4 µg/mL), (D) rmIL-31 (3 µM), and (E) histamine (50 µM) as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons compared to neurons from a littermate control mouse, n > 300 neurons per group. (F) Experimental schematic indicating daily topical treatment with MC903 to the ears of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ and littermate control mice.

(G) Scratching behavior of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice compared to littermate control mice over the course of MC903 treatment, $n \ge 7$ mice per group.

(H-J) Ear thickness measurements (H), representative H&E histopathology (I), and histology score (J) of MC903-treated IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice compared to littermate control mice, $n \ge 4$ mice per group.

Scale bars indicate 100 μ m. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.

See also Figures S3, S4, and S5.

human studies that have shown that challenge with pruritogens in lesional skin of AD patients results in amplified itch responses compared to control subjects (Andersen et al., 2017; Ikoma et al., 2003). Thus, we hypothesized that, rather than acute itch, neuronal type 2 cytokine signaling promotes pathologic chronic itch and that interrupting these signals may represent an effective strategy to target itch.

Neuronal Type 2 Cytokine Signaling Is Necessary for the Development of Chronic Itch

To explore the role of type 2 cytokines in chronic itch, we employed an established model of AD-like skin inflammation in which mice were treated with the topical irritant MC903 (calcipotriol) (Figure S3A) (Kim et al., 2013, 2014; Li et al., 2006). Compared to control mice treated with vehicle only (ethanol, EtOH), MC903-treated mice developed robust skin inflammation as measured by skin thickening (Figure S3B), histologic features of AD (Figures S3C and S3D), and a characteristic AD-associated RNA-seq profile (Figure S3E), as well as marked chronic itch (Figure S3F). To ensure that MC903 does not directly stimulate sensory neurons to elicit itch, we challenged sensory neurons with MC903 and did not observe significant activation (Figure S3G).

To directly investigate the contributions of sensory neuronintrinsic type 2 cytokine signaling to chronic itch, we generated mice that lack IL-4R α specifically on sensory neurons (Na_V1.8-Cre⁺ IL-4R α ^{fl/fl}, IL-4R α ^{Δneuron}). Deletion of *II4ra*, but not *II2rg* or *II13ra1*, was confirmed in sensory ganglia of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice (Figure 3A). Further, we observed diminished responses to IL-4 and IL-13 in sensory neurons from IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice (Figures 3B and 3C). In contrast, sensory neurons from IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice showed normal responses to other pruritogens including IL-31 (Figure 3D) and histamine (Figure 3E) indicating no gross developmental abnormalities in these mice. Strikingly, upon induction of skin inflammation (Figure 3F), IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice demonstrated a significant reduction in scratching behavior compared to controls (Figure 3G). This decrease was greater than what we observed in I/31ra-/- mice treated with MC903 (Figures S4A–S4C) and comparable to decreases in scratching behavior found in other models of itch in which pruriceptors were globally deleted (Liu et al., 2009; Morita et al., 2015). Additionally, skin inflammation in MC903-treated IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice was reduced as determined by ear thickness measurements (Figure 3H) and histopathologic assessment (Figures 3I and 3J). IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice also demonstrated a reduction in type 2 immune cells in the skin (Figures S4D-S4G) and a distinct skin transcriptional profile (Figure S4H). Thus, deletion of neuronal IL-4Ra signaling results in attenuation of both itch and skin inflammation.

Given that skin inflammation was reduced, we sought to ensure that the decrease in scratching behavior in these mice was not due to spurious deletion of IL-4R α in non-neuronal tissues. Although the Na_v1.8-Cre system has high specificity for sensory neurons (Agarwal et al., 2004), we elected to investigate



Figure 4. Disruption of Neuronal JAK1 Reduces Chronic Itch

(A) Representative responses to rmlL-4 (1 μ g/mL) of DRG neurons from a JAK1^{Δ neuron} mouse compared to a littermate control mouse as a percentage of total KCl-responsive neurons, n \geq 200 neurons per group.

(B) Experimental schematic indicating MC903 treatment of JAK1^{∆neuron} and littermate control mice.

(C–F) Scratching behavior (C), ear thickness measurement (D), representative H&E histopathology (E), and histology score (F) of JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice compared to littermate control mice on day 7, n \geq 8 mice per group.

(G) Representative responses to rmIL-4 (1 μ g/mL) of DRG neurons from a WT mouse after incubation with ruxolitinib (Rux, 1 μ g/mL) compared to vehicle control as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n \geq 200 neurons per group.

(H) Experimental schematic indicating twice daily i.p. injection of vehicle control or Rux (100 µg) during MC903 treatment to the ears of WT mice.

(I–L) Scratching behavior (I), ear thickness measurement (J), representative H&E histopathology (K), and histology score (L) of vehicle control and Rux-treated mice on day 7, $n \ge 10$ mice per group.

Scale bars indicate 100 μ m. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.

See also Figure S6.

the fidelity of this Cre in the setting of skin inflammation. While we observed Na_v1.8 expression in cells from the DRG under steadystate (Figures S5A and S5B) and inflammatory conditions (Figure S5C), no evidence of Na_v1.8 expression was found in cells from the skin or skin-draining lymph nodes (Figures S5A–S5C). Additionally, analysis of the skin and skin-draining lymph nodes after MC903 treatment did not yield differences in expression levels of *Il4ra*, *Il2rg*, or *Il13ra1* in IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice (Figures S5D–S5F). Overall, these findings demonstrate that neuronal IL-4R α signaling is critical for the elicitation of chronic itch.

Disruption of Neuronal JAK1 Signaling Reduces Chronic Itch

Given that type 2 cytokines are known to signal through JAKdependent pathways in immune cells (Kelly-Welch et al., 2003), we chose to examine whether itch-sensory neurons also express JAK signaling components. Again using a previously published single-cell RNA-seq dataset (Usoskin et al., 2015), we identified that JAK1 is enriched in pruriceptive neurons (Figure S6A). We then examined whether activation of IL-4R α on sensory neurons results in increased JAK1 signaling and found that, following stimulation with IL-4, more sensory neurons exhibited JAK1 phosphorylation (Figures S6B–S6D). To functionally assess whether type 2 cytokines activate sensory neurons in a JAK1dependent manner, we generated mice in which JAK1 is conditionally deleted in sensory neurons (Na_v1.8-Cre⁺ JAK1^{fl/fl}, JAK1^{Δneuron}) and found that neuronal IL-4 responses were abrogated in the absence of JAK1 using calcium imaging (Figure 4A). Given the importance of JAK1 in many cellular processes, we sought to ensure that neurons from JAK1^{Δneuron} mice were developmentally and functionally intact. We performed a morphological assessment of DRG neurons and found a comparable size distribution between JAK1^{∆neuron} mice and controls (Figure S6E). Additionally, no significant differences were observed in neuronal responses across a number of pruritogens (Figures S6F-S6I). Rotarod performance was also found to be equivalent between JAK1^{Δneuron} mice and controls (Figures S6J and S6K). Collectively, these data indicate that neuronal development and function are intact in JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice. Thus, given the enrichment of JAK1 expression in pruriceptive neurons (Figure S6A) and our data demonstrating the dependence of chronic itch on neuronal IL-4Ra (Figures 3F and 3G), we hypothesized that neuronal JAK1 would be required for chronic itch.

To directly investigate the dependence of chronic itch on neuronal JAK1 signaling, we treated JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice with MC903 (Figure 4B). Upon induction of AD-like disease, JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice exhibited a marked reduction in scratching behavior (Figure 4C) even in the presence of skin inflammation (Figures 4D–4F). To complement this genetic approach, we employed pharmacologic inhibition of JAK signaling using the JAK inhibitor ruxolitinib. Similar to our findings in JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice (Figure 4A), we found that application of ruxolitinib to sensory neurons resulted in a reduction in responses to IL-4 (Figure 4G). We then used MC903 treatment to induce AD-associated itch in



Figure 5. CIP Is a Distinct Chronic Itch Disorder that Exhibits Severe Itch Despite Minimal Skin Inflammation

(A and B) Representative clinical pictures of (A) AD and (B) CIP.

(C and D) Representative H&E histopathology of pruritic sites from (C) AD and (D) CIP.

(E) Histology score of AD and CIP patient biopsies, $n\geq 4$ biopsies per group.

(F) Numerical rating scale (NRS) itch scores of AD and CIP patients, $n\geq 22$ patients per group.

(G) Clustering of AD, CIP, and control skin samples by row Z scores of the regularized logarithm of gene expression values of the top 100 differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq of AD versus control skin, n = 4 donors per condition.

Scale bars indicate 100 $\mu m.$ Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.

See also Tables S1, S2, and S3.

wild-type (WT) mice while concurrently treating with ruxolitinib (Figure 4H) and observed that systemic intraperitoneal (i.p.) delivery of ruxolitinib significantly reduced scratching behavior (Figure 4I). Surprisingly, skin thickening (Figure 4J) and histologic parameters of AD-like disease (Figures 4K and 4L) demonstrated only a slight reduction in the setting of systemic ruxolitinib treatment, despite its well-established anti-inflammatory properties. Thus, we speculated that the anti-itch effects of ruxolitinib may be mediated predominantly through direct neuronal JAK inhibition rather than through suppression of skin inflammation. To test whether JAK1 signaling is necessary for itch even in the absence of robust skin inflammation, we employed a model of chronic itch not associated with overt inflammation using twice daily topical treatment with a mixture of acetone, ether, and water (AEW) (Miyamoto et al., 2002; Valtcheva et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2013b). Strikingly, we found that JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice treated with AEW scratched significantly less than controls (Figures S6L and S6M). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that neuronal JAK1 is a critical mediator of chronic itch even in the absence of robust skin inflammation. Thus, JAK inhibition may represent a broad therapeutic strategy for chronic itch disorders.

CIP Patients Exhibit Severe Itch in the Absence of Overt Skin Inflammation

CIP is an itch disorder of unknown etiology and, in contrast to AD, does not present with overt skin inflammation. However, CIP is strongly associated with aging and believed to be a manifestation of systemic immune senescence (Patel and Yosipovitch, 2010; Reich et al., 2011). As a result, it has been proposed that CIP patients exhibit a mild type 2 immune profile due to the loss of type 1 immunity (Berger and Steinhoff, 2011). In support of this, we recently showed that subsets of CIP patients exhibit features of systemic type 2 inflammation associated with low-grade peripheral eosinophilia and elevation of immuno-globulin E (IgE) (Xu et al., 2016). Although successful elimination of skin inflammation in AD limits itch symptoms, patients with CIP frequently suffer from severe itch even with potent immunosuppression.

Unlike AD, a characteristic inflammatory skin disease (Figure 5A), CIP presents with grossly normal skin findings (Figure 5B). AD histopathology demonstrates features of skin inflammation including hyperkeratosis, acanthosis, and a mixed dermal inflammatory infiltrate (Figure 5C). In stark contrast, histopathology of CIP exhibits only minimal inflammation upon



Figure 6. Patients with Refractory CIP Improve when Treated with JAK Inhibition

(A) NRS itch scores for a cohort of CIP patients (n = 5) given the JAK inhibitor tofacitinib. Individual patients are shown by unique colors. Significance was calculated using a paired t test.

(B) Daily NRS itch scores of three CIP patients treated with tofacitinib, including one patient treated with cyclosporine immediately preceding tofacitinib treatment (black).

See also Table S4.

biopsy of the most pruritic cutaneous sites (Figure 5D). Indeed, histologic grading of patient biopsies confirmed that CIP patients exhibit markedly lower levels of skin inflammation compared to AD patients (Figure 5E). Remarkably, despite much milder skin inflammation, CIP patients report more itch than AD patients (Figure 5F). Thus, chronic itch can manifest both in the setting of robust skin inflammation as well as in the absence of notable inflammatory processes.

To characterize CIP at the molecular level, we performed RNAseq on skin from CIP patients, on lesional skin from AD patients, and on skin from control subjects (Table S1). Clustering of samples by expression of the top 100 most differentially expressed genes between control and AD skin revealed that CIP skin is more closely related to AD skin than control skin (Figure 5G). However, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (Subramanian et al., 2005) comparing CIP and AD skin revealed a number of specific transcriptional programs that distinguish CIP from AD. This analysis showed that AD skin is associated with broad activation of immune responses (Table S2) and that CIP skin is enriched with a variety of transcriptional programs including those for cell morphology and calcium transport (Table S3). Similar studies examining the skin of mice with itch in the setting of minimal inflammation have uncovered homologous transcriptional programs (Wilson et al., 2013b). Collectively, these findings demonstrate that CIP is a chronic itch disorder that shares molecular signatures with AD that distinguish it from control skin despite marked differences in inflammation.

JAK Inhibition Improves Pruritus in Patients with CIP

Recent studies in AD have demonstrated rapid and significant reduction of itch symptoms in response to treatment with JAK inhibitors (Bissonnette et al., 2016; Levy et al., 2015). While these studies sought to investigate JAK inhibition as an anti-inflammatory treatment, our preclinical finding that disruption of neuronal JAK1 signaling limits both inflammatory (Figures 4B and 4C) and non-inflammatory itch (Figures S6L and S6M) suggests that JAK inhibition may represent a novel neuromodulatory approach to target itch. Therefore, despite the absence of overt skin inflammation in CIP, we hypothesized that CIP patients may benefit from JAK blockade.

Because of the lack of approved therapeutics specifically for CIP, we prescribed off-label treatment with the JAK inhibitor tofacitinib to five patients with severe CIP. All of these patients had previously failed multiple other off-label treatments including potent immunosuppression (Table S4). However, one month following treatment with oral tofacitinib, all five patients demonstrated marked improvement in their itch (Figure 6A). Strikingly, patients who closely tracked their itch over time reported rapid onset of itch relief with JAK blockade in contrast to other prior immunosuppressive therapy (Figure 6B). Thus, these findings suggest that JAK inhibition may represent a novel therapeutic strategy for patients with chronic itch disorders that are resistant to conventional anti-inflammatory agents. Taken together, our study reveals that classical cytokine signaling pathways are functional within the sensory nervous system and mediate chronic itch. The discovery of the significance of these pathways may provide new insights into sensory biology and promote the development of new therapies.

DISCUSSION

Chronic itch is an example of how sensory responses that are normally employed by mammals to remove noxious stimuli can become dysregulated and pathologic. While itch is a highly debilitating symptom of multiple distinct medical conditions, chronic itch can also present in the absence of a clearly defined disorder as seen in CIP (Berger and Steinhoff, 2011; Mollanazar et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016). Although itch is an often overlooked symptom in patient care, clinical studies have established that chronic itch has a profoundly negative impact on quality of life (Kini et al., 2011; Yosipovitch and Bernhard, 2013). However, despite its substantial burden on society, there are currently no specific treatments indicated for chronic itch.

In the setting of AD, the type 2 cytokines IL-4 and IL-13 coordinate an inflammatory response that is critical for disease pathogenesis and the eventual development of debilitating chronic itch. However, the mechanisms by which proinflammatory mediators, such as the type 2 cytokines, elicit itch remain poorly understood. In this study, we provide three conceptual advances that broaden our understanding of chronic itch. First, we demonstrate that activation of the classical immune signaling molecule IL-4Ra directly stimulates both mouse and human sensory neurons. Second, we show that sensory neuron-intrinsic activation of this signaling pathway (IL-4Rα and JAK1) is necessary for chronic itch in inflammatory and non-inflammatory settings. Third, and finally, we show that CIP patients, who are recalcitrant to immunosuppressive therapy, improve when treated with a JAK inhibitor. Taken together, the current study identifies novel functions of immune signaling pathways within the sensory nervous system that represent promising targets for the treatment of chronic itch.

Despite the observation that type 2 cytokines can directly activate itch-sensory neurons *in vitro*, we found that, in contrast to other cytokine pruritogens, both IL-4 and IL-13 do not function as acute pruritogens *in vivo*. However, in the setting of AD-like skin inflammation where many cytokines are known to be highly upregulated, neuron-specific deletion of IL-4R α was sufficient to abate chronic itch. To better understand how disruption of neuronal type 2 cytokine signaling could play such a central role in reducing chronic itch, we explored whether neuronal IL-4R α signaling could alter the responsiveness of sensory neurons to other pruritogens. Indeed, we identified that IL-4 rapidly sensitizes subsets of neurons to a variety of pruritogens. Additionally, we observed that IL-4 significantly amplifies scratching behavior to low doses of known pruritogens like histamine. Thus, we speculate that type 2 cytokines may act as master regulators of chronic itch by intensifying itch responses to multiple pruritogens present in inflamed skin.

In immune cells, cytokine signaling through the JAK-STAT pathway results in transcriptional changes to promote cellular activation. However, although transcriptional alterations remain possible in neurons, our calcium imaging studies indicate that neuronal responses to IL-4Ra stimulation occur within seconds to minutes. Surprisingly, we also observed that these rapid responses were dependent on both JAK1 as well as TRP channels. Thus, we predict that alterations in classical STAT-mediated transcriptional changes alone are insufficient to explain how IL-4Rα modulates sensory neurons. Previous studies have shown that TRP channels harbor several phosphorylation sites and can be regulated by multiple kinases (Sun and Dong, 2016; Zheng, 2013). Although JAKs typically phosphorylate STAT proteins, our current study provokes the hypothesis that JAK proteins may have novel functions in neurons and may regulate TRP channels by unknown pathways. However, we note that such rapid alteration of sensory neuron function does not exclude the role of type 2 cytokines in modulating transcription or otherwise altering expression of pruriceptors or ion channels within neurons. Future studies will be required to better understand how both rapid sensitization and transcriptional changes induced by type 2 cytokines impact sensory function.

Classically, the dominant source of type 2 cytokines in AD lesional skin was believed to be the adaptive immune system via T helper type 2 (Th2) cells (Weidinger and Novak, 2016). Further, recent studies have shown that Th2 cell-derived IL-31 can elicit scratching (Cevikbas et al., 2014; Dillon et al., 2004; Sonkoly et al., 2006). Thus, both AD-associated inflammation and itch were largely believed to be mediated by the adaptive immune system. However, we and others have recently shown that innate immune cell populations, such as group 2 innate lymphoid cells (ILC2s) and basophils, are critical mediators of skin inflammation independent of the adaptive immune system and are essential sources of type 2 cytokines in vivo (Kim et al., 2013, 2014; Roediger et al., 2013; Salimi et al., 2013). Based on our new data demonstrating that neuronal IL-4Ra signaling critically mediates chronic itch, we speculate that innate immune cells, such as ILC2s and basophils, play important roles in promoting AD-associated itch, in addition to adaptive Th2 cells. Identification of the precise contributions of both adaptive and innate immune cells to the development of chronic itch requires further investigation.

Recently, the epithelial cell-derived cytokines TSLP and IL-33 have been shown to be master initiators of type 2 inflammation

via their effects on a variety of cells including Th2 cells, ILC2s, and basophils (Hammad and Lambrecht, 2015; Oetjen et al., 2016). These cytokines are believed to rapidly signal barrier breach in order to generate innate immune responses and help prime adaptive immune cells. Strikingly, two recent studies have demonstrated that both TSLP and IL-33 can function as pruritogens (Liu et al., 2016; Wilson et al., 2013a). Additionally, we and others have shown that epithelial cell-derived cytokines are highly expressed in the setting of AD-like disease (Kim et al., 2013; Li et al., 2006; Salimi et al., 2013). Despite high expression of these cytokines and robust activation of numerous other downstream cytokine pathways in AD, our study identifies that sensory neuron-specific deletion of IL-4Ra or JAK1 is sufficient to abate chronic itch. Thus, it appears that both neuronal IL-4Ra and JAK1 signaling are exquisitely robust targets for chronic itch.

Clinical trials for dupilumab, an anti-IL-4Ra monoclonal antibody, have repeatedly demonstrated rapid and remarkable improvement of itch symptoms in AD patients (Beck et al., 2014; Simpson et al., 2016; Thaci et al., 2016). Additionally, significant improvement of itch in AD patients has been observed in early clinical studies employing JAK inhibitors (Bissonnette et al., 2016; Levy et al., 2015). Previously, the changes in itch observed with these therapies have been attributed to the anti-inflammatory properties of either IL-4Ra or JAK blockade. However, our study demonstrates that sensory neurons co-opt classical immune signaling pathways to mediate chronic itch in multiple settings. Thus, we speculate that the rapid improvement of pruritus observed in patients treated with IL-4Ra or JAK blockade may be mediated, at least in part, by disruption of these signals in sensory neurons and that these therapies may abate chronic itch in diseases beyond overt inflammatory skin disorders. Strikingly, a recently published clinical trial for nemolizumab, an anti-IL-31RA monoclonal antibody, also described itch improvement in patients with moderate-to-severe AD (Ruzicka et al., 2017). Given our current study demonstrating a direct role of neuronal IL-4Ra and JAK1 in chronic itch, whether combinations of IL-4Ra-, JAK1-, and IL-31RA-blockade can lead to synergistic therapeutic improvements of itch in patients demands deeper investigation. However, larger prospective, randomized controlled trials will be required to fully determine the efficacy of such treatments.

Immunity at barrier surfaces is believed to have evolved to mediate rapid expulsion of pathogens and potential toxins by employing the specialized functions of the epithelial, immune, and nervous systems. Whereas the epithelium was previously thought to be solely a physical barrier, recent advances have highlighted its direct role in a variety of immune functions, demonstrating that crosstalk between multiple cellular systems is essential for proper host defense (Artis and Spits, 2015; Hammad and Lambrecht, 2015). In addition to the immune system and the epithelial barrier itself, the mammalian host also employs behavioral responses initiated by the sensory nervous system, such as coughing and scratching, to mechanically remove noxious stimuli. Our current study demonstrates that classical immune mediators are an essential part of sensory circuits that modulate host behavior by activating and sensitizing the sensory nervous system. Given that the innate immune system employs type 2 cytokines to amplify immune responses at barrier surfaces, we speculate that the sensory nervous system may have evolved the ability to use these signals to similarly intensify sensory responses. Thus, direct neuronal priming by type 2 cytokines may be a key first step in the host response to promote protective behavioral responses like scratching. By identifying previously unrecognized neuroimmunologic pathways, we have uncovered novel therapeutic approaches to combat conditions in which these sensory processes become pathologic as in chronic itch.

STAR*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
 - Ethics statement
 - Animal studies
 - Human studies
 - Primary neuron cultures
- METHOD DETAILS
 - RNA isolation from mouse DRG and TG and qRT-PCR
 - RNA isolation from human DRG and RT-PCR
 - O Overnight mouse DRG neuron culture
 - Calcium imaging of mouse DRG neurons
 - Human DRG neuron culture and calcium imaging
 - Scratching behavior assessment
 - MC903 treatment and pathology assessment
 - Mouse and human skin RNA extraction
 - Library preparation and RNA-seq
 - Differential gene expression and gene set enrichment analysis
 - Flow cytometry
 - Immunofluorescence of DRG neurons
 - Rotarod testing
 - Pharmacologic JAK inhibition
 - Mouse model of chronic itch without robust inflammation
 - Human histopathology
 - Numerical rating scale (NRS) itch scoring
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
- DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY
- ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures and four tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.006.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

M.R.M., J.F., T.M.W., H.N., C.J.G., S.C., A.M.T., A.Z.X, S.V.T., J.L., X.G., L.Y., S.L.H., P.L.W., M.L.C., R.B., A.S., and M.J.M. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. R.W.G. and S.D. provided data from human cadaveric dorsal root ganglia. J.R.B., C.-S.H., Z.-F.C., H.H., and Q.L. helped design the study and interpret results. F.B. generated the IL-4R α^{flox} mice. L.K.O. and

B.S.K. performed the experiments, designed the study, interpreted the results, and wrote the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all our collaborators at Washington University School of Medicine (WUSM) for their advice and discussion. We also thank members of the Division of Dermatology at WUSM for their assistance with patient sample collection. Specifically, we thank Ms. Mary Tabacchi, Ms. Nancy Bodet, and Dr. Lynn Cornelius for their support. This publication was supported, in part, by a grant from LEO Pharma and by the WUSM Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences (ICTS), which is supported by the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) (UL1TR000448). We also thank the Genome Technology Access Center (GTAC) at WUSM for assistance with RNA sequencing. GTAC is partially supported by an NCI grant (P30CA91842) and by an ICTS CTSA grant (UL1TR000448) from the National Center for Research Resources (NCRR). We also thank the WUSM Alafi Neuroimaging Laboratory, supported by an NCRR Shared Instrumentation grant (1S10RR027552). We thank the WUSM Digestive Disease Research Core Center for histology assistance, supported by an NIDDK grant (P30DK052574). We also thank the Immunomonitoring Laboratory (IML) at the Bursky Center for Human Immunology & Immunotherapy Programs (ChiiPs) for flow cytometry support. Research using human DRG in the Gereau Lab is supported by an NINDS grant (R01NS042595). The Davidson Lab is supported by an NIAMS grant (R21AR068012). The Hu Lab is supported by an NIGMS grant (R01GM101218) and an NIDDK grant (R01DK103901). The Kim Lab is supported by NIAMS grants (K08AR065577 and R01AR070116), the American Skin Association, and the Doris Duke Charitable Foundation. L.K.O. is supported by an NHLBI grant (T32HL007317). A.Z.X. is supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (HHMI) Medical Fellows Program. IL-4Ra flox mice were kindly provided by Dr. Herbert (Skip) Virgin at WUSM and Dr. Ajay Chawla at University of California, San Francisco. We also thank Dr. Steven Saenz for critical discussions.

Received: December 4, 2016 Revised: May 18, 2017 Accepted: August 3, 2017 Published: September 7, 2017

REFERENCES

Agarwal, N., Offermanns, S., and Kuner, R. (2004). Conditional gene deletion in primary nociceptive neurons of trigeminal ganglia and dorsal root ganglia. Genesis 38, 122–129.

Andersen, H.H., Elberling, J., Sølvsten, H., Yosipovitch, G., and Arendt-Nielsen, L. (2017). Non-histaminergic and mechanical itch sensitization in atopic dermatitis. Pain *158*, 1780–1791.

Artis, D., and Spits, H. (2015). The biology of innate lymphoid cells. Nature 517, 293–301.

Bautista, D.M., Wilson, S.R., and Hoon, M.A. (2014). Why we scratch an itch: the molecules, cells and circuits of itch. Nat. Neurosci. *17*, 175–182.

Beck, L.A., Thaçi, D., Hamilton, J.D., Graham, N.M., Bieber, T., Rocklin, R., Ming, J.E., Ren, H., Kao, R., Simpson, E., et al. (2014). Dupilumab treatment in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. N. Engl. J. Med. *371*, 130–139.

Berger, T.G., and Steinhoff, M. (2011). Pruritus in elderly patients-eruptions of senescence. Semin. Cutan. Med. Surg. *30*, 113–117.

Bissonnette, R., Papp, K.A., Poulin, Y., Gooderham, M., Raman, M., Mallbris, L., Wang, C., Purohit, V., Mamolo, C., Papacharalambous, J., and Ports, W.C. (2016). Topical tofacitinib for atopic dermatitis: a phase lla randomized trial. Br. J. Dermatol. *175*, 902–911.

Cevikbas, F., Wang, X., Akiyama, T., Kempkes, C., Savinko, T., Antal, A., Kukova, G., Buhl, T., Ikoma, A., Buddenkotte, J., et al. (2014). A sensory neuron-expressed IL-31 receptor mediates T helper cell-dependent itch: Involvement of TRPV1 and TRPA1. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *133*, 448–460.

Chan, L.S., Robinson, N., and Xu, L. (2001). Expression of interleukin-4 in the epidermis of transgenic mice results in a pruritic inflammatory skin disease: an experimental animal model to study atopic dermatitis. J. Invest. Dermatol. *117*, 977–983.

Chiu, I.M., Barrett, L.B., Williams, E.K., Strochlic, D.E., Lee, S., Weyer, A.D., Lou, S., Bryman, G.S., Roberson, D.P., Ghasemlou, N., et al. (2014). Transcriptional profiling at whole population and single cell levels reveals somatosensory neuron molecular diversity. eLife *3*, 3.

Dillon, S.R., Sprecher, C., Hammond, A., Bilsborough, J., Rosenfeld-Franklin, M., Presnell, S.R., Haugen, H.S., Maurer, M., Harder, B., Johnston, J., et al. (2004). Interleukin 31, a cytokine produced by activated T cells, induces dermatitis in mice. Nat. Immunol. 5, 752–760.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15–21.

Eichenfield, L.F., Tom, W.L., Chamlin, S.L., Feldman, S.R., Hanifin, J.M., Simpson, E.L., Berger, T.G., Bergman, J.N., Cohen, D.E., Cooper, K.D., et al. (2014). Guidelines of care for the management of atopic dermatitis: section 1. Diagnosis and assessment of atopic dermatitis. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. *70*, 338–351.

Gabanyi, I., Muller, P.A., Feighery, L., Oliveira, T.Y., Costa-Pinto, F.A., and Mucida, D. (2016). Neuro-immune interactions drive tissue programming in intestinal macrophages. Cell *164*, 378–391.

Gittler, J.K., Shemer, A., Suárez-Fariñas, M., Fuentes-Duculan, J., Gulewicz, K.J., Wang, C.Q.F., Mitsui, H., Cardinale, I., de Guzman Strong, C., Krueger, J.G., and Guttman-Yassky, E. (2012). Progressive activation of T(H)2/T(H)22 cytokines and selective epidermal proteins characterizes acute and chronic atopic dermatitis. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *130*, 1344–1354.

Halim, T.Y.F., Hwang, Y.Y., Scanlon, S.T., Zaghouani, H., Garbi, N., Fallon, P.G., and McKenzie, A.N.J. (2016). Group 2 innate lymphoid cells license dendritic cells to potentiate memory TH2 cell responses. Nat. Immunol. *17*, 57–64.

Hammad, H., and Lambrecht, B.N. (2015). Barrier epithelial cells and the control of type 2 immunity. Immunity 43, 29–40.

Ikoma, A., Rukwied, R., Ständer, S., Steinhoff, M., Miyachi, Y., and Schmelz, M. (2003). Neuronal sensitization for histamine-induced itch in lesional skin of patients with atopic dermatitis. Arch. Dermatol. *139*, 1455–1458.

Kaplan, A.P. (2004). Chronic urticaria: pathogenesis and treatment. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *114*, 465–474.

Kashem, S.W., Riedl, M.S., Yao, C., Honda, C.N., Vulchanova, L., and Kaplan, D.H. (2015). Nociceptive sensory fibers drive Interleukin-23 production from CD301b+ dermal dendritic cells and drive protective cutaneous immunity. Immunity *43*, 515–526.

Kelly-Welch, A.E., Hanson, E.M., Boothby, M.R., and Keegan, A.D. (2003). Interleukin-4 and interleukin-13 signaling connections maps. Science *300*, 1527–1528.

Kim, B.S., Siracusa, M.C., Saenz, S.A., Noti, M., Monticelli, L.A., Sonnenberg, G.F., Hepworth, M.R., Voorhees, A.S.V., Comeau, M.R., and Artis, D. (2013). TSLP elicits IL-33-independent innate lymphoid cell responses to promote skin inflammation. Sci. Transl. Med. *5*, 170ra16.

Kim, B.S., Wang, K., Siracusa, M.C., Saenz, S.A., Brestoff, J.R., Monticelli, L.A., Noti, M., Tait Wojno, E.D., Fung, T.C., Kubo, M., and Artis, D. (2014). Basophils promote innate lymphoid cell responses in inflamed skin. J. Immunol. *193*, 3717–3725.

Kini, S.P., DeLong, L.K., Veledar, E., McKenzie-Brown, A.M., Schaufele, M., and Chen, S.C. (2011). The impact of pruritus on quality of life: the skin equivalent of pain. Arch. Dermatol. *147*, 1153–1156.

Levy, L.L., Urban, J., and King, B.A. (2015). Treatment of recalcitrant atopic dermatitis with the oral Janus kinase inhibitor tofacitinib citrate. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. *73*, 395–399.

Li, M., Hener, P., Zhang, Z., Kato, S., Metzger, D., and Chambon, P. (2006). Topical vitamin D3 and low-calcemic analogs induce thymic stromal lymphopoietin in mouse keratinocytes and trigger an atopic dermatitis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 11736–11741. Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics *30*, 923–930.

Liu, Q., Tang, Z., Surdenikova, L., Kim, S., Patel, K.N., Kim, A., Ru, F., Guan, Y., Weng, H.-J., Geng, Y., et al. (2009). Sensory neuron-specific GPCR Mrgprs are itch receptors mediating chloroquine-induced pruritus. Cell *139*, 1353–1365.

Liu, B., Tai, Y., Achanta, S., Kaelberer, M.M., Caceres, A.I., Shao, X., Fang, J., and Jordt, S.-E. (2016). IL-33/ST2 signaling excites sensory neurons and mediates itch response in a mouse model of poison ivy contact allergy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *113*, E7572–E7579.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550.

Malin, S.A., Davis, B.M., and Molliver, D.C. (2007). Production of dissociated sensory neuron cultures and considerations for their use in studying neuronal function and plasticity. Nat. Protoc. *2*, 152–160.

Martinez-Gonzalez, I., Mathä, L., Steer, C.A., Ghaedi, M., Poon, G.F.T., and Takei, F. (2016). Allergen-experienced group 2 innate lymphoid cells acquire memory-like properties and enhance allergic lung inflammation. Immunity *45*, 198–208.

Matterne, U., Apfelbacher, C.J., Loerbroks, A., Schwarzer, T., Büttner, M., Ofenloch, R., Diepgen, T.L., and Weisshaar, E. (2011). Prevalence, correlates and characteristics of chronic pruritus: a population-based cross-sectional study. Acta Derm. Venereol. *91*, 674–679.

Miyamoto, T., Nojima, H., Shinkado, T., Nakahashi, T., and Kuraishi, Y. (2002). Itch-associated response induced by experimental dry skin in mice. Jpn. J. Pharmacol. *88*, 285–292.

Mollanazar, N.K., Sethi, M., Rodriguez, R.V., Nattkemper, L.A., Ramsey, F.V., Zhao, H., and Yosipovitch, G. (2016). Retrospective analysis of data from an itch center: Integrating validated tools in the electronic health record. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. *75*, 842–844.

Morita, T., McClain, S.P., Batia, L.M., Pellegrino, M., Wilson, S.R., Kienzler, M.A., Lyman, K., Olsen, A.S.B., Wong, J.F., Stucky, C.L., et al. (2015). HTR7 mediates serotonergic acute and chronic itch. Neuron *87*, 124–138.

Oetjen, L.K., Noti, M., and Kim, B.S. (2016). New insights into basophil heterogeneity. Semin. Immunopathol. 38, 549–561.

Ordovas-Montanes, J., Rakoff-Nahoum, S., Huang, S., Riol-Blanco, L., Barreiro, O., and von Andrian, U.H. (2015). The regulation of immunological processes by peripheral neurons in homeostasis and disease. Trends Immunol. *36*, 578–604.

Patel, T., and Yosipovitch, G. (2010). The management of chronic pruritus in the elderly. Skin Therapy Lett. *15*, 5–9.

Patro, R., Mount, S.M., and Kingsford, C. (2014). Sailfish enables alignmentfree isoform quantification from RNA-seq reads using lightweight algorithms. Nat. Biotechnol. *32*, 462–464.

Reich, A., Ständer, S., and Szepietowski, J.C. (2011). Pruritus in the elderly. Clin. Dermatol. *29*, 15–23.

Riol-Blanco, L., Ordovas-Montanes, J., Perro, M., Naval, E., Thiriot, A., Alvarez, D., Paust, S., Wood, J.N., and von Andrian, U.H. (2014). Nociceptive sensory neurons drive interleukin-23-mediated psoriasiform skin inflammation. Nature *510*, 157–161.

Roediger, B., Kyle, R., Yip, K.H., Sumaria, N., Guy, T.V., Kim, B.S., Mitchell, A.J., Tay, S.S., Jain, R., Forbes-Blom, E., et al. (2013). Cutaneous immunosurveillance and regulation of inflammation by group 2 innate lymphoid cells. Nat. Immunol. *14*, 564–573.

Ruzicka, T., Hanifin, J.M., Furue, M., Pulka, G., Mlynarczyk, I., Wollenberg, A., Galus, R., Etoh, T., Mihara, R., Yoshida, H., et al.; XCIMA Study Group (2017). Anti-interleukin-31 receptor A antibody for atopic dermatitis. N. Engl. J. Med. *376*, 826–835.

Salimi, M., Barlow, J.L., Saunders, S.P., Xue, L., Gutowska-Owsiak, D., Wang, X., Huang, L.-C., Johnson, D., Scanlon, S.T., McKenzie, A.N.J., et al. (2013). A role for IL-25 and IL-33-driven type-2 innate lymphoid cells in atopic dermatitis. J. Exp. Med. *210*, 2939–2950.

Schwartz, D.M., Bonelli, M., Gadina, M., and O'Shea, J.J. (2016). Type I/II cytokines, JAKs, and new strategies for treating autoimmune diseases. Nat. Rev. Rheumatol. *12*, 25–36.

Simpson, E.L., Bieber, T., Guttman-Yassky, E., Beck, L.A., Blauvelt, A., Cork, M.J., Silverberg, J.I., Deleuran, M., Kataoka, Y., Lacour, J.-P., et al.; SOLO 1 and SOLO 2 Investigators (2016). Two phase 3 trials of dupilumab versus placebo in atopic dermatitis. N. Engl. J. Med. *375*, 2335–2348.

Sonkoly, E., Muller, A., Lauerma, A.I., Pivarcsi, A., Soto, H., Kemeny, L., Alenius, H., Dieu-Nosjean, M.-C., Meller, S., Rieker, J., et al. (2006). IL-31: a new link between T cells and pruritus in atopic skin inflammation. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. *117*, 411–417.

Subramanian, A., Tamayo, P., Mootha, V.K., Mukherjee, S., Ebert, B.L., Gillette, M.A., Paulovich, A., Pomeroy, S.L., Golub, T.R., Lander, E.S., and Mesirov, J.P. (2005). Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 15545–15550.

Sun, S., and Dong, X. (2016). Trp channels and itch. Semin. Immunopathol. 38, 293–307.

Talbot, S., Abdulnour, R.-E.E., Burkett, P.R., Lee, S., Cronin, S.J.F., Pascal, M.A., Laedermann, C., Foster, S.L., Tran, J.V., Lai, N., et al. (2015). Silencing nociceptor neurons reduces allergic airway inflammation. Neuron *87*, 341–354.

Thaçi, D., Simpson, E.L., Beck, L.A., Bieber, T., Blauvelt, A., Papp, K., Soong, W., Worm, M., Szepietowski, J.C., Sofen, H., et al. (2016). Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis inadequately controlled by topical treatments: a randomised, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging phase 2b trial. Lancet *387*, 40–52.

Usoskin, D., Furlan, A., Islam, S., Abdo, H., Lönnerberg, P., Lou, D., Hjerling-Leffler, J., Haeggström, J., Kharchenko, O., Kharchenko, P.V., et al. (2015). Unbiased classification of sensory neuron types by large-scale single-cell RNA sequencing. Nat. Neurosci. *18*, 145–153.

Valtcheva, M.V., Samineni, V.K., Golden, J.P., Gereau, R.W., 4th, and Davidson, S. (2015). Enhanced nonpeptidergic intraepidermal fiber density

and an expanded subset of chloroquine-responsive trigeminal neurons in a mouse model of dry skin itch. J. Pain *16*, 346–356.

Valtcheva, M.V., Copits, B.A., Davidson, S., Sheahan, T.D., Pullen, M.Y., McCall, J.G., Dikranian, K., and Gereau, R.W., 4th. (2016). Surgical extraction of human dorsal root ganglia from organ donors and preparation of primary sensory neuron cultures. Nat. Protoc. *11*, 1877–1888.

Veiga-Fernandes, H., and Mucida, D. (2016). Neuro-immune interactions at barrier surfaces. Cell *165*, 801–811.

Wang, L., Wang, S., and Li, W. (2012). RSeQC: quality control of RNA-seq experiments. Bioinformatics 28, 2184–2185.

Weidinger, S., and Novak, N. (2016). Atopic dermatitis. Lancet 387, 1109-1122.

Wilson, S.R., Thé, L., Batia, L.M., Beattie, K., Katibah, G.E., McClain, S.P., Pellegrino, M., Estandian, D.M., and Bautista, D.M. (2013a). The epithelial cell-derived atopic dermatitis cytokine TSLP activates neurons to induce itch. Cell *155*, 285–295.

Wilson, S.R., Nelson, A.M., Batia, L., Morita, T., Estandian, D., Owens, D.M., Lumpkin, E.A., and Bautista, D.M. (2013b). The ion channel TRPA1 is required for chronic itch. J. Neurosci. 33, 9283–9294.

Xu, A.Z., Tripathi, S.V., Kau, A.L., Schaffer, A., and Kim, B.S. (2016). Immune dysregulation underlies a subset of patients with chronic idiopathic pruritus. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. *74*, 1017–1020.

Yosipovitch, G., and Bernhard, J.D. (2013). Clinical practice. Chronic pruritus. N. Engl. J. Med. *368*, 1625–1634.

Zhao, Z.-Q., Huo, F.-Q., Jeffry, J., Hampton, L., Demehri, S., Kim, S., Liu, X.-Y., Barry, D.M., Wan, L., Liu, Z.-C., et al. (2013). Chronic itch development in sensory neurons requires BRAF signaling pathways. J. Clin. Invest. *123*, 4769–4780.

Zheng, J. (2013). Molecular mechanism of TRP channels. Compr. Physiol. 3, 221–242.

Zheng, T., Oh, M.H., Oh, S.Y., Schroeder, J.T., Glick, A.B., and Zhu, Z. (2009). Transgenic expression of interleukin-13 in the skin induces a pruritic dermatitis and skin remodeling. J. Invest. Dermatol. *129*, 742–751.

STAR***METHODS**

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
anti-Phospho-Jak1 (Tyr1022/1023)	Invitrogen	Cat#: 700028; RRID: AB_2532272
anti-Rabbit IgG	Invitrogen	Cat#: A21429; RRID: AB_2535850
anti-CD3e	eBioscience	Cat#: 45-0031; RRID: AB_1107000
anti-CD3e	Biolegend	Cat#: 100320; RRID: AB_312685
anti-CD4	Biolegend	Cat#: 100449; RRID: AB_2564587
anti-CD5	eBioscience	Cat#: 45-0051; RRID: AB_914332
anti-CD11b	eBioscience	Cat#: 45-0112; RRID: AB_953560
anti-CD11c	eBioscience	Cat#: 45-0114; RRID: AB_925727
anti-CD19	eBioscience	Cat#: 45-0193; RRID: AB_906215
anti-CD25	Biolegend	Cat#: 102035; RRID: AB_11126977
anti-CD45	Biolegend	Cat#: 103111; RRID: AB_312976
anti-CD49b	eBioscience	Cat#: 17-5971; RRID: AB_469483
anti-CD117 (c-Kit)	Biolegend	Cat#: 135122; RRID: AB_2562042
anti-FcERIa	Biolegend	Cat#: 134320; RRID: AB_10641135
anti-FcERIa	eBioscience	Cat#: 11-5898; RRID: AB_465307
anti-NK1.1	eBioscience	Cat#: 45-5941; RRID: AB_914361
anti-ST2/IL-33R	Biolegend	Cat#: 145307; RRID: AB_2565735
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Protein	S	
Neurobasal-A	GIBCO	Cat#: 10888022
B-27 Supplement	GIBCO	Cat#: 17504001
Heat-inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS)	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: F4135
Papain	Worthington Biochemicals	Cat#: LS003124
Collagenase Type II	Worthington Biochemicals	Cat#: LS004176
Dispase	GIBCO	Cat#: 17105041
Nerve Growth Factor	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: N6009
Glial Cell-Derived Neurotrophic Factor	R&D Systems	Cat#: 512GF
Fura-2 AM	Invitrogen	Cat#: F1201
Calcipotriol (MC903)	Tocris Bioscience	Cat#: 2700
Liberase TL	Roche	Cat#: 5401020001
Ruxolitinib (Rux)	Selleck Chemicals	Cat#: S1378
BAM8-22	Genescript	N/A
Histamine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: H7250
Chloroquine	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: C6628
Capsaicin	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat#: M2028
Recombinant Mouse IL-4	R&D Systems	Cat#: 404-ML
Recombinant Mouse IL-4	Peprotech	Cat#: 214-14
Recombinant Mouse IL-5	Peprotech	Cat#: 215-15
Recombinant Mouse IL-13	R&D Systems	Cat#: 413-ML
Recombinant Mouse IL-13	Peprotech	Cat#: 210-13
Recombinant Mouse IL-31	Bristol-Myers Squibb	N/A
Recombinant Mouse TSLP	R&D Systems	Cat#: 555-TS
Recombinant Human IL-4	R&D Systems	Cat#: 204-IL

(Continued on next page)

Continued		
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Zombie Red Fixable Viability Kit	Biolegend	Cat#: 423109
Zombie UV Fixable Viability Kit	Biolegend	Cat#: 423107
Critical Commercial Assays		
RNeasy Mini Kit	QIAGEN	Cat#: 74014
Turbo DNA-Free Kit	Invitrogen	Cat#: AM1907
High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit	Applied Biosystems	Cat#: 4368814
TaqMan Gene Expression Master Mix	Applied Biosystems	Cat#: 4369016
Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit with dsDNase	Thermo Scientific	Cat#: K1681
Ribo-ZERO rRNA Depletion	Illumina	Cat#: MRZH116
SuperScript III RT	Invitrogen	Cat#: 18080093
Deposited Data		
Raw and analyzed data (Figure S3)	This paper	GEO: GSE90883
Raw and analyzed data (Figure S4)	This paper	GEO: GSE100397
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
C57BL/6J	Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 000664
Rosa26-LSL-tdTomato	Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 007914
Rosa26-LSL-GFP	Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 004077
IL-4Ra ^{Flox}	Frank Brombacher (ICGEB, Cape Town)	N/A
JAK1 ^{Flox}	Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute	N/A
Na _V 1.8 ^{Cre}	Rohini Kuner (Heidelberg University)	N/A
Trpv1 ^{-/-}	Jackson Laboratory	Stock No. 003770
Trpa1 ^{-/-}	Hongzhen Hu (Washington University)	N/A
ll31ra ^{-/-}	Bristol-Myers Squibb	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
Gapdh qRT-PCR assay	Integrated DNA Technologies	Mm.PT.39a.1
Il2rg qRT-PCR assay	Integrated DNA Technologies	Mm.PT.58.43694030
ll4ra gRT-PCR assav	Integrated DNA Technologies	Mm.PT.58.43030078
II5ra qRT-PCR assay	Integrated DNA Technologies	Mm.PT.58.42342630
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA CTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTT
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGG
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGGCGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCT
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGGCGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCTCCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACC
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGGCGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCTCCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACCCCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCC
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGGCGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCTCCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACCCCCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCCCACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACA
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGGCGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCTCCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACCCCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCCCACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACAGGTGGTTCAGTTTCCGCTATGTT
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 Software and Algorithms	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma	Mm.PT.58.42342630Mm.PT.58.5869021Mm.PT.58.5604165GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAACTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTTCTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGGCGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCTCCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACCCCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCCCACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACAGGTGGTTCAGTTTCTCGCTATGTT
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 Software and Algorithms FlowJo 10	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Tree Star	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA CTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTT CTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGG CGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCT CCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACC CCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCC CACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACA GGTGGTTCAGTTTTCGCTATGTT
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 Software and Algorithms FlowJo 10 Prism 7	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Tree Star GraphPad Software	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA CTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTT CTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGG CGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCT CCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACC CCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCC CACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACA GGTGGTTCAGTTTCGCTATGTT https://www.flowjo.com/ http://www.graphpad.com/ scientific-software/prism/
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II13ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 Software and Algorithms FlowJo 10 Prism 7 NIS-Elements	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Tree Star GraphPad Software	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA CTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTT CTTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGG CGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCT CCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACC CCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCC CACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACA GGTGGTTCAGTTTCGCTATGTT https://www.flowjo.com/ https://www.graphpad.com/ scientific-software/prism/ https://www.nikoninstruments.com/Products/ Software
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II3ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 Software and Algorithms FlowJo 10 Prism 7 NIS-Elements R	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Tree Star GraphPad Software Nikon Instruments RStudio	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA CTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTT CTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGG CGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCT CCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACC CCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCC CACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACA GGTGGTTCAGTTTCGCTATGTT https://www.flowjo.com/ https://www.graphpad.com/ scientific-software/prism/ https://www.nikoninstruments.com/Products/ Software https://www.rstudio.com/
II5ra qRT-PCR assay II3ra1 qRT-PCR assay IL31ra qRT-PCR assay IL4RA RT-PCR primer 1 IL4RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL5RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 1 IL13RA1 RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 IL31RA RT-PCR primer 2 Software and Algorithms FlowJo 10 Prism 7 NIS-Elements R STAR v2.0.4b	Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Integrated DNA Technologies Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Millipore Sigma Tree Star GraphPad Software Nikon Instruments RStudio Dobin et al., 2013	Mm.PT.58.42342630 Mm.PT.58.5869021 Mm.PT.58.5604165 GACGTGGTCAGTGCGGATAA CTGAAATCTGCCGGGTCGTT CTGCGGTGCTTGTTAACGG CGAGTGAACGGGTACGTTTCT CCTACGGAAACTCAGCCACC CCCCACTTGCAGACAAATCC CACAAGAAAAGCTCGCAGACA GGTGGTTCAGTTTCGCTATGTT https://www.flowjo.com/ https://www.flowjo.com/ https://www.nikoninstruments.com/Products/ Software https://www.rstudio.com/ N/A

(Continued on next page)

Continued				
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER		
Sailfish v0.6.3	Patro et al., 2014	N/A		
RSeQC v2.3	Wang et al., 2012	N/A		
DESeq2	Love et al., 2014	N/A		
javaGSEA	Broad Institute	http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/ index.jsp		
ImageJ	NIH	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/		
MetaFluor Software	Molecular Devices	https://www.moleculardevices.com/systems/ metamorph-research-imaging		
Other				
Bead Homogenizer	BioSpec	Cat#: 112011		
StepOnePlus qRT-PCR System	Applied Biosystems	N/A		
Rotarod System	Ugo Basile	N/A		
Bioanalyzer	Agilent Technologies	N/A		
HiSeq-3000 Sequencing System	Illumina	N/A		
NanoZoomer 2.0-HT System	Hamamatsu	N/A		
Nikon Ti-S Microscope	Nikon Instruments	N/A		
Nikon C2+ Confocal Microscope System	Nikon Instruments	N/A		
BX51 Microscope	Olympus	N/A		
LSRFortessa X20	BD Biosciences	N/A		

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Brian S. Kim (briankim@wustl.edu).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ethics statement

This study was carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Washington University School of Medicine. Human samples were collected from fully informed and consented individuals, and human studies were approved by the Washington University in St. Louis Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Animal studies

All mice were housed in standard group housing and husbandry conditions (social housing, 12 hr light-dark cycle, 23°C, food and water ad libitum) at Washington University School of Medicine. C57BL/6, Rosa26-LSL-tdTomato, and Rosa26-LSL-GFP mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Na_v1.8-Cre mice were provided by Dr. Rohini Kuner (Heidelberg University). IL-4R α^{flox} mice were generated by Dr. Frank Brombacher (ICGEB, Cape Town). JAK1^{flox} mice were purchased from Nanjing Biomedical Research Institute of Nanjing University. Na_v1.8 reporter mice were generated by crossing Na_v1.8-Cre⁺ mice with Rosa26-LSL-tdTomato⁺ or Rosa26-LSL-GFP⁺ mice. IL-4R α^{Aneuron} (Na_v1.8-Cre⁺ IL-4R $\alpha^{fl/fl}$) mice were generated by crossing the Na_v1.8-Cre⁺ and IL-4R α^{flox} mice. JAK1^{Δneuron} (Na_v1.8-Cre⁺ JAK1^{fl/fl}) mice were generated by crossing the Na_v1.8-Cre⁺ and JAK1^{flox} mice. *Trpv1^{-/-}* mice were kindly provided by Dr. Durga Mohapatra at Washington University. *Il31ra^{-/-}* mice were provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb. Genotyping of mice was performed using standard PCR. Naive adult (8-12 week) mice of both sexes were used in this study. Mice were either randomly assigned to treatment groups or assigned to groups based on genotype. No differences between sexes were observed, and no analyses of the influence of sex were performed.

Human studies

Diagnoses of AD and CIP were made by a board-certified dermatologist based on clinical and laboratory assessment (Eichenfield et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016). Demographics of tissue donors and patients are included in Tables S1 and S4. Given the mixture of sexes, no analyses of the influence of sex were performed. Human dorsal root ganglia (hDRG) were acquired from de-identified US transplant donors under an IRB-exempt protocol.

Primary neuron cultures

Matched donors of both sexes were used for primary cultures of mouse neurons. Primary human neuron cultures were derived from a male donor. All cultures were kept under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

METHOD DETAILS

RNA isolation from mouse DRG and TG and qRT-PCR

For RNA isolation, mouse dorsal root ganglia (DRG) or trigeminal ganglia (TG) were harvested, cleaned of connective tissues, and homogenized with a bead homogenizer (BioSpec) in 1 mL Trizol Reagent (Life Technologies). Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturer's instructions. Following extraction, samples were treated with DNase (Turbo DNA-Free Kit, Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Next, cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Gene expression levels were determined by qRT-PCR (StepOnePlus, Applied Biosystems) using the TaqMan Gene Expression qPCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturer's instructions. Gene expression was normalized to *Gapdh* and relative expression was calculated using the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method. For gel electrophoresis, products from qRT-PCR reactions were loaded onto a 2% agarose gel with ethidium bromide (1 µg/mL) and run at 140 V for 25 min. Primer and probe sequences used for each gene were selected from pre-validated PrimeTime qPCR Assays (Integrated DNA Technologies) as listed in the Key Reagents table.

RNA isolation from human DRG and RT-PCR

Human dorsal root ganglia (hDRG) were acquired from de-identified US transplant donors under an IRB-exempt protocol. After hDRG extraction, fat, dura, and connective tissues were removed as previously described (Valtcheva et al., 2016). The hDRG were subsequently stored in RNAlater (Sigma-Aldrich) at -80° C until RNA isolation was performed. For RNA isolation, tissue from one half of one hDRG was homogenized in 1 mL Trizol Reagent following the manufacturer's instructions. Following total RNA extraction, genomic DNA was eliminated and cDNA synthesized using the Maxima H Minus First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit with dsDNase (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer's instructions. RT-PCR product was loaded onto a 2% agarose gel with ethidium bromide (1 μ g/mL) and run at 100 V for 45 min. RT-PCR was performed using primer sets listed in the Key Reagents table.

Overnight mouse DRG neuron culture

Mouse DRG neurons from both sexes were isolated and cultured using a previously published protocol with slight modification (Malin et al., 2007). Laminectomies were performed on mice and bilateral DRG were removed. After removal of connective tissues, DRG were transferred to 1 mL Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) containing 1 μ L saturated NaHCO₃, 0.35 mg L-cysteine (Sigma-Aldrich), and 20 U papain (Worthington) and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. The suspension was then centrifuged, and the supernatant was removed and replaced with 1 mL Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free HBSS containing 3.75 mg collagenase type II (Worthington) and 7.5 mg dispase (GIBCO) and incubated at 37°C for 20 min. After digestion, neurons were gently triturated, pelleted, and then resuspended in Neurobasal-A culture medium (GIBCO) containing 2% B-27 supplement (GIBCO), 100 U/mL penicillin plus 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich), 100 ng/mL nerve growth factor (NGF, Sigma-Aldrich), 20 µg/mL glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF, R&D Systems), and 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Sigma-Aldrich). Neurons were then plated on glass coverslips pre-coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich) and laminin (Sigma-Aldrich) and cultured under a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 18–24 hr before use.

Calcium imaging of mouse DRG neurons

Cultured mouse DRG neurons were loaded with 4 μ M Fura-2 AM (Invitrogen) in DRG culture medium at 37°C for 45 min. Cells were then washed three times and incubated in calcium imaging buffer (130 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 2.5 mM CaCl₂, 0.6 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, 10 mM glucose, 1.2 mM NaHCO₃, pH 7.45) at room temperature for 30 min before use. Calcium-free imaging buffer was prepared by the omission of CaCl₂ and the addition of 10 mM EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich). Murine IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, and TSLP (Peprotech and/or R&D Systems) and IL-31 (Bristol-Myers Squibb) were used at 300 nM, while BAM8-22 (Genescript), histamine (Sigma-Aldrich), chloroquine (Sigma-Aldrich), capsaicin (Sigma-Aldrich) were used at 10 μ M, 50 μ M, 1 mM, and 300 nM, respectively, unless otherwise indicated. Where indicated, sensory neurons were also challenged with corresponding dilutions of control vehicle (0.1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS). Only sensory neurons that responded to a final challenge of 100 mM KCl were used in analyses. Fluorescence was recorded at 340 nm and 380 nm excitation wavelengths (F340, F380) using an inverted Nikon Ti-S microscope with NIS-Elements imaging software (Nikon Instruments). Fluorescence ratios (F340/F380) were normalized to baseline and used to reflect changes in intracellular Ca²⁺ and neuronal activation upon stimulation. Data were then analyzed in R (RStudio) where cells were considered responsive if they demonstrated a change in fluorescence ratio > 10% of baseline. Cell diameter was calculated as the diameter of a circle of an equivalent area equal to the cross-sectional area of an imaged neuron. Neurons were classified as a small-, medium-, or large-diameter neuron if the diameter was < 18 μ m, 18-25 μ m, or > 25 μ m, respectively.

Human DRG neuron culture and calcium imaging

Human DRG were recovered in the operating room and transported in N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG) artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) solution where they were dissociated and plated on glass coverslips following the procedures described in Valtcheva et al., 2016. Neurons were incubated for 3 days in media containing Neurobasal-A supplemented with B-27, 100 U/mL penicillin plus streptomycin, 2 mM Glutamax (GIBCO), and 5% FBS at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Fura-2 AM (3 µg in 3 µL DMSO) was administered to coverslips in 1 mL of media and after 45 min, coverslips were moved to external solution containing (in mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 30 glucose, 10 HEPES for calcium imaging following the protocols in Valtcheva et al., 2016. Calcium imaging was performed on an Olympus BX51 microscope with Rolera Bolt camera (Q-Imaging) and a CoolLED pE-4000 (365/385) illumination system controlled via MetaFluor software (Molecular Devices). Recombinant human IL-4 (300 nM, R&D Systems), capsaicin (500 nM) and KCl (50 mM) were administered to the bath.

Scratching behavior assessment

All behavioral tests were performed on sex- and age-matched adult mice (8-12 weeks old). For behavioral assessments, mice were first acclimated to the recording room and behavior chambers at least one day prior to testing. On the day of testing, mice were again acclimated to the recording room and behavior chambers and then video recorded. The video recordings were then manually scored for number of scratching bouts per 15 or 30 min block by an observer blind to treatment and genotype. One scratching bout was defined as one instance of lifting the hind paw from the floor, scratching, and returning the paw to the floor or placing the paw in the animal's mouth. For chronic itch models, mice were recorded before daily treatments. For acute injection of pruritic compounds, the cheeks of mice were shaved at least 5 days prior to behavioral testing. On testing days, acclimated mice were injected intradermally into the cheek with 10 μ L of vehicle control (0.1% BSA in PBS), 10 μ L containing 2.5 μ g of IL-4, IL-13, or IL-31, or 10 μ L of a 4 mM histamine solution unless otherwise indicated. Scoring of scratching behavior commenced immediately after the injected mouse was returned to the recording chamber.

MC903 treatment and pathology assessment

For induction of AD-like inflammation and itch, mice were topically treated once daily with 2 nmol of MC903 (calcipotriol, Tocris Bioscience) for 7 days or 1 nmol of MC903 for 12 days on both ears in 20 μ L of ethanol (vehicle) as previously described (Kim et al., 2013, 2014). Ear thickness measurements were performed daily with dial calipers as previously described (Kim et al., 2013, 2014). At the end of the MC903 treatment, murine ear skin tissues were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and embedded in paraffin before sectioning and staining with Hematoxylin & Eosin (H&E). Histology score was determined by the following formula using ImageJ analysis software (NIH) as previously described: (total number of lymphocytes per high power field (HPF) + thickness of the epidermis measured in microns from the basement membrane to the top of the stratum corneum) divided by 100 (Kim et al., 2014). All images were captured with a NanoZoomer 2.0-HT System (Hamamatsu).

Mouse and human skin RNA extraction

Samples of murine skin were obtained and stored in RNAlater at -80° C before processing following the manufacturer's instructions. Human skin tissues were obtained as 4 mm punch biopsies or de-identified surgical tissue and stored in RNAlater at -80° C before processing. To extract whole tissue RNA, samples were homogenized using a bead homogenizer and processed using the QIAGEN RNeasy Kit following the manufacturer's instructions. Following total RNA extraction, samples were treated with DNase (Turbo DNA-Free Kit, Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions.

Library preparation and RNA-seq

Library preparation, sequence alignment, and determination of transcript abundance were carried out by the Genome Technology Access Center (GTAC) at Washington University School of Medicine. Briefly, library preparation was performed with 1 µg of total RNA, and RNA integrity was determined using an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Ribosomal RNA was removed by a hybridization method using Ribo-ZERO kits (Illumina). mRNA was then fragmented in buffer containing 40 mM Tris acetate (pH 8.2), 100 mM potassium acetate, and 30 mM magnesium acetate and heated to 94°C for 150 s. mRNA was reverse transcribed to yield cDNA using SuperScript III RT enzyme (Invitrogen, per manufacturer's instructions) and random hexamers. A second strand reaction was performed to yield ds-cDNA. cDNA was blunt ended, had an A base added to the 3′ ends, and then had Illumina sequencing adapters ligated to the ends. Ligated fragments were then amplified for 12 cycles using primers incorporating unique index tags. Fragments were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq-3000 using single reads extending 50 bases.

RNA-sequencing reads were aligned to the Ensembl release 76 assembly with STAR v2.0.4b (Dobin et al., 2013). Gene counts were derived from the number of uniquely aligned unambiguous reads by Subread:featureCount v1.4.5 (Liao et al., 2014). Transcript counts were produced by Sailfish v0.6.3 (Patro et al., 2014). Sequencing performance was assessed for total number of aligned reads, total number of uniquely aligned reads, genes and transcripts detected, ribosomal fraction, known junction saturation, and read distribution over known gene models with RSeQC v2.3 (Wang et al., 2012).

Differential gene expression and gene set enrichment analysis

Differential gene expression analysis and sample clustering from RNA-seq data were performed with the *DESeq2* package available in R using default configurations (Love et al., 2014). Genes with a 2-fold or greater change and an adjusted p value < 0.1 were considered significant. Dendrograms in heatmaps were formed by hierarchical clustering on the Euclidean distances between samples. Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was carried out using the preranked gene list feature available in the javaGSEA application (Broad Institute). Genes were preranked by the product of the fold change and the inverse of the adjusted p value of differential expression as determined by *DESeq2*. Gene sets were derived from the Biological Process Ontology (Molecular Signatures Database).

Flow cytometry

Ear skin and skin-draining lymph nodes (sdLN) were harvested as previously described (Kim et al., 2013). Ears were cut and separated using forceps and digested in 0.25 mg/mL Liberase TL (Roche) in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) for 90 min at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Resulting digested skin and sdLN were mashed through 70 μ m cell strainers and washed with DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS to yield single cell suspensions. Suspensions of DRG neurons were prepared using the same procedure used for culturing. Samples were processed as previously described for flow cytometry (Kim et al., 2013, 2014). Basophils were defined as Live CD49b⁺ Fc ϵ RI α^+ cells negative for expression lineage (Lin) markers CD3, CD5, CD11c, CD19, NK1.1, and CD117 (c-Kit). ILC2s were defined as Live CD45⁺ CD25⁺ IL-33R⁺ cells negative for expression of lineage (CD3, CD5, CD11b, CD11c, CD19, NK1.1, and Fc ϵ RI α) markers. Th2 cells were defined as Live CD45⁺ CD3⁺ CD4⁺ IL-33R⁺ cells. Flow cytometry data were acquired using an LSRFortessa X20 (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo 10 (Tree Star).

Immunofluorescence of DRG neurons

Mouse DRG neurons were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 5 min on ice. The fixed cells were then stained overnight with rabbit anti-mouse/human phospho-JAK1 (Tyr1022, Tyr1023) primary antibody (Invitrogen) at 4°C. Secondary goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 555 (Invitrogen) was used to stain for 1 hr at 4°C. VECTASHIELD mounting medium with DAPI was used for mounting and staining of nuclei (Vector Laboratories). All imaging and analysis was performed on a Nikon C2+ Confocal Microscope System with NIS-Elements imaging software (Nikon Instruments).

Rotarod testing

A rotarod system of accelerating treadmills (Ugo Basile) was used to assess coordinated motor activity and general motor disability. Acceleration from 5 rpm to 40 rpm over 5 min was used. The time to failure was defined as falling from the rod or one complete passive rotation. Mice were trained over 3 days with 3 trials per day with an intratrial interval of 15 min. On testing day, mice were first acclimated to the recording room. Time to failure was recorded over 3 trials with an intratrial interval of 15 min. Presented data represents 3 averaged trials.

Pharmacologic JAK inhibition

Stock ruxolitinib (Rux; Selleck Chemicals) solutions were prepared in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) per the manufacturer's instructions. For *in vitro* inhibition, cells were incubated with 1 μ g/mL Rux during Fura-2 dye loading and imaged in standard calcium imaging buffer that also contained 1 μ g/mL Rux. Control neurons were incubated with a corresponding amount of DMSO and imaged in standard calcium imaging buffer with a corresponding dilution of DMSO. For systemic treatments, mice were treated twice daily with 100 μ g Rux diluted in 100 μ l PBS by i.p. injection. Control mice were injected with corresponding vehicle control (DMSO in PBS) of equivalent volumes.

Mouse model of chronic itch without robust inflammation

The acetone-ether-water (AEW) model of non-inflammatory itch was used as previously described (Miyamoto et al., 2002; Zhao et al., 2013). Briefly, the nape of the neck of mice was shaved prior to the start of treatment. On treatment days, a cotton square soaked with a 1:1 mixture of acetone (Sigma-Aldrich) and ether (Sigma-Aldrich) was applied to the nape of the neck for 15 s. Immediately after this first application, a second cotton square soaked with distilled water was applied to the neck for 30 s. Treatments were applied twice daily for 5 days.

Human histopathology

Human skin tissues were obtained as 4 mm punch biopsy specimens then fixed in 10% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and embedded in paraffin. Sections were then stained with H&E. Histology score was determined by the following formula using ImageJ analysis software (NIH) as previously described: (total number of lymphocytes per high power field (HPF) + thickness of the epidermis measured in microns from the basement membrane to the top of the stratum corneum) divided by 100 (Kim et al., 2014).

Numerical rating scale (NRS) itch scoring

Patients in the specialty Itch Clinic at Washington University School of Medicine are routinely assessed prospectively for their NRS itch score. Briefly, patients were asked to rate their itch intensity over the past 24 hr from 0 to 10 where 0 represents "no itch" and 10

represents the "worst itch imaginable." For AD and CIP patient comparisons, NRS itch scores were obtained by retrospective analysis of charts from patients seen between August 2014 and February 2016. For patients who received treatment with tofacitinib (5 mg by mouth twice daily), NRS itch scores were obtained at the beginning of treatment and after one month of treatment. Recordings of daily NRS itch scores of three patients are reported in Figure 6B during tofacitinib treatment.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis for RNA-seq data is described above. Data in figures represent mean \pm standard error of the mean (SEM) unless otherwise indicated. Data from independent experiments were pooled when possible or represent at least two independent replicates. All significance tests were chosen considering the design of experiments, and we assumed normal distribution and variance of data. Sample sizes were chosen based upon pilot experiments in order to accurately detect statistical significance as well as considering technical feasibility, resource availability, and ethical animal and sample use. No data were excluded from statistical analyses, unless due to technical errors. Statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student's t test with Welch's correction unless noted otherwise. Further statistical details of experiments can be found in the figure legends. Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 7 (GraphPad Software). Significance is labeled as: ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, *p < 0.05, N.D., Not Detected, N.S., Not Significant.

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

The accession numbers for the RNA-seq data generated from animal studies are GEO: GSE90883 and GEO: GSE100397. Other data are available upon request to the lead contact author.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Retrospective human studies were approved by the Washington University IRB #201410014, #201412117, #201507042, and #201604088.

Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. DRG Neurons Respond to Type 2 Cytokines in a Dose-Dependent Manner, Related to Figure 1

(A) Average calcium imaging trace of IL-4-responsive mouse dorsal root ganglia (DRG) neurons challenged with vehicle and increasing doses of recombinant murine (rm)IL-4. Gray traces represent 24 IL-4-responsive neurons (from 256 KCI-responsive neurons) with average response shown in black.

(B) Quantification of DRG neuron responses to increasing doses of rmIL-4 as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n > 400 neurons from 2 WT mice. (C) Average calcium imaging trace of IL-13-responsive mouse DRG neurons challenged with vehicle and increasing doses of rmIL-13. Gray traces represent 15 IL-13-responsive neurons (from 248 KCI-responsive neurons) with average response shown in black.

(D) Quantification of DRG neuron responses to increasing doses of rmlL-13 as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n > 400 neurons from 2 WT mice. (E) Average calcium imaging trace of mouse DRG neurons challenged with rmlL-5 (4 µg/mL), histamine (Hist, 50 µM), capsaicin (Cap, 300 nM), and KCI (100 mM). Gray traces represent individual KCI-responsive neurons with average response shown in black.

(F) Quantification of DRG neuron responses to rmIL-5, histamine, and capsaicin as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n > 300 neurons from a WT mouse.

Black bars in calcium imaging traces indicate timing of challenges. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.



Figure S2. Type 2 Cytokines Modulate Multiple Itch-Sensory Pathways, Related to Figure 2

(A) Expression of selected genes in mouse DRG neuron populations generated from sort-purified sensory neurons shown as row Z scores of microarray data. Neurons were sorted by Isolectin B4 (IB4), Na_v1.8, and/or parvalbumin (Parv) expression. Full dataset and methods available in Chiu et al., 2014.

(B) Total number of rmIL-4-, rmIL-13-, histamine-, and KCI-responsive mouse DRG neurons classified as small-, medium-, and large-diameter neurons (< 18 μ m, 18-25 μ m, and > 25 μ m), n > 500 neurons from a WT mouse.

(C) Representative Venn diagram of overlapping responses of mouse DRG neurons to stimulation with rmIL-13 (300 nM), rmIL-31 (300 nM), and histamine (50 μ M), n > 300 neurons from a WT mouse.

(D) Representative Venn diagram of overlapping responses of mouse DRG neurons to stimulation with rmIL-4 (4 µg/mL) and rmTSLP (4 µg/mL), n > 100 neurons from a WT mouse.

(E–G) Representative calcium imaging trace of mouse DRG neurons responding to (E) chloroquine (100 μ M, CQ), (F) rmTSLP (0.25 μ g/mL), or (G) rmIL-31 (3 μ M) after vehicle control or rmIL-4 (4 μ g/mL) challenge, n > 200 neurons per group.

Black bars in calcium imaging traces indicate timing of challenges.



Figure S3. MC903 Treatment Results in AD-like Disease and Robust Chronic Itch, Related to Figure 3

(A) Experimental schematic indicating daily topical treatment with vehicle control (ethanol, EtOH) or MC903 to the ears of WT mice.

(B) Ear thickness measurements as percent change from baseline over the course of control or MC903 treatment, $n \ge 4$ mice per group.

(C) Representative H&E histopathology and (D) histology score of control and MC903-treated mice, n \geq 4 mice per group.

(E) Comparison of row Z scores of the regularized logarithm of gene expression values of selected AD-associated genes from RNA-seq of the skin of control and MC903-treated mice, n = 4 mice per group.

(F) Scratching behavior of control and MC903-treated mice on days 0, 4, 8, and 12, $n \ge 4$ mice per group.

(G) Representative responses of DRG neurons to increasing concentrations of MC903 as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n > 300 neurons from a WT mouse.

Scale bars indicate 100 $\mu m.$ Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.



Figure S4. IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ Mice Have Reduced Infiltration of Type 2 Immune Cells into the Skin with a Distinct Skin Transcriptional Profile, Related to Figure 3

Expression

(A) Experimental schematic indicating daily topical treatment with MC903 to the ears of I/31ra^{-/-} and WT control mice.

(B) Scratching behavior and (C) ear thickness measurement of $ll31ra^{-/-}$ mice compared to control mice on day 7, n \geq 9 mice per group.

(D) Experimental schematic indicating daily topical treatment with MC903 to the ears of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ and littermate control mice.

(E–G) Basophil (E), group 2 innate lymphoid cell (ILC2) (F), and T helper type 2 (Th2) cell (G) frequencies as measured by flow cytometry from the ear skin of IL- $4R\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice at day 12, n \geq 3 mice per group.

(H) Comparison of row Z scores of the regularized logarithm of gene expression values of the top 100 differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq of the skin of MC903-treated IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice compared to littermate control mice as determined by p value. Genes are listed based on increasing adjusted p value, n \geq 4 mice per group.

Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.



Figure S5. Nav1.8 Expression Is Restricted to Sensory Neurons under Both Steady-State and Inflammatory Conditions, Related to Figure 3 (A) Experimental schematic indicating treatment of Nav1.8 reporter mice with MC903.

(B) Representative flow cytometry plots of bulk DRG, skin, and skin-draining lymph node (sdLN) of naive Na_v1.8 reporter mice compared to non-reporter control mice.

(C) Representative flow cytometry plots of bulk DRG, skin, and sdLN of MC903-treated Na_V1.8 reporter mice compared to non-reporter control mice at day 12. (D) Experimental schematic indicating daily topical treatment with MC903 to the ears of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ and littermate control mice.

(E and F) Quantification of *II4ra*, *II2rg*, and *II13ra1* by qRT-PCR in the (E) skin and (F) sdLN of IL-4R $\alpha^{\Delta neuron}$ mice treated with MC903, n \geq 3 mice per group. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.



Figure S6. JAK1^{Δneuron} Mice Are Developmentally Normal and Are Protected from Chronic Itch Even in the Absence of Robust Skin Inflammation, Related to Figure 4

(A) Expression of the JAK family of genes in mouse DRG neuron populations clustered into functional subsets based on single-cell RNA-seq data. Numbers in heatmap indicate fraction of positive cells within individual clusters by thresholding method. Full dataset and methods available in Usoskin et al. (2015). (B and C) Representative immunofluorescent images of dissociated DRG neurons at (B) baseline and (C) after 5 min of stimulation with rmIL-4 (1 μ g/mL). Red staining indicates phosphorylated JAK1 (pJAK1). Blue staining indicates DAPI, n = 3 WT mice.

(D) Frequency of pJAK1⁺ cells as a percentage of all DAPI⁺ cells at baseline and after stimulation with rmlL-4, n \geq 70 cells per high power field.

(E) Representative distribution of diameters of dissociated DRG neurons from a JAK1^{Δ neuron} and a littermate control mouse, n \geq 200 neurons per group. (F–I) Representative responses to (F) histamine (50 μ M), (G) BAM8-22 (10 μ M), (H) rmTSLP (4 μ g/mL), and (I) rmIL-31 (300 nM) of DRG neurons from a JAK1^{Δ neuron} mouse compared to a littermate control mouse as a percentage of total KCI-responsive neurons, n \geq 300 neurons per group.

(J) Experimental schematic indicating rotarod training and testing days.

(K) Results of rotarod testing of JAK1^{Δ neuron} mice compared to littermate control mice, n \geq 4 mice per group.

(L) Experimental schematic indicating twice daily acetone-ether-water (AEW) treatment to the nape of the neck of JAK1^{Δ neuron} and littermate control mice. (M) Scratching behavior of JAK1^{Δ neuron} and littermate control mice on day 5 of AEW treatment, n \geq 8 mice per group.

Scale bars indicate 50 $\mu m.$ Data are represented as mean \pm SEM.