DOI: 10.1111/all.16086

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



RNA-sequencing of paired tape-strips and skin biopsies in atopic dermatitis reveals key differences

Blaine Fritz¹ Anne-Sofie Halling² | Isabel Díaz-Pinés Cort¹ | Maria Oberländer Christensen² Amalie Thorsti Møller Rønnstad² (Caroline Meyer Olesen² | Mette Hjorslev Knudgaard³ | Claus Zachariae^{3,4} | Steffen Heegaard⁴ | Jacob P. Thyssen^{2,4}

¹Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen N, Denmark

²Department of Dermatology, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen NV, Denmark

³Department of Allergy, Skin, and Venereology, Gentofte Hospital, Gentofte, Denmark

⁴Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen N, Denmark

⁵Department of Clinical Microbiology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen N, Denmark

Correspondence

Thomas Bjarnsholt; Department of Immunology and Microbiology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen N, Denmark. Email: tbjarnsholt@sund.ku.dk

Funding information

Kongelig Hofbuntmager Aage Bangs Fond; Novo Nordisk Fonden

Abstract

Background: Skin tape-strips and biopsies are widely used methods for investigating the skin in atopic dermatitis (AD). Biopsies are more commonly used but can cause scarring and pain, whereas tape-strips are noninvasive but sample less tissue. The study evaluated the performance of skin tape-strips and biopsies for studying AD.

Methods: Whole-transcriptome RNA-sequencing was performed on paired tapestrips and biopsies collected from lesional and non-lesional skin from AD patients (n=7) and non-AD controls (n=5). RNA yield, mapping efficiency, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) for the two methods (tape-strip/biopsy) and presence of AD (AD/non-AD) were compared.

Results: Tape-strips demonstrated a lower RNA yield (22 vs. 4596 ng) and mapping efficiency to known genes (28% vs. 93%) than biopsies. Gene-expression profiles of paired tape-strips and biopsies demonstrated a medium correlation (R^2 =0.431). Tape-strips and biopsies demonstrated systematic differences in measured expression levels of 6483 genes across both AD and non-AD samples. Tape-strips preferentially detected many itch (CCL3/CCL4/OSM) and immune-response (CXCL8/IL4/IL5/IL22) genes as well as markers of epidermal dendritic cells (CD1a/CD207), while certain cytokines (IL18/IL37), skin-barrier genes (KRT2/FLG2), and dermal fibroblasts markers (COL1A/COL3A) were preferentially detected by biopsies. Tape-strips identified more DEGs between AD and non-AD (3157 DEGs) then biopsies (44 DEGs). Tape-strips also detected higher levels of bacterial mRNA than biopsies.

Conclusions: This study concludes that tape-strips and biopsies each demonstrate respective advantages for measuring gene-expression changes in AD. Thus, the specific skin layers and genes of interest should be considered before selecting either method.

KEYWORDS

atopic dermatitis, biopsies, inflammation, RNA sequencing, tape-strips

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2024 The Authors. Allergy published by European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.



GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

This study evaluated RNA-sequencing data from paired tape-strips and biopsies collected from the dorsal hand of AD (n = 7) and healthy control (n = 5) participants. We identify that, despite lower yields and data quality, tape-strips preferrentially detected many genes associated with AD processes, such as itch and immune reponse. Tape-strips also identified more differentially expressed genes associated with AD and detected a higher bacterial signal than biopsies.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a common, inflammatory skin disease characterized by recurring, itchy, erythematous skin lesions. AD often develops during infancy, but is also common in 2%–5% of adults.^{1,2} The specific mechanism of AD is unknown, but likely involves a combination of skin-barrier dysfunction,³ immune dysregulation,⁴ as well as dysbiosis of the skin microbiome.⁵ Molecular studies utilizing RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) have yielded useful insights into the pathomechanism of AD^{6–15} and contributed to the development of novel therapeutics, such as Janus kinase and IL4Ra inhibitors.^{16,17} Studies utilizing RNA-seq to study AD typically rely on single skin biopsies from adult patients, likely due to the invasiveness of the procedure. For similar reasons, few studies investigate biopsies collected from children.^{12,13}

Tape-strips offer a simple, noninvasive method for skin sampling in infants, adults, and children.^{11,18-47} The tape-strip method involves applying an adhesive strip to the skin surface, which is then removed to capture cells from the superficial layers of the skin and associated proteins, lipids, microbes, and other molecules. Multiple tape-strips can be used to capture deeper skin layers, but tape-strips generally do not reach deeper than the stratum

Highlights

- Tape strips yielded a lower yield of RNA and fewer sequences mapping to known genes than biopsies.
- Paired sampling from the same skin (AD or no AD) identified differential detection of thousands of genes between tape strips and biopsies.
- Tape strips performed better than biopsies for identifying differentially expressed genes in AD.

corneum.^{48,49} Studies have performed targeted measurements of mRNA expression^{18-20,24,26,27,30,50} and protein levels^{27,33,34,41,43,44,51} from tape-strips. More recently, RNA-seq studies demonstrated that tape-strips can detect transcriptomic changes associated with AD.^{22,29,45,46,52} Few studies perform direct, quantitative comparisons between tape-strips and skin biopsies to evaluate biases or advantages between the respective methods.^{45,46,52}

Here, we performed whole-transcriptome RNA-seq on paired tape-strips and skin biopsies from patients with and without AD to

assess the abilities of each method for detecting differentially expressed genes associated with AD. We tested whether RNA-seq data from tape-strips resembles data from biopsies, as well as examined potential systematic biases. Finally, we assessed whether differential gene expression between AD and non-AD samples identified similar genes when performed with each method independently. We report that, despite lower data quality, tape-strips demonstrate utility in RNA-seq studies of AD.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Study approval

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Scientific Ethical Committee of the Danish Capital region (H-18008874) and the local data protection agency (ID-no:VD-2018-325, I-suite-nr:6573).

2.2 | Study participants and inclusion

This study included 12 adult participants (7 with dermatologistdiagnosed AD and 5 control subjects with no history of AD). All AD patients were part of a larger study involving filaggrin (FLG) gene mutations. All AD patients and one non-AD control had a FLG mutation. Study participants were enrolled and examined between March and May 2019. AD patients were recruited from the Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Gentofte Hospital, Denmark. AD patients were eligible if they were 18-69 years old, diagnosed with AD according to the Hanifin-Rajka criteria,⁵³ had AD for at least 3 years, carried a FLG mutation (R501X, 2282del4, or R2447X), had no history of other inflammatory diseases, and had not received systemic immunotherapy within 4 weeks prior to examination. Non-AD controls were recruited from an online webpage for study participants,⁵⁴ and were eligible if they were 18-69 years old, had no history of very dry skin, no history of AD/eczema/atopic diseases, no family history of atopy, no inflammatory diseases, and no treatment with systemic immunotherapy within 4 weeks prior to examination. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

2.3 | Study visit and sample collection

All participants attended one hospital visit, which included an interview, skin examination by a dermatologist, and sample collection. Overall AD severity was assessed using the Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI).⁵⁵ Site-specific AD severity was assessed using the target lesion severity score (TLSS).⁵⁶

Eight tape-strips (diameter=22mm; Cuderm, USA) and one skin biopsy (diameter=3mm) were collected from the dorsal aspect of the hand, directly adjacent to the tape-stripped location. Each tape-strip was applied on the skin and standardized pressure (225 g/cm^2) was applied for 10s using a D-Squame pressure application pen before removal of the tape-strip and application of a new tape-strip on the exact same location. The tapes were placed in a sterile, nuclease-free tubes and stored at -80° C. The sixth tapestrip was sent for protein measurement and not included in the RNA-seq analysis. For the skin biopsy, the sample area was cleaned with an antiseptic solution and local anesthesia (lidocaine-10mg and epinephrine-5 µg) was injected. The skin biopsy was placed in a nuclease-free tube and stored at -20° C. A blood sample was collected to test for FLG gene mutation.

2.4 | RNA extraction, library preparation, and sequencing

RNA was purified from tape-strips and biopsies by phenol/chloroform extraction with Trizol® reagent followed by isopropanol precipitation. Detailed methods can be found in Data S1. Briefly, for biopsies, RNA was extracted by placing the biopsy in a bead beading tube containing Trizol® and homogenizing the sample with a MagNA lyzer instrument (Roche, Germany). For tapes, each tape-strip was vortexed in a tube containing Trizol®. The Trizol® was then removed and placed into a new tube containing the next consecutive tape-strip for a total of seven tapes. Chloroform was then added and the samples were shaken by hand and centrifuged to create a phase separation. The aqueous phase was collected and total RNA was precipitated using isopropanol, cleaned with ethanol, and eluted in nuclease-free water. Concentration and purity were assessed with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). Ribosomal RNA depletion was then performed with the riboPOOLs rRNA depletion kit (siTOOLS Biotech, Germany) using a 100:1 combination of the Human riboPOOL-RP3 to Pan-Pro riboPOOL-RP3. Depleted RNA was collected and treated with RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse (Promega, USA) and purified with a Clean & Concentrate-5 RNA kit (Zymo Research, USA). Library preparation was performed with the NEB Ultra II RNA directional library prep kit. Quality and molarity of the final libraries were assessed with an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using a High-Sensitivity DNA chip (Agilent Technologies, USA) and a Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen, USA). Samples were pooled in equimolar concentrations and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 instrument (S4 flow-cell, 150PE).

2.5 | Processing of raw sequencing data, bioinformatic analysis, and statistics

Raw sequencing data was demultiplexed with bcl2fastq v2.0 (Illumina, USA). Processing of raw sequencing data was performed with an in-house pipeline.⁵⁷ Briefly, samples sequenced across multiple sequencing lanes of the same flow cell were concatenated. Reads were then trimmed with *cutadapt* v3.4 to remove sequencing adapters.⁵⁸ Reads less than 30 nucleotides in length were filtered. The trimmed and filtered reads were then aligned to the human reference genome

(GRCh38 primary assembly, Ensembl release 107) using *bwa-mem* v0.7.17 with default settings.⁵⁹ Read pairs mapping to known gene features were counted with *featureCounts* from the *subRead* v2.0.3 package with-*fracOverlap* 0.2.⁶⁰ *Kraken2* v2.1.2 was also applied to the concatenated sequencing files to quantify bacterial reads using the full, standard RefSeq index.⁶¹ Reads classified as *Homo sapiens* were counted as host reads, while reads mapping to the kingdom Bacteria at any taxonomic level were counted as bacterial reads.

Genes not present with at least 10 counts in 5 samples were excluded. The difference in number of genes with detectable expression above a given expression threshold was calculated for each tape-strip and biopsy in a pair and tested with a paired Wilcoxon. This was repeated for incremental increases in the threshold cutoff from 10 to 200. The remaining analysis was performed on vstnormalized (DEseq2) count matrix unless otherwise specified.⁶² Between-sample similarities were calculated with euclidean distance. Variance partitioning was performed with the *variancePartition* package in R. Differential gene-expression was performed with DESeq2 on the filtered, un-normalized count matrix. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified as those with an absolute log_2 FoldChange>1 and an adjusted p < .05. p-values were adjusted for multiple testing when appropriate.

3 | RESULTS

This study included seven AD patients (mean age: 46 ± 19 ; 86% female) and five non-AD controls (mean age: 31 ± 8 ; 60% female) (Table 1, Table S1). Five of seven AD patients had active AD at the sampling location with a mean TLSS score of 8 ± 4 . Five AD patients

TΑ	ΔBL	Е	1	Summary of	Participant	Metadata
----	-----	---	---	------------	-------------	----------

	Atopic Dermatitis					
Characteristic	No, $N = 5^{a}$	Yes, $N = 7^a$				
Age (years)	31 (±8)	46 (±19)				
Sex						
Female	3 (60%)	6 (86%)				
Male	2 (40%)	1 (14%)				
BMI	21.6 (± 5.0)	26.8 (± 5.4)				
Fillagrin Gene Mutation						
No	4 (80%)	0 (0%)				
Homozygous	0 (0%)	2 (29%)				
Heterozygous	1 (20%)	5 (71%)				
Eczema (Dorsal Hand)						
No	5 (100%)	2 (29%)				
Yes	0 (0%)	5 (71%)				
Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI)	NA	9 (±7)				
Total Lesion Severity Score (TLSS) (Dorsal Hand)	NA	8 (±4)				

^aMean (\pm SD) for Age, BMI, EASI, TLSS; n(%) for Fillagrin Gene Mutation, Eczema on dorsal hand.

and one non-AD control were heterozygous carriers of a FLG gene mutation. Two AD patients were homozygous for a FLG mutation. RNA seq libraries were successfully generated from all samples.

3.1 | Tape-strips had lower RNA yields and fewer sequences mapping to known genes

Tape-strips demonstrated a lower yield of RNA and lower mapping efficiency than biopsies for all samples. RNA extraction isolated a mean of 4596 ± 1980 ng and 22 ± 31 ng total RNA from skin biopsies and tape-strips, respectively. RNA-seq generated a mean of $51.18M\pm8.03$ and $50.99M\pm8.57$ paired-end reads for the biopsies and tape-strips, respectively. Tape-strips demonstrated fewer reads (~28%) assigned to gene-level features than biopsies (~93%), despite achieving similar sequencing depths (Figure 1A). Tapestrips also showed more reads mapping to unannotated gene features. Descriptive statistics for the sequencing data are displayed in Table 2 and Table S2.

3.2 | Tape-strips and skin biopsies detect similar genes

To compare the ability of the tape-strips and biopsies to describe the transcriptome, we first compared the total number of genes detected and their expression level between each matched tape-strip and skin biopsy pair (Tables S1 and S3). There was no significant difference in the number of genes observed between the methods in each pair. However, biopsies detected significantly more moderately (>75 observed sequences) and highly (>200 observed sequences) expressed genes (Figure 1B,C). The mean difference (\pm SD) in the number of genes between methods was -1580 \pm 6547 genes, 4176 \pm 6645 genes, and 7025 \pm 5636 genes for cutoffs of 10, 75, and 200 observed sequences, respectively (Figure 1D). Here, a positive value represents more genes found with skin biopsies. An average of 23,419 \pm 3005 genes were detected by both methods in each pair (Figure 1E).

3.3 | Tape-strips show higher inter-sample variability and medium correlation to skin biopsies

To examine whether tape-strips and skin biopsies describe similar gene expression profiles, we compared profiles for each pair of tape-strip and skin biopsies, across all AD and non-AD samples. We observed a medium correlation between gene-expression profiles from tape-strips and skin biopsies (median $R^2 = 0.431 \pm 0.20$, Pearson correlation). Hierarchical clustering identified that gene-expression profiles clustered by sample method rather than subject or AD status (Figure 2A).

Principal component analysis (PCA) also demonstrated a clear separation between expression profiles from tapes and biopsies across the main principal component (PC1), which described 75%



FIGURE 1 (A) Summary of gene-level read-pair assignments for each subject. 'Assigned': reads successfully aligned to known genefeatures; 'Unmapped': reads with no successful assignment; 'No Feature': reads mapping to regions with no known gene annotation; 'Multiple Features': reads mapping to multiple, annotated gene-features. (B) Number of genes detected above a given read-count threshold for tape-strips and skin biopsies. Dotted-lines connect paired samples. p-values calculated with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Cutoffs greater than 75 all showed significant differences (*p≤.05). (C) Mean difference in the number of detected genes (Difference=# of Genes (Biopsy) – # of Genes (Tape-Strip)) with increasing read count thresholds. Greved-area represents non-significant (NS) differences. (D) Falsediscovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-values values for paired t-test between mean number of detected genes at each count cut-off value. (E) Number of genes shared (Common) between methods compared to total genes identified with tape-strips or skin biopsies for genes with >10, >75, and >200 counts.

of the observed variability (Figure 2B, S1). Interestingly, three tapestrip samples (T8, T10, T12) showed markedly higher correlations with biopsies and clustered closer to biopsies by PCA and hierarchical clustering. Sampling method described the majority of the genelevel variability (Figure 2C). Though tape-strips showed a higher between-sample variability than biopsies (Figure 2B), they explained more gene-level variability associated with AD (Figure 2D,E).

Tape-strips and biopsies uniquely detect 3.4 certain, AD-specific genes

To identify potential "global" biases between methods, we performed differential gene expression between tape-strips and skin biopsies. We identified a total of 9745 DEGs in AD samples and 7740 DEGs in non-AD samples, whose expression level depended

TABLE 2 Summary Statistics of RNA-seq Data. Total Sequences refers to the total number of sequences (in millions, M) obtained from sequencing. Mapped-Genes refers to RNA sequences aligning to annotated gene regions of the human genome, including multi-mapping sequences. Mapped-Unknown/Unannotated describes sequences mapping to the human genome, but in regions with no annotated gene. Percent assigned describes the percent of all sequences aligning to annotated gene regions.

Sample Type	n	Total Sequences (M)	Total Sequences SD	Mapped-Known Genes (M)	Mapped-Unknown/ Unannotated Regions (M)	Percent Assigned (%)
Biopsy	12	51.18	8.03	55.13	3.93	92.97
Tape-strips	12	50.99	8.57	14.59	22.25	27.64



FIGURE 2 (A) Heatmap of between-sample distances for the gene-expression profiles from skin biopsies and tape-strips. (B) PCA-plot of vst-normalized gene expression profiles for protein-coding gene-features (n = 24,739). (C–E) Variance partition analysis demonstrating the gene-level variance explained for all samples (C), tape-strips (D), and biopsies (E), plotted with violin plots. Boxplots represent the median and IQR of the percent of variance explained.

on sampling method (Tables S4 and S5). The majority of these DEGs (n=6483) were identified in both AD and non-AD samples (Figure 3A). We then examined whether these DEGs were associated with itch, immune response, or skin barrier, based on gene

lists from a previous study.⁵² The majority of genes associated with immune response or itch showed higher expression in tape-strips (Figure 3B,C). This included DEGs associated with a type-2 inflammatory response, including several interleukins (IL4/IL5/IL10/IL13)

and T-cell differentiation factors (CD28/CTLA4/IRF4). Several other chemokines (CCL3/CCL4/CCL17/CCL18/CCL20/CCL28/CXCL8) also demonstrated increased expression in tape-strips. Contrarily, a few itch and immune genes (IL18/IL37/KLK7/CCL27) showed higher expression in biopsies. Skin barrier genes were generally detected by either tape-strips or biopsies and depended on the condition (active AD or non-AD) (Figure 3D). The most highly-expressed genes from tape-strips taken from AD patients with active AD were associated to fatty acid catabolism or metabolism (ACOX2/FASD1/ FASD2/FADS1/FADS2/FAR2/PPARG/SOAT1) (Table S4). Cadherins (CD12/CD20) showed higher expression in tapes for both conditions. Interestingly, certain keratins were differentially identified-KRT34 and KRT16 were highly expressed in tape-strips, while KRT2 was highly expressed in biopsies. Filaggrin (FLG2) showed increased expression in biopsies from AD patients with active AD, but was not differentially detected in control subjects. Furthermore, the methods showed preferential detection of immune cell types (Table S6). Tapes captured gene markers from epidermal cell types, such as epidermal dendritic cells (CD1a/CD207), while biopsies captured signals from dermal fibroblasts (COL1A/COL3A).

3.5 | Tape-strips identify more differentially expressed genes between AD and control skin than biopsies

To compare the utility of tape-strips with biopsies for studying AD skin, we used each method to perform differential gene-expression analysis between active AD and non-AD skin. Tape-strips identified 3157 DEGs, where 1438 showed increased expression in active AD and 1719 showed increased expression in non-AD (Table S7). Comparatively, skin biopsies identified 44 DEGs, where 40 showed increased expression in active AD (Figure 4A) and 4 showed increased expression in non-AD (Table S8). Tape-strips identified increased expression of IL5, HDC, TAC1, and AOC1 in active AD, which have roles in immune response and itch. However, the majority of the significant DEGs in active AD were not of clear relevance and were expressed at low levels. The most significant DEGs identified by tapestrips in non-AD controls included keratins (KRT33AB/34/85/31) and S100As (S100A3). Contrarily, biopsies identified several S100As (S100A7/S100A8/S100A9) and defensins (DEFB4A/DEFB4B), with increased expression in active AD (Figure 4B).

This analysis was repeated to compare inactive AD skin with non-AD controls. Interestingly, tape-strips identified more DEGs in this comparison than between active AD and non-AD controls (Figure 4C). Between inactive AD and control skin, tape-strips identified 5183 DEGs, where 1862 showed increased expression in active AD and 3321 showed increased expression in non-AD controls (Table S9). Comparatively, biopsies identified only 8 DEGs (Table S10). Biopsies identified several keratin-associated genes with increased enrichment in control skin (Figure 4D). The most significant DEG identified by tape-strips was KRT31, with high expression in non-AD skin. Other cytokines (IL5/IL33/CCL3/CXCL8), S100As

3.6 | Analysis of bacterial reads in biopsy and tape-strips

Further, we compared the ability of tape-strips and biopsies to detect bacterial mRNA. Tape-strips identified an average of $4.15\pm8.8\%$ more bacterial reads than biopsies (*p*=.13097, paired *t*-test) (Figure 5).

Both methods identified clinically relevant AD bacterial species, but biopsies showed a high level of *Bacillus cereus* contamination across all samples. We did not identify any bacterial species that were significantly differentially abundant between AD and non-AD samples with either tapes or biopsies. We observe that tape-strips demonstrated an increased number of reads aligning to *S. aureus* and several tape-strips from AD samples showed elevated, but not significant (p < .05, Wilcoxon rank-sum test), levels of *S. aureus* compared to non-AD samples (Figure S2).

4 | DISCUSSION

Here, we present an in-depth comparison of skin tape-strips and biopsies for examining transcriptomic changes associated with AD. We identify systematic effects of sampling method (skin tape-strips or biopsies) on gene-expression levels measured by RNA-seq. We also demonstrate that tape-strips detected more differentially expressed AD genes than skin biopsies. This study overcomes limitations of previous studies by implementing a non-targeted RNA-seq approach, including a detailed assessment of data quality, assessing systematic biases, and including paired analyses of active AD, inactive AD, and non-AD controls.

We identified over 6000 DEGs due to method across both AD and non-AD samples-a similar magnitude as observed by Sølberg et al.⁴⁶ Tape-strips better captured genes associated with immune response and itch, including several signals of type-2 inflammation (CCL2/IL4/IL5), genes associated with pathogen response (CCL17/ CXCL8/DEFB4B), and itch (OSM/CCL3/CCL22). This highlights a multi-faceted activation of both the innate and immune response detected by tape strips, which agrees with Del Duca et al.⁵² We note these findings were not exclusive to AD skin and we also found increased signals of these genes in samples with no AD. Interestingly, tape-strips and biopsies each identify certain subset of skin-barrier genes and cell types. For example, both this study and Del Duca et al. detect signals from dermal fibroblasts with biopsies (COL1A/ COL3A), while markers of epidermal dendritic cells (CD1a/CD207) are increased in tape-strips.^{52,63,64} These effects, as suggested by previous studies, are likely due to the different skin layers collected by each method. Tape-strips only collect cells from the upper



(B) Immune Response: Tape-Strips vs. Biopsy

(Active AD)									
Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value						
CXCL8	4000	10.70	7.41e-33						
IL4	50	8.45	1.72e-15						
CCL22	2000	6.78	5.24e-15						
IL5	40	5.80	3.11e-21						
IL22	30	5.16	3.57e-07						
CCL17	300	4.58	1.53e-07						
IL13	30	4.23	6.30e-04						
IL10	20	4.13	2.87e-06						
TSLP	30	1.33	4.65e-03						
IL18	800	-1.24	1.16e-02						
IL37	500	-1.69	3.03e-02						

Immune Response: Tape-Strips vs. Biopsy

 $(N_0 AD)$

FRITZ ET AL.

	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value						
	CXCL8	4000	14.80	1.47e-45						
	CCL22	2000	8.16	9.73e-21						
	CCL17	300	7.95	5.05e-19						
	IL4	50	7.25	9.67e-13						
	IL22	30	7.25	2.76e-07						
	IL10	20	5.58	7.39e-08						
	IL13	30	4.92	2.75e-04						
	IL5	40	4.22	2.69e-09						
	CCL18	80	2.40	3.36e-02						
	TSLP	30	1.11	4.44e-02						

1	s
. (C)

(C)							(D)								
Itch:				Itch:					Skin Barrier:				Skin Barrier:			
Таре	e-Strips	vs. <mark>Bio</mark>	psy	Tape	-Strips v	s. Biop	osy	Tape	-Strips \	/s. <mark>Bio</mark>	psy	Tape-	Strips ve	s. Biop	sy	
	(Active	e AD)			(No AD)				(Active AD)				(No A	D)		
Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	
CCL3	500	8.25	8.44e-10	DEFB4B	200	12.80	6.38e-14	SPRR3	30	9.45	1.50e-09	KRT34	20000	12.50	1.95e-20	
OSM	300	6.91	6.97e-22	CCL3	500	11.50	7.48e-17	GAL	50	5.80	9.52e-09	SPRR3	30	9.35	4.50e-09	
CCL22	2000	6.78	5.24e-15	OSM	300	10.10	1.72e-36	CDH12	4000	4.39	3.77e-22	PSORS1C2	600	4.98	5.63e-08	
CCL4	200	6.47	2.06e-12	CCL4	200	10.10	2.58e-24	CDH20	700	3.96	3.42e-11	KRT16	7000	4.27	2.93e-06	
NLRP3	300	5.10	1.68e-15	CCL22	2000	8.16	9.73e-21	ACOX2	100	2.65	2.17e-12	SPRR1A	200	4.25	7.80e-06	
AOC1	100	4.09	8.44e-13	CCL20	50	8.11	2.20e-15	FAR2	800	1.96	2.78e-06	ELOVL3	70	3.23	2.11e-04	
TRPM2	500	3.78	6.49e-11	CCL17	300	7.95	5.05e-19	FADS1	200	1.79	3.90e-05	CDH12	4000	2.29	8.40e-07	
PTGER2	70	3.61	7.43e-15	CTLA4	100	7.12	2.75e-19	SOAT1	600	1.62	6.53e-06	ACOX2	100	2.12	1.18e-07	
RAMP1	400	3.32	6.78e-09	NLRP3	300	5.79	4.91e-19	PPARG	700	1.57	1.88e-03	CDH20	700	2.00	1.38e-03	
ALOX5	500	2.83	1.49e-08	TNFRSF1B	2000	5.16	6.56e-17	FADS2	400	1.29	1.72e-03	ELOVL5	900	1.53	3.26e-05	
PLCB3	600	-1.03	3.60e-04	TRPV6	200	-1.70	3.11e-04	SPTLC3	2000	-1.12	3.97e-03	DGAT2	2000	-1.31	1.80e-03	
IL18	800	-1.24	1.16e-02	KLK7	2000	-2.02	1.59e-05	ORMDL3	300	-1.42	8.28e-04	HMGCS2	200	-1.33	5.03e-03	
KLK7	2000	-3.00	3.86e-11					PNPLA3	1000	-1.55	1.52e-07	PNPLA3	1000	-1.35	8.88e-06	
								GJB3	500	-1.83	5.31e-03	SPTLC3	2000	-1.46	2.08e-04	
								DEGS2	400	-1.97	9.79e-11	FLG	70000	-1.82	3.24e-02	
								ACER1	500	-1.98	9.51e-07	NR2F2	100	-1.96	2.77e-04	
								DGAT2	2000	-2.09	2.32e-07	DEGS2	400	-2.27	2.28e-12	
								FLG2	50000	-2.20	5.56e-03	SCEL	6000	-2.31	3.62e-06	
								SCEL	6000	-2.37	1.60e-06	ACER1	500	-2.41	8.68e-09	
								KRT2	70000	-6.76	3.86e-30	KRT2	70000	-5.77	4.59e-22	

FIGURE 3 (A) Venn diagram showing total number of significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between tape-strips and skin biopsies analyzed using either lesional AD and non-AD controls. Values represent the number of significant DEGs with increased expression in tape-strips (red) or skin biopsies (blue). (B) DEGs between tape-strips and biopsies associated with immune response (B), itch (C), or skinbarrier pathways (D). The analysis was performed separately for active AD samples (left table) and non-AD (right table). Tables show the top 10 most significant up- and down-regulated genes which overlap with the list of given genes for each pathway. If fewer than 10 genes overlap, all overlapping genes are displayed. "Mean Expression" values represent the mean of the vst-normalized count values divided by size factors. Differential expression is displayed as log₂fold-change (Log₂FC). Adjusted p-value is the FDR-adjusted p-value.

epidermal skin layers,^{40,49} while skin biopsies collect both epidermal and dermal layers. As hypothesized previously,⁵² the increased detection of immune, itch, and skin-barrier genes likely is due to a "dilution" of these signals in the biopsies, which contain a more diverse range of cell types.

An important application of tape-strip sampling is noninvasive sampling from different skin conditions, such as to compare AD with non-AD. Our study identifies that tape-strips find more DEGs associated with AD than biopsies. Del Duca et al. and Solberg et al. who observe similar difference in the number of DEGs between the methods.^{22,52} Dyjack et al.,⁴⁵ in comparison, identified fewer DEGs between non-lesional AD and non-AD controls. A few DEGs

associated with itch and immune response were identified by tapestrips (IL5/HDC/TAC1), but the majority of the top DEGs showed low expression in tape-strips and were not of clear relevance to AD. Genes identified with low expression levels may be more highly influenced by normalization, thus genes with high fold-changes but low expression values should be interpreted with caution. Biopsies gave a much stronger AD signal, with defensins (DEFB4A/B) and S100A7/9 being the top DEGs, highlighting an activation of inflammatory and antibacterial pathways. The observation that tapestrips identify many more DEGs than skin biopsies between AD and non-AD by our study as well as and Del Duca et al.⁵² is novel, but should be considered carefully. In our data, tape-strips demonstrated

FRITZ ET AL.



(C)	(C) (D)							
Tape-Strips				Biopsy				Tape-Strips				Biopsy			
Act	ive AD ve	s non-	AD	Act	ive AD v	s non-	AD	Inact	ve AD v	s non-	AD	Inact	tive AD v	s non-	AD
Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value	Gene	Mean Expression	Log2FC	Adjusted P-value
VTN	20	4.33	5.74e-05	DEFB4B	200	9.42	4.04e-05	MINAR1	300	3.46	2.74e-05	SYCE1	60	5.06	2.06e-02
ETV2	20	3.39	3.20e-05	DEFB4A	300	8.93	4.47e-04	PGBD1	200	3.16	9.16e-06	KRTAP5-1	20	-14.50	5.23e-05
STC2	50	2.67	2.23e-05	S100A7A	300	8.25	3.17e-05	RAD51	100	2.69	1.92e-06	KRTAP16-1	100	-18.40	3.03e-06
SCNN1D	80	2.38	2.13e-05	S100A7	2000	6.67	1.36e-06	CDC6	100	2.24	2.51e-05	KRTAP19-1	3000	-19.20	4.74e-09
ZNF660	90	2.31	9.76e-06	S100A9	3000	6.42	8.59e-06	NKIRAS1	300	1.65	9.16e-06	KRTAP1-3	7000	-19.30	1.89e-08
ODAD4	90	2.31	7.44e-05	SPRR2A	300	6.02	2.11e-04	ARHGAP11A	200	1.44	3.24e-05	KRTAP9-8	8000	-19.50	4.89e-09
RAD51	100	2.12	2.13e-06	SLC5A5	70	5.95	9.18e-04	SIMC1	600	1.33	3.23e-05	KRTAP9-3	8000	-19.50	2.36e-08
GRAMD2A	200	2.09	2.17e-07	S100A8	2000	5.77	6.89e-05	RAD51D	200	1.32	1.55e-05	KRTAP1-1	9000	-20.00	1.19e-08
DZANK1	300	1.33	7.25e-05	HEPHL1	6000	5.19	5.90e-04	FAM117B	800	1.21	2.74e-05				
FBLN7	300	1.33	7.30e-07	RAET1L	40	1.43	4.99e-05	SNUPN	200	1.11	1.73e-05				
CHAC1	2000	-7.82	1.15e-09	CCDC178	2000	-3.17	5.05e-05	RAB21	2000	-1.97	1.33e-07				
GPRC5D	5000	-8.06	9.79e-11	GAL	50	-3.96	2.37e-02	COPE	1000	-2.24	8.97e-08				
S100A3	3000	-8.33	4.66e-09	GSX2	10	-4.77	9.58e-03	TMEM259	600	-2.31	1.71e-08				
LY6G6D	3000	-9.40	3.87e-10	AADACL3	60	-5.74	9.05e-04	LENG8	2000	-2.48	1.19e-12				
KRT33A	10000	-9.76	8.69e-10					RPS19BP1	200	-2.64	9.39e-08				
KRT33B	10000	-9.84	3.00e-09					PSAP	8000	-3.08	2.75e-08				
KRT34	20000	-10.40	7.66e-12					FBXW5	400	-3.43	3.22e-09				
KRT85	30000	-11.10	1.50e-10					TACSTD2	1000	-4.09	1.18e-07				
KRT31	40000	-11.80	2.17e-17					CALR	2000	-4.18	1.33e-07				
KRTAP9-2	8000	-12.10	4.96e-09					UBL5	400	-4.26	5.51e-08				
								KRT31	40000	-11 50	1 19e-08				

FIGURE 4 Differential gene expression analysis comparing AD against non-AD controls for tape-strips or biopsies. (A,B) Venn diagrams of differentially expressed (DE) genes between active (A) and inactive AD (B) when compared to non-AD controls. Values display the number of significant DEGs with increased expression in AD or non-AD controls (blue). (C,D) The top 10 most significant DEGs identified with either (C) tape-strips or (D) biopsies. Mean Expression values represent the mean of the vst-normalized expression divided by size factors. Differential expression is displayed as log₂(FoldChange), where positive log₂(FoldChange) represents increased expression in Active/Inactive AD (red) or non-AD controls (blue).

a large between-sample variability and the top DEGs (VTN, ETV2, STC2, etc.) were not clearly relevant for AD. This is highlighted in Figure 2B, where a subset of tape-strip samples from non-AD controls clustered closer to skin biopsies. It is unclear whether this effect is biological or technical and characterization is required to elucidate these effects.

Assessment of data quality is an essential step when interpreting data from RNA-seq, but not consistently reported. RNA-yields from tape-strips in this study were significantly lower than skin biopsies, consistent with previous reports.^{23,29,47,63} We also identify that RNAseq data generated from tape-strips yielded a high proportion (~50%) of sequences aligning to genomic regions with no annotated genes. A similar effect was also identified by Sølberg et al., who identified a high proportion of intronic sequences¹⁶ in tape-strip data and

attribute this effect to biological factors, such as intron retention. However, we observe a high level of sequences mapping to unannotated regions at the gene level (i.e., not intronic). We hypothesize that this effect is due to DNA contamination, as small fragments of DNA may still exist after DNAse treatment.⁶⁵ Nonetheless, this result highlights that tape-strips need to be sequenced more deeply than skin biopsies to obtain similar depths, which may be a financial limitation for some studies. Standardized and effective methodologies for RNA extraction should be developed to alleviate these burdens.

There are several limitations in this study. First, participants were not age-matched and the mean age of the AD group was higher than the non-AD group. This likely increased variance and reduced statistical power in comparisons between AD and non-AD. The AD group was also heterogeneous and two participants did not have a lesion in



(C) Top 10 Species: Tape-Strips AD

SD Mean ID AD Relative R Activity (%) Act Cutibacterium acnes 14.11 Yes Moraxella osloensis Yes 3.77 2.74 Enterococcus faecalis Yes Escherichia coli 2.11 Yes Micrococcus luteus Yes 2.05 1.95 Shewanella sp. KX20019 Yes Streptococcus mitis Yes 1.69 Corynebacterium tuberculostearicum Yes 1.60 Paenibacillus sp. JNUCC-31 Yes 1 55 Corynebacterium bovis Yes 1.49

(D) Top 10 Species: Tape-Strips non-AD

Mean

SD			Mean	SD
elative	ID	AD	Relative	Relative
ivity (%)			Activity (%)	Activity (%)
9.9	Cutibacterium acnes	No	15.32	8.0
4.3	Corynebacterium ureicelerivorans	No	7.92	17.0
1.7	Moraxella osloensis	No	4.27	3.8
1.3	Enterococcus faecalis	No	3.77	2.3
1.2	Micrococcus luteus	No	3.71	2.7
1.3	Shewanella sp. KX20019	No	2.96	1.7
1.3	Escherichia coli	No	2.95	1.8
0.8	Paenibacillus sp. JNUCC-31	No	2.51	1.4
1.1	Staphylococcus hominis	No	2.26	4.4
3.8	Acinetobacter johnsonii	No	1.49	2.5

FIGURE 5 (A) Percentage of total reads assigned to Bacteria per sample. (B) Boxplot of percentage of total reads assigned to Bacteria by sampling method. (C,D) Tables of Top 10 bacterial species by mean relative activity per method. Relative activity is calculated as the number of reads assigned to a specific, species-level taxa divided by the total number of reads assigned at the species level per sample.

the sampled area. Non-lesional control samples were also not available from subjects with active AD, thus the effect could not be investigated. There was also a high variability in RNA yield and quality of sequencing data obtained from tape-strips and non-AD samples had more RNA extracted and better quality sequencing data than AD. Thus, it is difficult to determine whether DEGs identified in tapestrips between AD and non-AD are due to physiological changes or methodological biases. Regarding detection of bacteria, the sampling area for biopsies was disinfected prior to sampling, which may affect the detection of bacterial transcription. Lastly, we hypothesized that DNA contamination plays a role in background signal for tape-strips, but DNA concentration measurements were not available.

5 CONCLUSIONS

This study provides evidence supporting tape-stripping as an adequate method for performing RNA-seq analysis of AD and non-AD skin. Tape-strips clearly identified more differentially expressed genes between AD and non-AD skin than paired skin biopsies.

However, extraction of RNA was more difficult and the quality of obtained RNA-seg data was lower for tape-strips than skin biopsies. Tape-strips and skin biopsies also demonstrate systematic differences in their gene expression profiles, likely due to the skin layers sampled. Thus, researchers should carefully consider the skin layer of interest as well as feasibility when implementing tape-strips for RNA-seg analysis of AD.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BF, TB, and JT conceived the study. AH, MC, AR, CO, MK, CZ, SH contributed to clinical collection of patient samples and data. BF processed the samples and generated RNA-seq data. BF and IC performed all statistical and bioinformatic analyses. BF, AH, IC, AR, CZ, SH, JT, TB contributed to design of the analysis and interpreting results. BF drafted the initial manuscript. All coauthors contributed to drafting and reviewing later versions of the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding for this work was provided by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (Grant: 0054390) and the Aage Bangs Foundation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

JT was an advisor for AbbVie, Almirall, Arena Pharmaceuticals, Coloplast, OM Pharma, Aslan Phar-maceuticals, Union Therapeutics, Eli Lilly & Co, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, Regeneron, and Sanofi-Genzyme, a speaker for AbbVie, Almirall, Eli Lilly & Co, LEO Pharma, Pfizer, Regeneron, and Sanofi-Genzyme, and received research grants from Pfizer, Regeneron, and Sanofi-Genzyme. He is currently an employee of LEO Pharma. All other authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data to reproduce the findings in this study is openly available as a Zenodo repository (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.10792700) or on Github (https://github.com/bgfritz1/RNAseq_TapeStripsVsBiopsy). Additional data is also provided in the Supplementary Materials or upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Blaine Fritz [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2085-894X Maria Oberländer Christensen [®] https://orcid. org/0000-0001-7177-1054 Amalie Thorsti Møller Rønnstad [®] https://orcid.

org/0000-0001-9949-9726

Jacob P. Thyssen 🗅 https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3770-1743

REFERENCES

- 1. Abuabara K, Yu AM, Okhovat JP, Allen IE, Langan SM. The prevalence of atopic dermatitis beyond childhood: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Allergy*. 2018;73:696-704.
- Langan SM, Irvine AD, Weidinger S. Atopic dermatitis. Lancet. 2020;396:345-360.
- Palmer CN, Irvine AD, Terron-Kwiatkowski A, et al. Common loss-of-function variants of the epidermal barrier protein filaggrin are a major predisposing factor for atopic dermatitis. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38:441-446.
- Gittler JK, Shemer A, Suárez-Fariñas M, et al. Progressive activation of t(h)2/t(h)22 cytokines and selective epidermal proteins characterizes acute and chronic atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;130:1344-1354.
- Kong HH, Oh J, Deming C, et al. Temporal shifts in the skin microbiome associated with disease flares and treatment in children with atopic dermatitis. *Genome Res.* 2012;22:850-859.
- Tsoi LC, Rodriguez E, Degenhardt F, et al. Atopic dermatitis is an IL-13-dominant disease with greater molecular heterogeneity compared to psoriasis. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2019;139:1480-1489.
- Usoskin D, Furlan A, Islam S, et al. Unbiased classification of sensory neuron types by large-scale single-cell RNA sequencing. *Nat Neurosci.* 2015;18:145-153.
- Fyhrquist N, Muirhead G, Prast-Nielsen S, et al. Microbehost interplay in atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10:4703.
- Suarez-Farinas M, Ungar B, Correa da Rosa J, et al. RNA sequencing atopic dermatitis transcriptome profiling provides insights into novel disease mechanisms with potential therapeutic implications. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2015;135:1218-1227.
- Nomura I, Gao B, Boguniewicz M, Darst MA, Travers JB, Leung DYM. Distinct patterns of gene expression in the skin lesions of atopic dermatitis and psoriasis: a gene microarray analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2003;112:1195-1202.

11. Broccardo CJ, Mahaffey S, Schwarz J, et al. Comparative proteomic profiling of patients with atopic dermatitis based on history of eczema herpeticum infection and staphylococcus aureus colonization. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2011;127:186-193.e11.

- Brunner PM, Israel A, Zhang N, et al. Early-onset pediatric atopic dermatitis is characterized by T_H2/T_H17/T_H22-centered inflammation and lipid alterations. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;141:2094-2106.
- Esaki H, Brunner PM, Renert-Yuval Y, et al. Early-onset pediatric atopic dermatitis is t(h)2 but also t(h)17 polarized in skin. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;138:1639-1651.
- 14. He H, Suryawanshi H, Morozov P, et al. Single-cell transcriptome analysis of human skin identifies novel fibroblast subpopulation and enrichment of immune subsets in atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2020;145:1615-1628.
- 15. Zhou L, Leonard A, Pavel AB, et al. Age-specific changes in the molecular phenotype of patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2019;144:144-156.
- Beck LA, Thaçi D, Hamilton JD, et al. Dupilumab treatment in adults with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:130-139.
- Hamilton JD, Suárez-Fariñas M, Dhingra N, et al. Dupilumab improves the molecular signature in skin of patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;134:1293-1300.
- Benson NR, Papenfuss J, Wong R, et al. An analysis of select pathogenic messages in lesional and non-lesional psoriatic skin using noninvasive tape harvesting. J Invest Dermatol. 2006;126:2234-2241.
- 19. Morhenn VB, Chang EY, Rheins LA. A noninvasive method for quantifying and distinguishing inflammatory skin reactions. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 1999;41:687-692.
- Wong R, Tran V, Morhenn V, et al. Use of RT-PCR and DNA microarrays to characterize RNA recovered by non-invasive tape harvesting of normal and inflamed skin. J Invest Dermatol. 2004;123:159-167.
- Pavel AB, Renert-Yuval Y, Wu J, et al. Tape strips from early-onset pediatric atopic dermatitis highlight disease abnormalities in nonlesional skin. *Allergy*. 2021;76:314-325.
- 22. Sølberg JBK, Quaade AS, Jacobsen SB, et al. The transcriptome of hand eczema assessed by tape stripping. *Contact Derm.* 2022;86:71-79.
- Mikhaylov D, del Duca E, Olesen CM, et al. Transcriptomic profiling of tape-strips from moderate to severe atopic dermatitis patients treated with dupilumab. *Dermatitis*. 2021;32:S71-S80.
- 24. Fischer F, Doll A, Uereyener D, et al. Gene expression-based molecular test as diagnostic aid for the differential diagnosis of psoriasis and eczema in formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue, microbiopsies, and tape strips. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2023;143:1461-1469.e5. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2023.02.015
- 25. Renert-Yuval Y, Pavel AB, Bose S, et al. Tape strips capture atopic dermatitis-related changes in nonlesional skin throughout maturation. *Allergy*. 2022;77:3445-3447.
- 26. Andersson AM, Sølberg J, Koch A, et al. Assessment of biomarkers in pediatric atopic dermatitis by tape strips and skin biopsies. *Allergy*. 2022;77:1499-1509.
- Simonsen S, Brogger P, Kezic S, Thyssen JP, Skov L. Comparison of cytokines in skin biopsies and tape strips from adults with atopic dermatitis. *Dermatology*. 2021;237:940-945.
- Olesen CM, Pavel AB, Wu J, et al. Tape-strips provide a minimally invasive approach to track therapeutic response to topical corticosteroids in atopic dermatitis patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2021;9:576-579 e3.
- He H, Bissonnette R, Wu J, et al. Tape strips detect distinct immune and barrier profiles in atopic dermatitis and psoriasis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147:199-212.
- Guttman-Yassky E, Diaz A, Pavel AB, et al. Use of tape strips to detect immune and barrier abnormalities in the skin of children with early-onset atopic dermatitis. JAMA Dermatol. 2019;155:1358-1370.

- 31. Kim BE, Goleva E, Kim PS, et al. Side-by-side comparison of skin biopsies and skin tape stripping highlights abnormal stratum corneum in atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2019;139:2387-2389 e1.
- Hulshof L, Hack DP, Hasnoe QCJ, et al. A minimally invasive tool to study immune response and skin barrier in children with atopic dermatitis. Br J Dermatol. 2019;180:621-630.
- McAleer MA, Jakasa I, Hurault G, et al. Systemic and stratum corneum biomarkers of severity in infant atopic dermatitis include markers of innate and t helper cell-related immunity and angiogenesis. Br J Dermatol. 2019;180:586-596.
- Koppes SA, Brans R, Ljubojevic Hadzavdic S, Frings-Dresen MHW, Rustemeyer T, Kezic S. Stratum corneum tape stripping: monitoring of inflammatory mediators in atopic dermatitis patients using topical therapy. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2016;170:187-193.
- Clausen ML, Slotved HC, Krogfelt KA, Agner T. Measurements of AMPs in stratum corneum of atopic dermatitis and healthy skintape stripping technique. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8:1666.
- Yamaguchi J, Aihara M, Kobayashi Y, Kambara T, Ikezawa Z. Quantitative analysis of nerve growth factor (NGF) in the atopic dermatitis and psoriasis horny layer and effect of treatment on NGF in atopic dermatitis. J Dermatol Sci. 2009;53:48-54.
- Winget JM, Finlay D, Mills KJ, et al. Quantitative proteomic analysis of stratum corneum dysfunction in adult chronic atopic dermatitis. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2016;136:1732-1735.
- Janssens M, van Smeden J, Gooris GS, et al. Increase in shortchain ceramides correlates with an altered lipid organization and decreased barrier function in atopic eczema patients. J Lipid Res. 2012;53:2755-2766.
- Angelova-Fischer I, Mannheimer AC, Hinder A, et al. Distinct barrier integrity phenotypes in filaggrin-related atopic eczema following sequential tape stripping and lipid profiling. *Exp Dermatol.* 2011;20:351-356.
- Broccardo CJ, Mahaffey SB, Strand M, Reisdorph NA, Leung DY. Peeling off the layers: skin taping and a novel proteomics approach to study atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2009;124(1113-5):e1-e11.
- Amarbayasgalan T, Takahashi H, Dekio I, Morita E. Interleukin-8 content in the stratum corneum as an indicator of the severity of inflammation in the lesions of atopic dermatitis. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* 2013;160:63-74.
- Olesen CM, Holm JG, Nørreslet LB, Serup JV, Thomsen SF, Agner T. Treatment of atopic dermatitis with dupilumab: experience from a tertiary referral centre. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2019;33:1562-1568.
- Lyubchenko T, Collins HK, Goleva E, Leung DYM. Skin tape sampling technique identifies proinflammatory cytokines in atopic dermatitis skin. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2021;126:46-53 e2.
- 44. Clausen ML, Kezic S, Olesen CM, Agner T. Cytokine concentration across the stratum corneum in atopic dermatitis and healthy controls. *Sci Rep.* 2020;10:21895.
- Dyjack N, Goleva E, Rios C, et al. Minimally invasive skin tape strip RNA sequencing identifies novel characteristics of the type 2-high atopic dermatitis disease endotype. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2018;141:1298-1309.
- 46. Sølberg J, Jacobsen SB, Andersen JD, et al. The stratum corneum transcriptome in atopic dermatitis can be assessed by tape stripping. *J Dermatol Sci.* 2021;101:14-21.
- 47. Leung DYM, Calatroni A, Zaramela LS, et al. The nonlesional skin surface distinguishes atopic dermatitis with food allergy as a unique endotype. *Sci Transl Med.* 2019;11:eaav2685.
- Olesen CM, Fuchs CSK, Philipsen PA, Hædersdal M, Agner T, Clausen ML. Advancement through epidermis using tape

stripping technique and reflectance confocal microscopy. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:12217.

- Sølberg J, Ulrich NH, Krustrup D, et al. Skin tape stripping: which layers of the epidermis are removed? *Contact Derm.* 2019;80:319-321.
- Gonzalez T, Stevens ML, Baatyrbek kyzy A, et al. Biofilm propensity of staphylococcus aureus skin isolates is associated with increased atopic dermatitis severity and barrier dysfunction in the MPAACH pediatric cohort. *Allergy*. 2021;76:302-313.
- He H, del Duca E, Diaz A, et al. Mild atopic dermatitis lacks systemic inflammation and shows reduced nonlesional skin abnormalities. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2021;147:1369-1380.
- 52. Del Duca E, He H, Liu Y, et al. Intrapatient comparison of atopic dermatitis skin transcriptome shows differences between tape-strips and biopsies. *Allergy*. 2023;79:80-92.
- 53. Hanifin JM, Rajka G. Diagnostic features of atopic dermatitis. Acta Derm Venereol. 1980;60:44-47.
- Morten Scheibye-Knudsen MS-K, Jensen RL. Forsøgsperson.dk. Forsøgsperson.dk 2019, Online Contact Forum between Academic Institutions and Potential Study Participants. 2019.
- Hanifin JM, Thurston M, Omoto M, et al. The eczema area and severity index (EASI): assessment of reliability in atopic dermatitis. *Exp Dermatol.* 2001;10:11-18.
- council, T. i. e. Target lesion severity score (TLSS) for the assessment of atopic dermatitis (AD). n.d.
- 57. Fritz B. Tandem RNA-sequencing pipeline. Zenodo. 2024. https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10679026
- Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from highthroughput sequencing reads. EMBnet. 2011;2011(17):3.
- 59. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with burrows-wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*. 2009;25:1754-1760.
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:923-930.
- 61. Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B. Improved metagenomic analysis with kraken 2. *Genome Biol.* 2019;20:257.
- Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 2014;15:550.
- 63. Wong R, Tran V, Talwalker S, Benson NR. Analysis of RNA recovery and gene expression in the epidermis using non-invasive tape stripping. *J Dermatol Sci.* 2006;44:81-92.
- 64. Kim JH, Hu Y, Yongqing T, et al. CD1a on langerhans cells controls inflammatory skin disease. *Nat Immunol.* 2016;17:1159-1166.
- Ivarsson K, Weijdegard B. Evaluation of the effects of DNase treatment on signal specificity in RT-PCR and in situ RT-PCR. *BioTechniques*. 1998;25:630-632, 634, 636 passim.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Fritz B, Halling A-S, Cort I-P, et al. RNA-sequencing of paired tape-strips and skin biopsies in atopic dermatitis reveals key differences. *Allergy*. 2024;00:1-12. doi:10.1111/all.16086